Performance of the IDEXX inVue Dx Cellular Analyzer for a 6-part white blood cell differential and platelet estimation in dogs

Kim Yore, DVM, MS, DACVIM; Corie Drake MS, MBA; and Helen Michael, DVM, PhD, DACVP

Introduction

Automated hematology analyzers have largely replaced the manual counting of blood cells,[1,2] but microscopic assessment of abnormal white blood cells, clumped platelets, and red blood cell morphology is still necessary. Abnormal automated cell counts, abnormal dot plots, and interpretive prompts (e.g., an asterisk on the IDEXX ProCyte One* or ProCyte Dx* hematology analyzer report) all indicate a need for a blood morphology assessment.[3–6] In a study of more than 400,000 ProCyte One and ProCyte Dx CBCs, two-thirds of CBCs had abnormal cell counts or prompts indicating the need for a blood morphology assessment.[6] Furthermore, in another study of cases where blood film review at IDEXX Reference Laboratories followed an in-clinic CBC, three-quarters of those reviews revealed clinically valuable information. Platelet clumping and neutrophil toxic change were the most common findings.[5] Until now, blood morphology has required manual microscopy by trained personnel in-clinic or sending blood to a laboratory for evaluation. Traditional glass slide blood morphology review carries inherent limitations. A high-quality blood film is critical to facilitate a CBC review, interpretation is subjective, and the low number of WBCs counted creates inherent error.[1–3,7–10] Clumped platelets can also interfere with platelet estimation on glass slides.[7] Automation, as with the IDEXX inVue Dx* Cellular Analyzer, provides an opportunity to minimize operator error, improve efficiency, and improve reproducibility of blood morphology assessment. AI-driven tools have been effectively used to generate diagnostic data in human hematology.[8–14]

The IDEXX inVue Dx Cellular Analyzer automates blood morphology and overcomes many of the limitations of traditional, in-clinic blood films. The IDEXX inVue Dx analyzer uses multiple wavelengths of light and fluorescent stains to visualize cells in a multi-dimensional, fluid state within a sample cartridge. The IDEXX inVue Dx analyzer assesses dozens of fields of view and uses optical characteristics and positioning of elements within the cartridge to count and identify thousands of cells, including platelets within clumps. As part of its hematology analysis, the IDEXX inVue Dx analyzer provides a confirmation or update (when indicated) of white blood cell (WBC) differential cell counts from the CBC on the IDEXX inVue Dx analyzer report. The IDEXX inVue Dx analyzer performs a 6-part differential on 500–2,000 WBCs in the sample. In addition, a semiquantitative platelet estimate is reported by the IDEXX inVue Dx analyzer, although for the purposes of this study, discrete platelet counts provided in raw data from the analyzer were also assessed.

Methods, results, and discussion

Precision

Precision (SD) of the IDEXX inVue Dx analyzer was assessed by repeated analysis of canine blood samples 10 times on each of four IDEXX inVue Dx analyzers. Fresh remnant canine blood samples arriving from animal hospitals to the IDEXX Research and Development Laboratory in Westbrook, Maine, were screened for adequate volume and range of cell counts on CBC analysis, resulting in 11 samples for precision testing. The IDEXX inVue Dx analyzer had good precision in samples across a range of neutrophil and platelet counts (table 1).

Parameter	Range (K/µL)	Number of samples	SD (K/µL)
Neutrophil precision			
Neutropenia	< 5	6	0.09
Neutrophils within reference range	5–10	3	0.18
Neutrophilia	> 10	2	0.37
Platelet precision			
Marked thrombocytopenia	< 50	2	8.9
Moderate thrombocytopenia	50–100	3	15.1
Mild thrombocytopenia	100–150	2	15.6
Adequate platelets	> 150	4	19.0

Table 1. Precision for IDEXX inVue Dx mature neutrophil and platelet counts across samples with a range of normal and abnormal neutrophil and platelet counts on ProCyte Dx Hematology Analyzer. For precision testing, each sample was analyzed 10 times on each of 4 IDEXX inVue Dx analyzers.

Platelet and 6-part WBC differential performance compared to the ProCyte Dx analyzer

Canine EDTA whole blood samples (n = 348) were collected at a single hospital and analyzed within 4 hours of collection for comparison with the ProCyte Dx analyzer. Each sample was visually evaluated for clots in the blood collection tube prior to analysis on one of two ProCyte Dx analyzers and one of two IDEXX inVue Dx analyzers.

All 348 samples were used for WBC differentials evaluation and 322 were used for platelet evaluation due to missing values. Pearson correlation (*r* values) was used to describe the relationship between the methods when continuous concentrations were produced. Kendall tau-b, a nonparametric measure of correlation, was used to describe the relationship between semiquantitative categories. For both correlation statistics, a value of 0 indicates no correlation, and a value of 1 indicates a perfect positive correlation.

The IDEXX inVue Dx* analyzer had strong to very strong correlation with the ProCyte Dx* analyzer for neutrophils, monocytes, and eosinophils in samples with and without interpretive prompts on the ProCyte Dx analyzer (figure 1). In samples without interpretive prompts, lymphocyte counts also had very strong correlation between the IDEXX inVue Dx and ProCyte Dx analyzers. When including samples with interpretive prompts indicating a left shift, the IDEXX inVue Dx lymphocyte counts correlated only moderately well due to overestimation of lymphocytes by the ProCyte Dx analyzer in cases with immature neutrophils (figure 1C).[15] In addition to the interpretive prompt, characteristic dot plot changes on the ProCyte Dx analyzer on samples with left shifts can be used to identify samples that would benefit from confirmation of the lymphocyte count by IDEXX inVue Dx analyzer.



Figure 1. Correlation plots for the IDEXX inVue Dx and ProCyte Dx analyzers differential counts for neutrophils (A), monocytes (B), lymphocytes (C), and eosinophils (D). The dotted line indicates the identity line if the ProCyte Dx and IDEXX inVue Dx counts match exactly. "X" indicates cases where there was an interpretive prompt on the ProCyte Dx result for a parameter, indicating reduced ProCyte Dx confidence in the result due to a left shift or other sample characteristics and the need for blood morphology assessment.

There was excellent correlation between platelet counts between automated methods when either including (r = 0.940) or removing (r = 0.937) samples with "platelet clumping" interpretive prompts on the ProCyte Dx* analyzer from analysis (figure 2A). Semiquantitative platelet assessment also showed excellent correlation between methods (Kendall tau-b = 0.720, figure 2B).



Figure 2. Correlation between the IDEXX inVue Dx and ProCyte Dx analyzers for discrete (A) and semiquantitative (B) platelet reporting. The ProCyte Dx analyzer runs with an interpretive prompt indicating platelet clumps are marked by an "X."

The IDEXX inVue Dx analyzer performance compared to pathologist manual WBC differentials

6-part WBC differential

The IDEXX InVue Dx* analyzer provides updated WBC differentials if the analyzer detects a clinically significant change in cell counts from the CBC, such as when immature neutrophils are present. To evaluate the ability of the IDEXX inVue Dx analyzer to update WBC differentials, the 348 samples described above underwent pathologist blood film review, and of these, 75 samples had immature neutrophils. Samples were stained with modified Wright-Giemsa stain (Aerospray* 7120 Hematology Slide Stainer/Cytocentrifuge) and scanned on a digital slide scanner (MoticEasyScan* One, software version 1.0.7.50 or 1.0.6.49). The IDEXX inVue Dx analyzer was compared to traditional blood films by calculating the average of manual 6-part, 200-cell WBC differentials performed by three board-certified pathologists.

Correlation between the IDEXX inVue Dx results and average expert manual 200-cell differentials are shown in figure 3. Correlation of mature neutrophils, monocytes, lymphocytes, and eosinophils remained very strong (r > 0.90). Manual and IDEXX inVue Dx correlation of immature neutrophils was strong (figure 3E), substantiating that automated blood morphology analysis from the IDEXX inVue Dx analyzer performs well in updating the WBC differential in cases of left shift.

Conclusion

The IDEXX inVue Dx Cellular Analyzer performs automated, slide-free blood morphology analysis in dogs using its computational power and deep-learning models to produce accurate, algorithm-aided pathology results. The platform adds immense value by automating the interpretation of blood morphology, and it demonstrates excellent correlation to the ProCyte Dx CBC results or a pathologist's blood film interpretation when needed to assess morphologic changes within the blood sample.



Figure 3. Correlation plots for the IDEXX inVue Dx* differential counts to expert manual differentials for neutrophils (A), monocytes (B), lymphocytes (C), eosinophils (D), and immature neutrophils (E). Manual counts were averaged across 3 board-certified pathologist blood film reviews consisting of 200-cell 6-part differential counts. The dotted line indicates the identity line if the manual and IDEXX inVue Dx differential counts match exactly. "X" indicates cases that had an interpretive prompt on ProCyte Dx* analysis, prompting blood morphology assessment.

References

1. Bentley SA. Automated differential white cell counts: a critical appraisal. *Baillieres Clin Haematol*. 1990;3(4):851–869. doi:10.1016/s0950-3536(05)80138-6
2. Rümke CL. Imprecision of ratio-derived differential leukocyte counts. *Blood Cells*. 1985;11(2):311–315.
3. Garden OA, Kidd L, Mexas AM, et al. ACVIM consensus statement on the diagnosis of immune-mediated hemolytic anemia in dogs and cats. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2019;33(2):313–334. doi:10.1111/jvim.15441
4. Zitzer NC. The greatness of glass: importance of blood smear evaluation. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract*. 2023;53(1):29–52. doi:10.1016/j.cvsm.2022.07.005
5. Michael H, Drake C, Yore K. Clinically valuable comments were common on veterinarian-ordered blood smear review following in-clinic complete blood count. Paper presented at: 2023 ACVP-ASVCP Annual Meeting; October 28–31; Chicago, IL. Accessed March 10, 2025. cdn.ymaws.com/www.acvp.org/resource/resmgr/2023_annual_meeting/2023_accepted_abstracts.pdf
6. Michael H, Drake C, Yore K. In-clinic CBCs commonly contain information indicating the need for blood morphology assessment. Paper presented at: XXI International Society for Animal Clinical Pathology Congress; May 14–18, 2024; Heraklion, Greece. Accessed March 10, 2025. www.isacp2024.org/book-of-abstracts
7. Paltrinieri S, Paciletti V, Zambarbieri J. Analytical variability of estimated platelet counts on canine blood smears. *Vet Clin Pathol*. 2018;47(2):197–204. doi:10.1111/vcp.12604
8. Chung J, Ou X, Kulkarni RP, Yang C. Counting white blood cells from a blood smear using Fourier ptychographic microscopy. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(7):e0133489. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0133489
9. Gulati G, Uppal G, Florea AD, Gong J. Detection of platelet clumps on peripheral blood smears by CellaVision DM96 system and microscopic review. *Lab Med*. 2014;45(4):368–371. doi:10.1309/LM604RQVKVLRFXOR
10. Bachar N, Benbassat D, Brailovsky D, et al. An artificial intelligence-assisted diagnostic platform for rapid near-patient hematology. *Am J Hematol*. 2021;96(10):1264–1274. doi:10.1002/ajh.26295
11. de Almeida JG, Gudgin E, Besser M, et al. Computational analysis of peripheral blood smears detects disease-associated cytomorphologies. *Nat Commun*. 2023;14(1):4378. doi:10.1038/s41467-023-39676-y
12. Riedl JA, Stouten K, Ceelie H, Boonstra J, Levin MD, van Gelder W. Interlaboratory reproducibility of blood morphology using the digital microscope. *J Lab Autom*. 2015;20(6):670–675. doi:10.1177/2211068215584278
13. Rosetti M, De La Salle B, Farneti G, Clementoni A, Poletti G, Dorizzi RM. The added value of digital morphological analysis in the evaluation of peripheral blood films: the report of an UKNEQAS external quality assessment sample. *Ann Hematol*. 2022;101(3):729–730. doi:10.1007/s00277-021-04595-9
14. Hutchinson C, Brereton M, Adams J, et al. The use and effectiveness of an online diagnostic support system for blood film interpretation: comparative observational study. *J Med Internet Res*. 2021;23(8):e20815. doi:10.2196/20815
15. Goldmann F, Bauer N, Moritz A. Evaluation of the IDEXX ProCyte Dx analyzer for dogs and cats compared to the Siemens ADVIA 2120 and manual differential. *Comp Clin Pathol*. 2014;23(2):283–296. doi:10.1007/s00580-012-1608-1