
**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

- ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008

- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 0-19271

IDEXX LABORATORIES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation
or organization)

ONE IDEXX DRIVE, WESTBROOK, MAINE
(Address of principal executive offices)

01-0393723

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

04092

(ZIP Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **207-556-0300**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, \$0.10 par value per share	NASDAQ Global Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

Based on the closing sale price on June 30, 2008 of the registrant's Common Stock as reported by the NASDAQ Global Market, the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$2,885,293,705. For these purposes, the registrant considers its Directors and executive officers to be its only affiliates.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's Common Stock was 59,128,909 on February 12, 2009.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part III—Specifically identified portions of the Company's definitive proxy statement to be filed in connection with the Company's 2009 Annual Meeting to be held on May 6, 2009, are incorporated herein by reference.

IDEXX LABORATORIES, INC.
Annual Report on Form 10-K
Table of Contents

Item No.		Page No.
PART I		
<u>Item 1 Business</u>		3
<u>Item 1A Risk Factors</u>		11
<u>Item 1B Unresolved Staff Comments</u>		16
<u>Item 2 Properties</u>		16
<u>Item 3 Legal Proceedings</u>		17
<u>Item 4 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>		17
PART II		
<u>Item 5 Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>		19
<u>Item 6 Selected Financial Data</u>		22
<u>Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analyses of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>		22
<u>Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk</u>		50
<u>Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>		51
<u>Item 9 Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>		51
<u>Item 9A Controls and Procedures</u>		51
<u>Item 9B Other Information</u>		52
PART III		
<u>Item 10 Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>		52
<u>Item 11 Executive Compensation</u>		52
<u>Item 12 Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>		52
<u>Item 13 Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>		53
<u>Item 14 Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>		53
PART IV		
<u>Item 15 Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>		53
<u>Signatures</u>		54
<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data — Index to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>		F-1
<u>Exhibit Index</u>		

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This Form 10-K contains statements which, to the extent they are not statements of historical or present fact, constitute "forward-looking statements." Forward-looking statements about our business and expectations within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, including statements relating to future revenue growth rates, earnings and other measures of financial performance, the effect of economic downturns on our business performance, demand for our products, realizability of assets, future cash flow and uses of cash, future repurchases of common stock, future levels of indebtedness and capital spending, warranty expense, share-based compensation expense, and competition. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "expects," "may," "anticipates," "intends," "would," "will," "plans," "believes," "estimates," "should," and similar words and expressions. These forward-looking statements are intended to provide our current expectations or forecasts of future events; are based on current estimates, projections, beliefs, and assumptions; and are not guarantees of future performance. Actual events or results may differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve a number of risks and uncertainties as more fully described under the heading "Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors" in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The risks and uncertainties discussed herein do not reflect the potential future impact of any mergers, acquisitions or dispositions. In addition, any forward-looking statements represent our estimates only as of the day this annual report was first filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and should not be relied upon as representing our estimates as of any subsequent date. From time to time, oral or written forward-looking statements may also be included in other materials released to the public. While we may elect to update forward-looking statements at some point in the future, we specifically disclaim any obligation to do so, even if our estimates or expectations change.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

We develop, manufacture and distribute products and provide services primarily for the veterinary and the food and water testing markets. We also sell a line of portable electrolytes and blood gas analyzers for the human point-of-care medical diagnostics market. Our primary products and services are:

- Point-of-care veterinary diagnostic products, comprising rapid assays and instruments and consumables;
- Laboratory and consulting services used by veterinarians;
- Practice information systems and services and digital radiography systems used by veterinarians;
- Diagnostic and health-monitoring products for production animals;
- Products that test water for certain microbiological contaminants;
- Products that test milk for antibiotic residues; and
- Point-of-care electrolytes and blood gas analyzers used in the human medical diagnostics market.

In the fourth quarter of 2008, we sold our Acarexx® and SURPASS® veterinary pharmaceutical products and a product under development. Upon completion of this transaction we restructured the remaining pharmaceutical business and realigned the remaining pharmaceutical product lines to other business units. We have also retained certain drug delivery technologies that we will look to utilize in development agreements with pharmaceutical companies. See note 16 to the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We are a Delaware corporation and were incorporated in 1983. Our principal executive offices are located at One IDEXX Drive, Westbrook, Maine 04092, our telephone number is 207-556-0300, and our Internet address is www.idexx.com. References herein to "we," "us," the "Company," or "IDEXX" include our wholly-owned subsidiaries unless the context otherwise requires. References to our Web site are inactive textual references only and the content of our Web site should not be deemed incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K for any purpose.

We make available free of charge on our Web site our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after we file such information with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). In addition, copies of our reports filed electronically with the SEC may be accessed on the SEC’s Web site at www.sec.gov. The public may also read and copy any materials filed with the SEC at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS BY SEGMENT

During 2008, we operated primarily through three business segments: products and services for the veterinary market, which we refer to as the Companion Animal Group (“CAG”), water quality products (“Water”) and products for production animal health, which we refer to as the Production Animal Segment (“PAS”). We also operate two smaller segments that comprise products for dairy quality, which we refer to as Dairy, and products for the human medical diagnostic market, which we refer to as OPTI Medical. Financial information about the Dairy and OPTI Medical operating segments and other activities are combined and presented in an “Other” category because they do not meet the quantitative or qualitative thresholds for reportable segments. In addition, we maintain active research and development programs, some of which may materialize into the development and introduction of new technology, products or services that do not align with one of our existing business or service categories. In such situations, the related financial impacts are shown in the “Other” category. In connection with the restructuring of our pharmaceutical business at the end of 2008, we realigned two of our remaining product lines to the Rapid Assay business, which is part of our CAG segment, and realigned the remainder of the business, which comprised one product line and two out-licensing arrangements, to the Other category. Segment information presented for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 has been restated to conform to our presentation of reportable segments for the year ended December 31, 2008. See Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for financial information about our segments, including geographic information, and about our product and service categories.

COMPANION ANIMAL GROUP

Instruments and Consumables

We currently market an integrated suite of in-house laboratory analyzers for use in veterinary practices that we refer to as the IDEXX VetLab® suite of analyzers. The IDEXX VetLab® suite includes several instrument systems, as well as associated proprietary consumable products that are described below:

Blood and Urine Chemistry.

We sell two analyzers, the Catalyst Dx™ Chemistry Analyzer and the VetTest® Chemistry Analyzer, that are used by veterinarians to measure levels of certain enzymes and other substances in blood or urine for assistance in diagnosing physiologic conditions. Both instruments use consumables manufactured for IDEXX by Ortho-Clinical Diagnostics, Inc. (“Ortho”), a subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson, based on Ortho’s dry slide technology (“dry chemistry slides,” “VetTest® slides,” “Catalyst Dx™ slides” or “slides”). In addition to dry chemistry slides, the Catalyst Dx™ Analyzer also uses electrolyte consumables manufactured by IDEXX at OPTI Medical. Blood tests commonly run on these analyzers include glucose, alkaline phosphatase, ALT (alanine aminotransferase), creatinine, BUN (blood urea nitrogen), and total protein. Tests are sold individually and in prepackaged panels. Both analyzers also run a urine test called urine protein: creatinine ratio, which assists in the detection of early renal disease.

The Catalyst Dx™ Analyzer is our latest generation analyzer, which was launched in the first quarter of 2008. The Catalyst Dx™ analyzer provides significantly improved throughput, ease of use and menu, including the ability to run electrolytes, relative to the VetTest® analyzer. Key ease-of-use features include the ability to run whole blood by way of an on-board centrifuge, the ability to run pre-packaged clips in addition to single chemistry slides and an automated metering system. The Catalyst Dx™ analyzer also has the ability to run automated dilutions, which is an ease-of-use feature both for certain blood chemistries and the test for urine protein: creatinine ratio. The Catalyst Dx™ analyzer allows a veterinarian to run multiple sample types simultaneously; to run different sample types including whole blood, plasma, serum and urine; includes the ability to perform 26 different chemistry and electrolyte parameter tests; and to automatically calculate other parameters and ratios important to blood chemistry analysis.

Our VetLyte® Electrolyte Analyzer measures three electrolytes—sodium, potassium and chloride—to aid in evaluating acid-base and electrolyte balances and assessing plasma hydration.

Our VetStat® Electrolyte and Blood Gas Analyzer measures electrolytes, blood gases, glucose and ionized calcium, and calculates other parameters, such as base excess and anion gap. These measurements aid veterinarians in diagnosing various disease states and evaluating fluid therapy choices and measuring respiratory function. The VetStat® analyzer runs single-use disposable cassettes that contain various configurations of analytes.

Sales of chemistry reagents for use in our installed base of chemistry analyzers provide the majority of consumables volumes and revenues generated from our installed base of IDEXX VetLab® equipment.

Hematology. We sell three hematology analyzers: the LaserCyte® Hematology Analyzer, which uses laser-flow cytometry technology to analyze cellular components of blood, including red blood cells, white blood cells, and platelets (also called a complete blood count (“CBC”)); the Coag Dx™ Analyzer, which permits the detection and diagnosis of blood clotting disorders; and the IDEXX VetAutoread™ Hematology Analyzer, which also provides a CBC.

Quantitative Immunoassay Testing. In the first quarter of 2008, we launched the SNAPshot Dx® Analyzer, which allows the veterinarian to obtain quantitative measurements of total thyroxine (“T₄”), cortisol and bile acids, which assist in the evaluation of thyroid, adrenal and liver function. The SNAPshot Dx® Analyzer is designed to significantly improve ease of use, throughput and menu relative to the previous generation IDEXX SNAP® Reader. In 2009, the SNAPshot Dx® Analyzer will also read, interpret and record the results of certain IDEXX rapid assay SNAP® tests, including our feline SNAP® FIV/FeLV Combo Test and canine SNAP® cPL™.

Urinalysis. The IDEXX VetLab® UA™ Analyzer provides rapid, semi-quantitative urinalysis and is validated specifically for veterinary use.

IDEXX VetLab® Station. The IDEXX VetLab® Station (“IVLS”) connects and integrates the information from all the IDEXX VetLab® equipment and thus provides laboratory information management system capability. We sell the IVLS as an integral component of the Catalyst Dx™ and LaserCyte® systems and also as a standalone hardware platform. The IVLS includes a user interface to input patient information, connect with a practice management information system, and to send information to run the individual analyzers. IVLS also generates one integrated patient report; stores, retrieves and analyzes historical patient diagnostics data, including SNAP® test results; and sends and receives information from practice information management systems, including IDEXX Cornerstone® and Better Choice® systems, as well as a wide variety of third-party systems.

Rapid Assays

We provide a broad range of single-use, handheld test kits under the SNAP® name that allow quick, accurate and convenient test results for a variety of companion animal diseases and health conditions. These products enable veterinarians to provide improved service to animal owners by delivering test results and a diagnosis at the time of the patient visit, allowing the veterinarian to initiate therapy or prevention, if required. These kits work without the use of instrumentation.

Our principal single-use tests include canine combination parasite tests called SNAP® 3Dx®, which tests simultaneously for Lyme disease, *Ehrlichia canis* and heartworm, and SNAP® 4Dx®, which additionally tests for *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*; a canine heartworm-only test; canine tests for parvovirus and pancreatitis; feline combination tests called the SNAP® FIV Antibody/FeLV Antigen Combo Test, which enables veterinarians to test simultaneously for feline immunodeficiency virus (“FIV”) (which is similar to the human AIDS virus) and feline leukemia virus (“FeLV”), and SNAP® Feline Triple, which additionally tests for heartworm; a feline test for FeLV only; and canine and feline tests for *Giardia*, a parasitic disease. Sales of canine parasite tests, including the heartworm only test, are greater in the first half of our fiscal year due to seasonality of disease testing in the veterinary practice. We maintain certain patents concerning diagnostic products for FIV that expire beginning in June 2009. See “Part I, Patents and Licenses.”

In addition to our single-use tests, we sell a line of microwell-based test kits, under the PetChek® name, that are used by larger clinics and laboratories to test multiple samples. PetChek® tests offer accuracy, ease of use and provides cost advantages to high-volume customers. We currently sell PetChek® tests for canine heartworm disease, FIV, and FeLV.

Veterinary Reference Laboratory and Consulting Services

We offer commercial veterinary reference laboratory and consulting services to veterinarians in the U.S., Canada, Europe, Australia, Japan, and South Africa. Veterinarians use our services by submitting samples by courier or overnight delivery to one of our facilities. Our laboratories offer a large selection of tests and diagnostic panels to detect a number of disease states and other conditions in companion and production animals. This menu of tests includes a number of specialized and proprietary tests that we have developed that allow practitioners to diagnose increasingly relevant diseases in dogs and cats, including heart disease, pancreatitis and certain infectious diseases.

Additionally, we provide specialized veterinary consultation, telemedicine and advisory services, including cardiology, radiology, internal medicine and ultrasound consulting. These services permit veterinarians to obtain readings and interpretations of test results transmitted by telephone and over the Internet from the veterinarians' offices.

Practice Information Systems and Digital Radiography

Practice Information Systems and Services. We develop, market and sell practice information systems, including hardware and software, that run key functions of veterinary clinics, including patient electronic health records management, scheduling (including boarding and grooming), billing, and inventory management. Our principal system is the Cornerstone® system. We also support several legacy systems installed with our customers, including IDEXX Better Choice®, IDEXX VPM™ and IDEXX VetLINK®. Additionally, we provide software and hardware support to our practice information system customers, and related supplies and services to veterinary practice information system users in general, and we derive a significant portion of our revenues for this product line from ongoing service contracts.

Digital Radiography Systems and Services. Our digital radiography systems capture radiograph images in digital form, replacing traditional x-ray film. Use of digital radiography systems eliminates the need for the film and processor, hazardous chemicals and darkroom required for the production of film images, and provides for image manipulation and enhancement through contrast management. We market and sell three digital radiography systems, the IDEXX-DR™ 1417 and the IDEXX-CR™ 1417 systems for use in the small animal (e.g., dog and cat) veterinary hospital, and the IDEXX EquiView® DR system for use as a portable unit in ambulatory veterinary practices, such as equine practices. Our digital radiography systems use IDEXX-PACSTM and IDEXX EquiView PACSTM picture archiving and communication system (“PACS”) software for the viewing, manipulation, management, storage and retrieval of the digital images generated by the digital capture plate. The PACS software also permits images from our digital radiography systems to be integrated into patients’ medical records in the Cornerstone® system, as well as transferred to other practice information management systems.

WATER

We offer a range of products used in the detection of various microbiological analytes in water.

Our Colilert®, Colilert®-18 and Colisure® tests simultaneously detect total coliforms and *E. coli* in water. These organisms are broadly used as indicators of microbial contamination in water. These products utilize indicator-nutrients that produce a change in color or fluorescence when metabolized by target microbes in the sample. Our water tests are used by government laboratories, water utilities and private certified laboratories to test drinking water in compliance with regulatory standards, including U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) standards. The tests also are used in evaluating water used in production processes (for example, in beverage and pharmaceutical applications) and in evaluating bottled water, recreational water, waste water and water from private wells.

Our Enterolert™ product detects enterococci in drinking and recreational waters. Our Quanti-Tray® products, when used in conjunction with our Colilert®, Colilert®-18, Colisure® or Enterolert™ products, provide users quantitative measurements of microbial contamination, rather than a presence/absence indication. The Colilert®, Colilert®-18, Colisure® and Quanti-Tray® products have been approved by the EPA and by regulatory agencies in certain other countries.

Our Filta-Max® and Filta-Max *xpress*® products are used in the detection of *Cryptosporidium* in water. *Cryptosporidium* is a parasite that can cause potentially fatal gastrointestinal illness if ingested. Previously, testing of water supplies for *Cryptosporidium* was mandated by regulation only in England and Wales. Effective January 1, 2009, testing of water supplies for *Cryptosporidium* is no longer required by regulation in England or Wales. While our customers in these countries may voluntarily continue to test for *Cryptosporidium* after that date, we expect that beginning in 2009 we will lose sales of Filta-Max® products in England and Wales to customers who have tested solely based on regulatory requirements. Our sales of Filta-Max® products in England and Wales were \$2.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008.

In September 2007, we commenced distribution of certain water testing kits manufactured by Invitrogen Corporation ("Invitrogen"). The Invitrogen kits complement our *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* testing products.

PRODUCTION ANIMAL SEGMENT

We sell diagnostic tests and related instrumentation that are used to detect a wide range of diseases and to monitor health status in production animals. Our production animal products are purchased primarily by government laboratories and cattle, swine and poultry producers. Our largest product is a post-mortem test for bovine spongiform encephalopathy ("BSE" or "mad cow disease"). The European Commission recently approved a proposal to revise the monitoring regime for BSE in cattle, which increased the age at which healthy cattle to be slaughtered are required to be tested for BSE from 30 months to 48 months. It has been estimated that revisions will reduce the population of cattle tested by approximately 30%. The revision became effective January 1, 2009 and as a result we believe that we will lose a portion of our sales of our post-mortem test for BSE.

OTHER

Dairy

Our principal product for use in testing for antibiotic residue in milk is the SNAP® Beta-Lactam test. Our primary customers are dairy producers and processors worldwide who use our tests for quality assurance of raw milk.

OPTI Medical Systems

We sell OPTI® point-of-care analyzers and related consumables for use in human medical hospitals and clinics to measure electrolytes, blood gases, acid-base balance, glucose and ionized calcium, and to calculate other parameters such as base excess and anion gap. These analyzers are used primarily in emergency rooms, operating rooms, cardiac monitoring areas and any locations where time-critical diagnostic testing is performed within the hospital setting. The OPTI® CCA and OPTI® Touch Electrolyte and Blood Gas Analyzers run single-use disposable cassettes that contain various configurations of analytes; the OPTI® R Analyzer runs reusable cassettes in various analyte configurations; and the OPTI® LION Stat Electrolyte Analyzer runs single-use electrolyte cassettes.

Other

We maintain active research and development programs, some of which may materialize into the development and introduction of new technology, products or services that do not align with one of our existing business or service categories. In such situations, the related financial impacts are shown in the Other category. In connection with the restructuring of our pharmaceutical business at the end of 2008, we realigned one product line and two out-licensing arrangements from the pharmaceutical business to the Other category. The financial impacts of the product line and out-licensing arrangements have been shown in the Other segment for 2008. The segment information for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007 has been restated to conform to our presentation of reportable segments for the year ended December 31, 2008.

MARKETING AND DISTRIBUTION

We market, sell and service our products worldwide through our marketing, sales and technical service groups, as well as through independent distributors and other resellers. We maintain sales offices outside the U.S. in Australia, Canada, China, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, the Netherlands, Spain, Switzerland, Taiwan and the United Kingdom. Sales and marketing expense was \$170.0 million, \$151.9 million and \$115.9 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, or 16.6% of sales in 2008, 16.5% of sales in 2007, and 15.7% of sales in 2006.

Generally, we select the appropriate distribution channel for our products based on the type of product, technical service requirements, number and concentration of customers, regulatory requirements and other factors. We market our companion animal diagnostic products to veterinarians both directly and through independent veterinary distributors in the U.S., with most instruments sold directly by IDEXX sales personnel, and rapid assay test kits and instrument consumables supplied primarily by the distribution channel. Outside the U.S., we sell our companion animal diagnostic products through our direct sales force and, in certain countries, through distributors and other resellers. We sell our reference laboratory services worldwide through our direct sales force. We market our software and digital radiography products through our direct sales force primarily in the U.S. We market our water and food diagnostics products primarily through our direct sales force in the U.S. and Canada. Outside the U.S. and Canada, we market these products through selected independent distributors and, in certain countries, through our direct sales force. We sell our OPTI® electrolyte and blood gas analyzers both directly and through independent human medical product distributors in the U.S. and sell most of the related consumables through the distribution channel. Outside the U.S., we sell our OPTI® products primarily through distributors and other resellers.

Our largest customers are our U.S. distributors of our products in the CAG segment. One of our CAG distributors, Butler Animal Health Supply, LLC, accounted for 8% of our 2008 and 2007 revenue, 9% of our 2006 revenue, and 5% of our net accounts receivable at December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Our business includes the development and introduction of new products and services and may involve entry into new business areas. We maintain active research and development programs in each of our business areas. Our research and development expenses, which consist of salaries, employee benefits, materials and consulting costs, were \$70.7 million, \$67.3 million and \$53.6 million, or 6.9% of sales in 2008 and 7.3% of sales in 2007 and 2006.

PATENTS AND LICENSES

We actively seek to obtain patent protection in the U.S. and other countries for inventions covering our products and technologies. We also license patents and technologies from third parties.

Important patents and licenses include:

- An exclusive license from the Regents of the University of California to patents concerning diagnostic products for FIV that expire in June 2009; and other patents covering various reagents, kits and/or immunoassays for detecting FIV antibodies that expire beginning in 2014;
- Exclusive licenses from Tulane University and the University of Texas to patents and patent applications relating to the detection of Lyme disease that expire beginning in 2019;
- A patent concerning the Colilert®-18 product that expires in 2014;
- A patent concerning the Quanti-Tray® product that expires in 2014;
- A patent that relates to certain methods and kits for simultaneously detecting antigens and antibodies, which covers certain of our SNAP® products, including our canine and feline combination tests, that expires in 2014;
- An exclusive license from Boehringer Ingelheim to certain patents covering reagents and methods for detecting the PRRS virus that expire beginning in 2012; and
- An exclusive license from Cornell University to patents covering methods for detecting BVDV that expire beginning in 2017.

To the extent some of our products may now, or in the future, embody technologies protected by patents, copyrights or trade secrets of others, we may be required to obtain licenses to such technologies in order to continue to sell our products. These licenses may not be available on commercially reasonable terms or at all. Our failure to obtain any such licenses may delay or prevent the sale of certain new or existing products. See "Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors."

PRODUCTION AND SUPPLY

Many of the instruments that we sell are manufactured by third parties and we rely on third parties, who are in some cases sole source suppliers, to supply us with certain important components, raw materials and consumables used in or with our products.

Significant products supplied by third parties include VetTest® Chemistry Analyzers and consumables, VetAutoread™ Hematology Analyzers and consumables, VetLyte® Electrolyte Analyzers and consumables, Coag Dx™ Analyzers and consumables, and Catalyst Dx™ consumables (other than electrolyte consumables).

VetTest® slides and Catalyst Dx™ chemistry slides are supplied by Ortho under supply agreements that expire in 2025 (the "Ortho Agreements"). We are required to purchase all of our requirements for our current menu of VetTest® slides and Catalyst Dx™ chemistry slides from Ortho to the extent Ortho is able to supply those requirements. In addition, we have committed to minimum annual purchase volumes of certain VetTest® slides and Catalyst Dx™ chemistry slides through 2010.

Other analyzers and consumables are purchased under supply agreements with terms ranging from 1 year to 14 years, which in some cases may be extended at our option. We have minimum purchase obligations under some of these agreements, and our failure to satisfy these obligations may result in loss of some or all of our rights under these agreements.

We purchase certain other products, raw materials and components from a single supplier. These products include certain digital radiography systems and certain components used in our SNAP® rapid assay devices, production animal testing kits, water testing products, and blood analyzers, including our LaserCyte® Hematology Analyzers.

We have in the past been successful in ensuring an uninterrupted supply of products purchased from single source suppliers. However, there can be no assurance that uninterrupted supply can be maintained if these agreements terminate for any reason or our suppliers otherwise are unable to satisfy our requirements for products. See "Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors."

We do not generally maintain significant backlog and believe that our backlog at any particular date historically has not been indicative of future sales.

COMPETITION

We face intense competition within the markets in which we sell our products and services. We expect that future competition will become even more intense, and that we will have to compete with changing technologies, which could affect the marketability of our products and services. Our competitive position also will depend on our ability to develop proprietary products, integrate our products, develop and maintain effective sales channels, attract and retain qualified scientific and other personnel, develop and implement production and marketing plans, obtain or license patent rights, and obtain adequate capital resources.

We compete with many companies ranging from small businesses focused on animal health to large human medical diagnostics companies. Our competitors vary in our different markets. Academic institutions, governmental agencies and other public and private research organizations also conduct research activities and may commercialize products, which could compete with our products, on their own or through joint ventures. Some of our competitors have substantially greater capital, manufacturing, marketing, and research and development resources than we do.

Competitive factors in our different business areas are detailed below:

- Veterinary diagnostic products and food and water testing products. We compete primarily on the basis of the ease of use, speed, accuracy, quality of the information provided, and other performance characteristics of our products and services (including unique tests), the breadth of our product line and services, the effectiveness of our sales and distribution channels, the quality of our technical and customer service, and our pricing relative to the value of our products.
- Veterinary laboratory and consulting services. In this market, we compete primarily on the basis of quality, consistency of service levels, technology, and our pricing relative to the value of our services. We compete in most geographic locations in North America with Antech Diagnostics, a unit of VCA Antech, Inc.
- Practice Information Management and Digital Radiography Systems. We compete primarily on the basis of functionality, connectivity to equipment and other systems, performance characteristics, effectiveness of our customer service, information handling capabilities, advances in technologies, and our pricing relative to the value of our products and services.
- Electrolyte and Blood Gas Analyzers for the human medical diagnostics point-of-care market. In this market we compete primarily with large human medical diagnostics companies such as Radiometer A/S, Siemens Medical Solutions Diagnostics, Instrumentation Laboratory, Abbott Diagnostics, and Roche Diagnostics. We compete primarily on the basis of ease of use, menu, convenience, international distribution and service, instrument reliability, and our pricing relative to the value of our products.

GOVERNMENT REGULATION

Many of our products are subject to comprehensive regulation by U.S. and foreign regulatory agencies that relate to, among other things, product approvals, manufacturing, marketing and promotion, recordkeeping, testing, quality, storage, and product disposal. The following is a description of the principal regulations affecting our businesses.

Veterinary diagnostic products. Diagnostic tests for animal health infectious diseases, including most of our production animal products and our rapid assay products, are regulated in the U.S. by the Center for Veterinary Biologics within the United States Department of Agriculture (“USDA”) Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (“APHIS”). These products must be approved by APHIS before they may be sold in the U.S. The APHIS regulatory approval process involves the submission of product performance data and manufacturing documentation. Following regulatory approval to market a product, APHIS requires that each lot of product be submitted for review before release to customers. In addition, APHIS requires special approval to market products where test results are used in part for government-mandated disease management programs. A number of foreign governments accept APHIS approval as part of their separate regulatory approvals. However, compliance with an extensive regulatory process is required in connection with marketing diagnostic products in Japan, Germany, the Netherlands and many other countries. We also are required to have a facility license from APHIS to manufacture USDA-licensed products. We have obtained such a license for our manufacturing facility in Westbrook, Maine and our distribution center in Memphis, Tennessee.

Our veterinary diagnostic instrument systems are medical devices regulated by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) under the Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act (the “FDC Act”). While the sale of these products does not require premarket approval by the FDA and does not subject us to the FDA’s current Good Manufacturing Practices regulations (“cGMP”), these products must not be adulterated or misbranded under the FDC Act.

These instrument systems also are subject to the European Medical Device Directives, which create a single set of medical device regulations for all European Union (“EU”) member countries and require companies that wish to manufacture and distribute medical devices in EU member countries to obtain European Conformity (“CE”) marking for their products.

Water testing products. Our water tests are not subject to formal premarket regulatory approval. However, before a test can be used as part of a water quality monitoring program in the U.S. that is required by the EPA, the test must first be approved by the EPA. The EPA approval process involves submission of extensive product performance data in accordance with an EPA-approved protocol, evaluation of the data by the EPA and publication for public comment of any proposed approval in the Federal Register before final approval. Our Colilert®, Colilert®-18, Colisure®, Quanti-Tray®, Filt-A-Max® and SimPlate® for heterotrophic plate counts (“HPC”) products have been approved by the EPA. The sale of water testing products also is subject to extensive and lengthy regulatory processes in many other countries around the world.

Dairy testing products. Dairy products used in National Conference on Interstate Milk Shipments (“NCIMS”) milk-monitoring programs, are regulated by the FDA. Before products requiring FDA approval can be sold in the U.S., extensive product performance data must be submitted in accordance with an FDA approved protocol administered by AOAC Research Institute (“AOAC RI”). Following approval of a product by the FDA, the product must also be approved by NCIMS, an oversight body that includes state, federal and industry representatives. Our SNAP® Beta-Lactam dairy antibiotic residue testing product has been approved by the FDA and NCIMS. While some foreign countries accept AOAC RI approval as part of their regulatory approval process, many countries have separate regulatory processes.

Human point-of-care electrolyte and blood gas analyzers. Our OPTI® instrument systems are classified as Class II medical devices, and their design, manufacture and marketing are regulated by the FDA. Accordingly, we must comply with cGMP in the manufacture of our OPTI® products. The FDA’s Quality System regulations further set forth standards for product design and manufacturing processes, require the maintenance of certain records, and provide for inspections of our facilities by the FDA. New OPTI® products fall into FDA classifications that require notification of and review by the FDA before marketing, submitted as a 510(k) application.

OPTI® products are also subject to the European Medical Device Directives and regulations governing the manufacture and marketing of medical devices in other countries in which they are sold.

Any acquisitions of new products and technologies may subject us to additional areas of government regulation. These may involve food, drug, medical device and water-quality regulations of the FDA, the EPA and the USDA, as well as state, local and foreign governments. See “Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors.”

EMPLOYEES

At December 31, 2008, we had approximately 4,700 full-time and part-time employees.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our future operating results involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Actual events or results may differ materially from those discussed in this report. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, the factors discussed below, as well as those discussed elsewhere in this report.

Our Failure to Successfully Execute Certain Strategies Could Have a Negative Impact on Our Growth and Profitability

The companion animal health care industry is very competitive and we anticipate increased competition from both existing competitors and new market entrants. Our ability to maintain or enhance our historical growth rates and our profitability depends on our successful execution of many elements of our strategy, which include:

- Developing, manufacturing and marketing innovative new in-house laboratory analyzers such as Catalyst Dx™ and SNAPshot Dx® that drive sales of IDEXX VetLab® instruments, grow our installed base of instruments, and create a recurring revenue stream from consumable products;
- Developing and introducing new proprietary rapid assay and other diagnostic tests and services that effectively differentiate our products and services from those of our competitors;
- Achieving the benefits of economies of scale in our worldwide reference laboratory business;
- Increasing the value to our customers of our companion animal products and services by enhancing the integration of these products;
- Growing our market share by strengthening our sales and marketing activities both within the U.S. and in geographies outside of the U.S.; and
- Developing and implementing new technology and licensing strategies; and identifying, completing and integrating acquisitions that enhance our existing businesses or create new business or geographic areas for us.

If we are unsuccessful in implementing some or all of these strategies, our rate of growth or profitability may be negatively impacted.

A Weak Economy Could Result in Reduced Demand for Our Products and Services

A substantial percentage of our sales are made worldwide to the companion animal veterinary market. Demand for our companion animal diagnostic products and services is driven in part by the number of pet visits to veterinary hospitals and practices of veterinarians with respect to diagnostic testing. Economic weakness in our significant markets could cause pet owners to skip or defer visits to veterinary hospitals or could affect their willingness to treat certain pet health conditions, approve certain diagnostic tests, or continue to own a pet. In addition, concerns about the financial resources of pet owners could cause veterinarians to be less likely to recommend certain diagnostic tests. A decline in pet visits to the hospital, in the willingness of pet owners to treat certain health conditions or approve certain tests, in pet ownership, or in the inclination of veterinarians to recommend certain tests could result in a decrease in diagnostic testing, and therefore in our sales of diagnostic products and services.

Disruption in Financial and Currency Markets Could Have a Negative Effect on Our Business

As widely reported, financial markets in the U.S., Europe and Asia have been experiencing extreme disruption in recent months, including, among other things, extreme volatility in security prices, severely diminished liquidity and credit availability, rating downgrades of certain investments and declining valuations of others. These economic developments affect businesses such as ours in a number of ways. The current tightening of credit in financial markets adversely affects the ability of customers to obtain financing for significant purchases and operations and could result in a decrease in orders for our products and services. The inability of pet owners to obtain consumer credit could lead to a decline in pet visits to the veterinarian, which could result in a decrease in diagnostic testing. Likewise, a decrease in diagnostic testing could negatively impact the financial condition of the veterinary practices that are our customers, which may inhibit their ability to pay us amounts owed for products delivered or services provided. In addition, although current economic conditions have not impacted our ability to access credit markets and finance our operations, further deterioration in financial markets could adversely affect our access to capital. We are unable to predict the likely duration and severity of the current disruption in financial markets and adverse economic conditions in the U.S. and other countries.

Strengthening of the Rate of Exchange for the U.S. Dollar Has a Negative Effect on Our Business

Strengthening of the rate of exchange for the U.S. Dollar against the Euro, the British Pound, the Canadian Dollar, the Japanese Yen and the Australian Dollar adversely affects our results, as it reduces the dollar value of sales that are made in those currencies and reduces the margins on products manufactured in the U.S. and exported to international markets. In 2008, approximately 24% of IDEXX sales were a result of exports from the U.S.

Our Dependence on a Limited Number of Suppliers Could Limit Our Ability to Sell Certain Products or Reduce Our Profitability

We currently purchase many products and materials from single sources or a limited number of sources. Some of the products that we purchase from these sources are proprietary, and, therefore, cannot be readily or easily replaced by alternative sources. These products include our VetAutoread™ hematology, VetLyte® electrolyte, IDEXX VetLab® UA™ urinalysis, VetTest® chemistry, and Coag Dx™ blood coagulation analyzers and related consumables and accessories; image capture plates used in our digital radiography systems; and certain components and raw materials used in our SNAP® rapid assay devices, water testing products and LaserCyte® hematology analyzers. If we are unable to obtain adequate quantities of these products in the future, we could face cost increases or reductions, delays or discontinuations in product shipments, which could result in our inability to supply the market, which would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Our Biologic Products Are Complex and Difficult to Manufacture, Which Could Negatively Affect Our Ability to Supply the Market

Many of our rapid assay and production animal diagnostic products are biologics, which are products that are comprised of materials from living organisms, such as antibodies, cells and sera. Manufacturing biologic products is highly complex. Unlike products that rely on chemicals for efficacy (such as most pharmaceuticals), biologics are difficult to characterize due to the inherent variability of biological input materials. Difficulty in characterizing biological materials or their interactions creates greater risk in the manufacturing process. There can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain adequate sources of biological materials or that biological materials that we maintain in inventory will yield finished products that satisfy applicable product release criteria. Our inability to produce or obtain necessary biological materials or to successfully manufacture biologic products that incorporate such materials could result in our inability to supply the market with these products, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Various Government Regulations Could Limit or Delay Our Ability to Market and Sell Our Products

In the U.S., the manufacture and sale of our products are regulated by agencies such as the USDA, the FDA and the EPA. Most diagnostic tests for animal health applications, including our canine, feline, poultry and livestock tests, must be approved by the USDA prior to sale in the U.S. Our water testing products must be approved by the EPA before they can be used by customers in the U.S. as a part of a water quality monitoring program required by the EPA. Our dairy testing products require approval by the FDA. The manufacture and sale of our OPTI® line of human point-of-care electrolytes and blood gas analyzers are regulated by the FDA and require approval by the FDA before they may be sold commercially in the U.S. The manufacture and sale of our products are subject to similar laws in many foreign countries. Any failure to comply with legal and regulatory requirements relating to the manufacture and sale of our products in the U.S. or in other countries could result in fines and sanctions against us or removals of our products from the market, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. In addition, delays in obtaining regulatory approvals for new products or product upgrades could have a negative impact on our growth and profitability.

Our Success Is Heavily Dependent Upon Our Proprietary Technologies

We rely on a combination of patent, trade secret, trademark and copyright laws to protect our proprietary rights. If we do not have adequate protection of our proprietary rights, our business may be affected by competitors who utilize substantially equivalent technologies that compete with us.

We cannot ensure that we will obtain issued patents, that any patents issued or licensed to us will remain valid, or that any patents owned or licensed by us will provide protection against competitors with similar technologies. Even if our patents cover products sold by our competitors, the time and expense of litigating to enforce our patent rights could be substantial, and could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. In addition, expiration of patent rights could result in substantial new competition in the markets for products previously covered by those patent rights. In this regard, we expect that revenues and profit margins associated with sales of our SNAP® FIV/FeLV tests are likely to decline following the expiration in June 2009 of a U.S. patent that we exclusively license that broadly covers products that diagnose feline immunodeficiency virus.

In the past, we have received notices claiming that our products infringe third-party patents and we may receive such notices in the future. Patent litigation is complex and expensive, and the outcome of patent litigation can be difficult to predict. We cannot ensure that we will win a patent litigation case or negotiate an acceptable resolution of such a case. If we lose, we may be stopped from selling certain products and/or we may be required to pay damages and/or ongoing royalties as a result of the lawsuit. Any such adverse result could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Distributor Purchasing Patterns Could Negatively Affect Our Operating Results

We sell many of our products, including substantially all of the rapid assays and instrument consumables sold in the U.S., through distributors. Distributor purchasing patterns can be unpredictable and may be influenced by factors unrelated to the end-user demand for our products. In addition, our agreements with distributors may generally be terminated by the distributors for any reason on 60 days notice. Because significant product sales are made to a limited number of distributors, the loss of a distributor or unanticipated changes in the frequency, timing or size of distributor purchases, could have a negative effect on our results of operations. Our financial performance, therefore, is subject to an unexpected downturn in product demand and may be unpredictable.

Distributors of veterinary products have entered into business combinations resulting in fewer distribution companies. Consolidation within distribution channels would increase our customer concentration level, which could increase the risks described in the preceding paragraph.

Increased Competition and Technological Advances by Our Competitors Could Negatively Affect Our Operating Results

We face intense competition within the markets in which we sell our products and services. We expect that future competition will become even more intense, and that we will have to compete with changing and improving technologies. Competitors may develop products that are superior to our products, and as a result, we may lose existing customers and market share. Some of our competitors and potential competitors, including large diagnostic companies, have substantially greater financial resources than us, and greater experience in manufacturing, marketing, research and development and obtaining regulatory approvals than we do.

Changes in Testing Patterns Could Negatively Affect Our Operating Results

The market for our companion and production animal diagnostic tests and our dairy and water testing products could be negatively impacted by a number of factors. The introduction or broad market acceptance of vaccines or preventatives for the diseases and conditions for which we sell diagnostic tests and services could result in a decline in testing. Changes in accepted medical protocols regarding the diagnosis of certain diseases and conditions could have a similar effect. Eradication or substantial declines in the prevalence of certain diseases also could lead to a decline in diagnostic testing for such diseases. Our production animal products business in particular is subject to fluctuations resulting from changes in disease prevalence. In addition, changes in government regulations could negatively affect sales of our products that are driven by compliance testing, such as our production animal, dairy and water products. Declines in testing for any of the reasons described could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Effective January 1, 2009, testing of water supplies for *Cryptosporidium* is no longer required by regulation in England or Wales. While our customers in these countries may voluntarily continue to test for *Cryptosporidium* after that date, we expect that beginning in 2009 we will lose sales of Filta-Max® products in England and Wales to customers who have tested solely based on regulatory requirements. Our sales of Filta-Max® products in England and Wales were \$2.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Effective January 1, 2009, the age at which healthy cattle to be slaughtered are required to be tested for BSE in the European Union was increased from 30 months to 48 months, which has been estimated to reduce the population of cattle tested by approximately 30%. As a result we believe that we are likely to lose a portion of our sales of our post-mortem test for BSE.

Consolidation of Veterinary Hospitals Could Negatively Affect Our Business

An increasing percentage of veterinary hospitals in the U.S. is owned by corporations that are in the business of acquiring veterinary hospitals and/or opening new veterinary hospitals nationally or regionally. Major corporate hospital owners in the U.S. include VCA Antech, Inc., National Veterinary Associates, and Banfield, The Pet Hospital, each of which is currently a customer of IDEXX. A similar trend exists in the U.K. and may in the future also develop in other countries. Corporate owners of veterinary hospitals could attempt to improve profitability by leveraging the buying power they derive from their scale to obtain favorable pricing from suppliers, which could have a negative impact on our results. In addition, certain corporate owners, most notably VCA Antech, our primary competitor in the U.S. market for reference laboratory services, also operate reference laboratories that serve both their hospitals and unaffiliated hospitals. Any hospitals acquired by these companies are likely to use their laboratory services almost exclusively. In addition, because these companies compete with us in the laboratory services business, hospitals acquired by these companies may cease to be customers or potential customers of our other companion animal products and services, which would cause our sales of these products and services to decline.

Our Inexperience in the Human Point-of-Care Market Could Inhibit Our Success in this Market

Upon acquiring the Critical Care Division of Osmetech plc in January 2007, we entered the human point-of-care medical diagnostics market for the first time with the sale of the OPTI® line of electrolyte and blood gas analyzers. The human point-of-care medical diagnostics market differs in many respects from the veterinary medical market. Significant differences include the impact of third party reimbursement on diagnostic testing, more extensive regulation, greater product liability risks, larger competitors, a more segmented customer base, and more rapid technological innovation. Our inexperience in the human point-of-care medical diagnostics market could negatively affect our ability to successfully manage the risks and features of this market that differ from the veterinary medical market. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in achieving growth and profitability in the human point-of-care medical diagnostics market comparable to the results we have achieved in the veterinary medical market.

Risks Associated with Doing Business Internationally Could Negatively Affect Our Operating Results

For the year ended December 31, 2008, 40% of our revenue was attributable to sales of products and services to customers outside the U.S. Various risks associated with foreign operations may impact our international sales. Possible risks include fluctuations in the value of foreign currencies, disruptions in transportation of our products, the differing product and service needs of foreign customers, difficulties in building and managing foreign operations, import/export duties and quotas, and unexpected regulatory, economic or political changes in foreign markets. Prices that we charge to foreign customers may be different than the prices we charge for the same products in the U.S. due to competitive, market or other factors. As a result, the mix of domestic and international sales in a particular period could have a material impact on our results for that period. In addition, many of the products for which our selling price may be denominated in foreign currencies are manufactured, sourced, or both, in the U.S. and our costs are incurred in U.S. dollars. We utilize non-speculative forward currency exchange contracts and natural hedges to mitigate foreign currency exposure. However, an appreciation of the U.S. dollar relative to the foreign currencies in which we sell these products would reduce our operating margins. Additionally, a strengthening U.S. dollar could negatively impact the ability of customers outside the U.S. to pay for purchases denominated in U.S. dollars.

The Loss of Our President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman Could Adversely Affect Our Business

We rely on the management and leadership of Jonathan W. Ayers, our President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman. We do not maintain key man life insurance coverage for Mr. Ayers. The loss of Mr. Ayers could have a material adverse impact on our business.

We Could Be Subject to Class Action Litigation Due to Stock Price Volatility, which, if it Occurs, Could Result in Substantial Costs or Large Judgments Against Us

The market for our common stock may experience extreme price and volume fluctuations, which may be unrelated or disproportionate to our operating performance or prospects. In the past, securities class action litigation has often been brought against companies following periods of volatility in the market prices of their securities. We may be the target of similar litigation in the future. Securities litigation could result in substantial costs and divert our management's attention and resources, which could have a negative effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

If Our Quarterly or Annual Results of Operations Fluctuate, This Fluctuation May Cause Our Stock Price to Decline, Resulting in Losses to You

Our prior operating results have fluctuated due to a number of factors, including seasonality of certain product lines; changes in our accounting estimates; the impact of acquisitions; timing of distributor purchases, product launches, operating expenditures, litigation and claim-related expenditures; changes in competitors' product offerings; changes in the economy affecting consumer spending; and other matters. Similarly, our future operating results may vary significantly from quarter to quarter or year to year due to these and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. If our operating results or projections of future operating results do not meet the expectations of market analysts or investors in future periods, our stock price may fall.

Future Operating Results Could Be Negatively Affected By the Resolution of Various Uncertain Tax Positions and by Potential Changes to Tax Incentives

In the ordinary course of our business, there are many transactions and calculations where the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. Significant judgment is required in determining our worldwide provision for income taxes. We periodically assess our exposures related to our worldwide provision for income taxes and believe that we have appropriately accrued taxes for contingencies. Any reduction of these contingent liabilities or additional assessment would increase or decrease income, respectively, in the period such determination was made. Our income tax filings are regularly under audit by tax authorities and the final determination of tax audits could be materially different than that which is reflected in historical income tax provisions and accruals. Additionally, we benefit from certain tax incentives offered by various jurisdictions. If we are unable to meet the requirements of such incentives, our inability to use these benefits could have a material negative effect on future earnings.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our worldwide headquarters is located on a 65-acre site in Westbrook, Maine where we occupy a 535,700 square foot building utilized for manufacturing, research and development, marketing, sales and general and administrative support functions.

Additional property ownership and leasing arrangements with approximate square footage, purpose and location are as follows:

Additional Properties Owned:

- 40,000 square feet of office and laboratory space located in the U.S., used for our Veterinary Reference Laboratory and Consulting Services business
- 23,000 square feet of office and laboratory space located in the U.K., used for our Veterinary Reference Laboratory and Consulting Services business
- 13,100 square feet of office and laboratory space located in Canada, used for our Veterinary Reference Laboratory and Consulting Services business

Additional Properties Leased:

- 297,600 total square feet of office and laboratory space located throughout the world, used for our Veterinary Reference Laboratory and Consulting Services business
- 134,800 square feet of office space in Maine for Corporate, Customer Service and IT support services
- 89,400 square feet of industrial space in Tennessee for distribution and warehousing
- 75,000 square feet of industrial space in the Netherlands for distribution and warehousing
- 71,100 square feet of office, manufacturing and warehousing space in Georgia related to our OPTI Medical Systems business
- 69,300 square feet of office and manufacturing space in Wisconsin related to our Practice Information Systems and Services business
- 61,400 total square feet of office and manufacturing space in France, Switzerland and Asia related to our Production Animal business
- 45,800 square feet of office space in the Netherlands which serves as our European headquarters
- 7,600 square feet of office and manufacturing space in the U.K. related to our Water business

We consider that our owned and leased properties are generally in good condition, are well-maintained, and are generally suitable and adequate to carry on our business.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On June 30, 2006, Cyntegra, Inc. filed suit against us in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California alleging that we had violated U.S. federal antitrust laws and California state unfair trade practices laws. The complaint alleged, among other things, that we were monopolizing the U.S. market for companion animal diagnostic products. The plaintiff sought injunctive relief and damages for purported lost sales. On October 26, 2007, the trial court granted summary judgment in our favor on all of Cyntegra's claims and dismissed the suit. Cyntegra appealed this decision to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Cyntegra filed its opening brief on appeal on May 30, 2008; we filed our opposition brief on July 2, 2008; and Cyntegra filed its reply brief on July 16, 2008. We expect the Court of Appeals to schedule a hearing in mid-2009. Until then, the trial court judgment in our favor remains in place. We will continue to defend ourselves vigorously, as we believe Cyntegra's claims are without merit.

From time to time, we are subject to other legal proceedings and claims, which arise in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year covered by this report.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY

Our executive officers at February 13, 2009 were as follows:

Name	Age	Title
Jonathan W. Ayers	52	Chairman of the Board of Directors, President and Chief Executive Officer
William C. Wallen, PhD	65	Senior Vice President and Chief Scientific Officer
William E. Brown III, PhD	54	Corporate Vice President
Conan R. Deady	47	Corporate Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
Thomas J. Dupree	40	Corporate Vice President
William B. Goodspeed	50	Corporate Vice President
Ali Naqui, PhD	55	Corporate Vice President
James F. Polewaczyk	45	Corporate Vice President
Johnny D. Powers, PhD	47	Corporate Vice President
Merilee Raines	53	Corporate Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
Michael J. Williams, PhD	41	Corporate Vice President

Mr. Ayers has been Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President of IDEXX since January 2002. Prior to joining IDEXX, from 1999 to 2001, Mr. Ayers was President of Carrier Corporation, the then-largest business unit of United Technologies Corporation, and from 1997 to 1999, he was President of Carrier's Asia Pacific Operations. From 1995 to 1997, Mr. Ayers was Vice President, Strategic Planning at United Technologies. Before joining United Technologies, from 1986 to 1995, Mr. Ayers held various positions at Morgan Stanley & Co. in mergers and acquisitions and corporate finance. Prior to Morgan Stanley, Mr. Ayers was a strategy consultant for Bain & Company from 1983 to 1986 and was in the field sales organization of IBM's Data Processing Division from 1978 to 1981. Mr. Ayers holds an undergraduate degree in molecular biophysics and biochemistry from Yale University and graduated from Harvard Business School in 1983.

Dr. Wallen has been Senior Vice President and Chief Scientific Officer of the Company since September 2003 and has been leading the Company's infectious disease product manufacturing operations since December 2008. He led the Company's pharmaceutical products business from September 2003 until the Company sold certain product lines and restructured that business in 2008. Prior to joining IDEXX, Dr. Wallen held various positions with Bayer Corporation, most recently as Senior Vice President, Research and Development, and Head, Office of Technology for the Diagnostics Division of Bayer Healthcare. From 2001 to 2003, Dr. Wallen served as Senior Vice President and Head of Research, Nucleic Acid Diagnostics Segment; from 1999 to 2001, as Senior Vice President of Research and Development Laboratory Testing Segment; and from 1993 to 1999, as Vice President of Research and Development, Immunodiagnostic and Clinical Chemistry Business Units. Before joining Bayer Corporation, from 1990 to 1993, Dr. Wallen was Vice President, Research and Development at Becton Dickinson Advanced Diagnostics.

Dr. Brown joined IDEXX as Corporate Vice President, Instrument Research and Development and Manufacturing in December 2008. Prior to joining IDEXX, from 1982 to 2007, Dr. Brown held various positions at Abbott Laboratories, Inc., a publicly held, global pharmaceuticals, nutritional and medical products company, most recently as Corporate Officer and Vice President of R&D, Assays and Instrument Systems for the Diagnostic Division.

Mr. Deady has been Corporate Vice President and General Counsel of the Company since 1999 and has been leading the Company's business development activities since April 2005 and its regulatory function since October 2008. Mr. Deady was Deputy General Counsel of the Company from 1997 to 1999. Before joining the Company in 1997, Mr. Deady was Deputy General Counsel of Thermo Electron Corporation (now Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.), a provider of analytical and laboratory products and services. Previously, Mr. Deady was a partner at Hale and Dorr LLP (now Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP).

Mr. Dupree has been Corporate Vice President of the Company since September 2006 and has been leading the Companion Animal Group Customer Facing Organization in North America since January 2007. Mr. Dupree was General Manager of the Company's Rapid Assay business from April 2005 to January 2007. Prior to that, Mr. Dupree was Vice President, Business Development. Before joining the Company in 2003, Mr. Dupree was employed at the Boston Consulting Group, a business strategy consulting firm, where he spent seven years leading project teams in the firm's technology and health care practices. Prior to that, Mr. Dupree held various management positions at Bath Iron Works Corporation.

Mr. Goodspeed joined IDEXX as Corporate Vice President in July 2007 and oversees the Company's Production Animal, Water and Dairy businesses. Prior to joining the Company, from 1994 to 2007, Mr. Goodspeed held various positions at J.M. Huber Corporation, a privately held company in the chemicals, food ingredients, building products, energy and timber industries, most recently as Sector CEO for Natural Resources and Technology-based Services.

Dr. Naqui has been Corporate Vice President of the Company since January 2006 and has overseen the Company's international commercial operations since December 2007 and its Asia Pacific and Latin America operations since January 2006. Dr. Naqui led the Company's Water and Dairy businesses from January 2000 to December 2007. He was General Manager, Water from September 1997 to January 2000, and Director of Research and Development from February 1993 to September 1997. Dr. Naqui joined the Company in 1993 as a result of the acquisition of Environetics, where he was the Director of Research and Development. Prior to joining Environetics, he was a research and development manager with Becton, Dickinson and Company.

Mr. Polewaczyk joined IDEXX as Corporate Vice President in February 2007 and oversees the Company's Rapid Assay and Digital lines of business. Before joining IDEXX, Mr. Polewaczyk was employed from 2001 at Philips Medical Systems, a subsidiary of Royal Philips Electronics, The Netherlands, as General Manager of their Medical Consumables and Sensors Business. Prior to that, Mr. Polewaczyk spent 15 years at Hewlett-Packard in a variety of senior marketing and product development roles.

Dr. Powers joined IDEXX as Corporate Vice President in February 2009 and oversees the Company's worldwide reference laboratories business. Prior to joining the Company, Dr. Powers was Vice President responsible for the Cancer Diagnostics business of Becton, Dickinson and Company from 2007 to 2008. Dr. Powers joined Becton Dickinson as a result of its acquisition in 2007 of TriPath Imaging Inc., where he held various positions from 2001 to 2007, most recently serving as President, TriPath Oncology business unit. From 1996 to 2001, Dr. Powers was employed by Ventana Medical Systems, most recently as Vice President and General Manager of Manufacturing Operations. From 1989 to 1996 Dr. Powers was employed by Organon Teknika Corporation in various technical manufacturing roles.

Ms. Raines has been Chief Financial Officer of the Company since October 2003 and Corporate Vice President, Finance of the Company since May 1995. Ms. Raines served as Vice President, Finance from March 1995 to May 1995, Director of Finance from 1988 to March 1995 and Controller from 1985 to 1988.

Dr. Williams has been Corporate Vice President of the Company since September 2006 and General Manager of the Companion Animal Instrument and Consumables business since 2004. Dr. Williams has overseen the OPTI Medical Systems business since its acquisition in January 2007. Dr. Williams was Vice President and General Manager of the Company's chemistry instruments and consumables business from 2003 to 2004. Prior to joining the Company in 2003, Dr. Williams was a healthcare strategy consultant at McKinsey & Company from 1995 to 2002 and a senior research associate at the Scripps Research Institute from 1992 to 1995.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Stock Split

On October 25, 2007, our board of directors approved a two-for-one split of the outstanding shares of our common stock, to be effected in the form of a 100% stock dividend. Each holder of common stock of record as of November 5, 2007 received one additional share of common stock. The additional shares of common stock were distributed on November 26, 2007. All share and per share data (except par value) in this Form 10-K have been adjusted to reflect the effect of the stock split for all periods presented.

Market Information

Our common stock is quoted on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol IDXX. The table below shows the high and low sale prices per share of our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Market for the years 2008 and 2007. Information prior to November 26, 2007 has been adjusted to reflect the two-for-one stock split with respect to the Company's outstanding common stock effective as of such date.

Calendar Year		High		Low
2008				
First Quarter		\$ 61.86		\$ 47.45
Second Quarter		55.87		46.71
Third Quarter		63.58		47.88
Fourth Quarter		54.45		24.11
2007				
First Quarter		\$ 44.75		\$ 38.85
Second Quarter		48.45		42.82
Third Quarter		59.24		46.96
Fourth Quarter		64.68		53.58

Holders of Common Stock

At February 12, 2009, there were 840 holders of record of our common stock.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

During the three months ended December 31, 2008, we repurchased our shares as described below:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (a)	Average Price Paid per Share (b)	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (c)	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (d)
October 1, 2008 to October 31, 2008	25,000	\$ 32.82	25,000	4,484,370
November 1, 2008 to November 30, 2008	168,417	34.06	168,417	4,315,953
December 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008	<u>103,613</u>	32.49	<u>103,289</u>	4,212,664
Total	<u>297,030</u>	\$ 33.40	<u>296,706</u>	4,212,664

Our board of directors has approved the repurchase of up to 40,000,000 shares of our common stock in the open market or in negotiated transactions. The plan was approved and announced on August 13, 1999, and subsequently amended on October 4, 1999, November 16, 1999, July 21, 2000, October 20, 2003, October 12, 2004, October 12, 2005, February 14, 2007, and February 13, 2008 and does not have a specified expiration date. There were no other repurchase plans outstanding during the year ended December 31, 2008, and no repurchase plans expired during the period. Repurchases of 2,640,000 shares were made during the year ended December 31, 2008 in open market transactions.


During the year ended December 31, 2008, we received 24,469 shares of our common stock that were surrendered by employees in payment for the minimum required withholding taxes due on the vesting of restricted stock units and settlement of deferred stock units. In the above table, these shares are included in columns (a) and (b), but excluded from columns (c) and (d). These shares do not reduce the number of shares that may yet be purchased under the repurchase plan.

Dividends

We have never paid any cash dividends on our common stock. From time to time our board of directors may consider the declaration of a dividend. However, we have no present intention to pay a dividend.

Stock Performance Graph

This graph compares our total stockholder returns, the Standard & Poor's ("S&P") MidCap 400 Health Care Index, the S&P SmallCap 600 Health Care Index and the Total Return Index for the NASDAQ Stock Market (U.S. Companies) prepared by the Center for Research in Security Prices (the "NASDAQ Index"). This graph assumes the investment of \$100 on December 31, 2003 in IDEXX's common stock, the S&P MidCap 400 Health Care Index, the S&P SmallCap 600 Health Care Index and the NASDAQ Index and assumes dividends, if any, are reinvested. Measurement points are the last trading days of the years ended December 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008.



	<u>12/31/2003</u>	<u>12/31/2004</u>	<u>12/30/2005</u>	<u>12/29/2006</u>	<u>12/31/2007</u>	<u>12/31/2008</u>
IDEXX Laboratories, Inc.	\$ 100.00	\$ 117.98	\$ 155.53	\$ 171.35	\$ 253.37	\$ 155.92
S&P MidCap 400 Health Care Index	100.00	114.94	135.39	133.83	150.58	100.45
S&P SmallCap 600 Health Care Index	100.00	122.41	135.82	147.40	175.03	125.27
NASDAQ Index	100.00	108.84	111.16	122.11	132.42	63.80

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth selected consolidated financial data of the Company for each of the five years ending with December 31, 2008. The selected consolidated financial data presented below has been derived from the Company's consolidated financial statements. These financial data should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements, related notes and other financial information appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	For the Years Ended December 31, (in thousands, except per share data)				
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
INCOME STATEMENT DATA:					
Revenue	\$ 1,024,030	\$ 922,555	\$ 739,117	\$ 638,095	\$ 549,181
Cost of revenue	494,264	459,033	359,588	315,195	270,164
Gross profit	529,766	463,522	379,529	322,900	279,017
Expenses:					
Sales and marketing	169,956	151,882	115,882	101,990	85,710
General and administrative	116,681	108,119	82,097	64,631	49,870
Research and development	70,673	67,338	53,617	40,948	35,402
Income from operations	172,456	136,183	127,933	115,331	108,035
Interest (expense) income, net	(2,269)	(1,340)	2,817	3,141	3,068
Income before provision for income taxes and partner's interest	170,187	134,843	130,750	118,472	111,103
Provision for income taxes	54,018	40,829	37,224	40,670	33,165
Partner's interest in loss of subsidiary	—	—	(152)	(452)	(394)
Net income	\$ 116,169	\$ 94,014	\$ 93,678	\$ 78,254	\$ 78,332
Earnings per share ⁽¹⁾ :					
Basic	\$ 1.94	\$ 1.53	\$ 1.49	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.14
Diluted	1.87	1.46	1.42	1.15	1.09
Weighted average shares outstanding ⁽¹⁾ :					
Basic	59,953	61,560	62,866	65,043	68,428
Diluted	62,249	64,455	65,907	68,109	71,601
Dividends paid	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
BALANCE SHEET DATA:					
Cash and investments	\$ 78,868	\$ 60,360	\$ 96,666	\$ 132,731	\$ 156,959
Working capital	60,598	82,271	177,520	192,679	201,640
Total assets	765,437	702,179	559,560	490,676	514,237
Total debt	156,479	78,683	7,125	551	1,810
Stockholders' equity	438,194	438,323	409,861	369,010	397,660

- (1) Share and per share amounts originally reported for 2006, 2005 and 2004 have been adjusted as appropriate to reflect the effect of a two-for-one stock split, which was effected in the form of a common stock dividend distributed on November 26, 2007.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSES OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Description of Segments. During 2008, we operated primarily through three business segments: products and services for the veterinary market, which we refer to as the Companion Animal Group ("CAG"), water quality products ("Water") and products for production animal health, which we refer to as the Production Animal Segment ("PAS"). We also operate two smaller segments that comprise products for dairy quality, which we refer to as Dairy, and products for the human medical diagnostic market, which we refer to as OPTI Medical. Financial information about the Dairy and OPTI Medical operating segments and other activities are combined and presented in an "Other" category because they do not meet the quantitative or qualitative thresholds for reportable segments. We added the OPTI Medical operating segment in connection with our acquisition of substantially all of the assets and assumption of certain liabilities of the Critical Care Division of Osmetech plc in January 2007.

In the fourth quarter of 2008, we sold our Acarexx® and SURPASS® veterinary pharmaceutical products and a product under development and subsequently restructured the remaining pharmaceutical business. In connection with this restructuring, we realigned two of our remaining product lines to the Rapid Assay business, which is part of our CAG segment, and realigned the remainder of the business, which comprised one product line and two out-licensing arrangements, to the Other category. In addition, we maintain active research and development programs, some of which may materialize into the development and introduction of new technology, products or services that do not align with one of our existing business or service categories. In such situations, the related financial impacts are shown in the Other category.

The segment information for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 has been restated to conform to our presentation of reportable segments for the year ended December 31, 2008. Previously, financial information related to the product lines realigned to Rapid Assay and the product line and out-licensing arrangement realigned to Other were included in the pharmaceutical business and reported in the CAG segment. See Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for financial information about our segments, including geographic information, and about our product and service categories.

Items that are not allocated to our operating segments are comprised primarily of corporate research and development expenses, a portion of share-based compensation expense, interest income and expense, and income taxes. We allocate most of our share-based compensation expense to the operating segments. This allocation differs from the actual expense and consequently yields a difference between the total allocated share-based compensation expense and the actual expense for the total company. In our segment disclosure of gross profit, operating expenses and operating income, these amounts are shown under the caption "unallocated amounts."

Impact of Distribution Channel on Results of Operations. Because our instrument consumables and rapid assay products are sold in the U.S. and certain other geographies by distributors, distributor purchasing dynamics have an impact on our reported sales of these products. Distributors purchase products from us and sell them to veterinary practices, who are the end users. Distributor purchasing dynamics may be affected by many factors and may be unrelated to underlying end-user demand for our products. As a result, fluctuations in distributors' inventories may cause reported results in a period not to be representative of underlying end-user demand. Therefore, we believe it is important to track distributor sales to end users and to distinguish between the impact of end-user demand and the impact of distributor purchasing dynamics on reported revenue growth.

Where growth rates are affected by changes in end-user demand, we refer to the impact of practice-level sales on growth. Where growth rates are affected by distributor purchasing dynamics, we refer to the impact of changes in distributors' inventories. If during the comparable period of the prior year, distributors' inventories grew by more than those inventories grew in the current year, then changes in distributors' inventories have a negative impact on our reported sales growth in the current period. Conversely, if during the comparable period of the prior year, distributors' inventories grew by less than those inventories grew in the current year, then changes in distributors' inventories have a positive impact on our reported sales growth in the current period.

The following is a discussion of the strategic and operating factors that we believe have the most significant effect on the performance of our business.

Companion Animal Group

In the CAG segment, we believe we have developed a strategic advantage over companies with more narrow product or service offerings. The breadth and complementary nature of our products and services give us scale in sales and distribution, permit us to offer integrated disease-management solutions that leverage the advantages of both point-of-care and outside laboratory testing, and facilitate the flow of medical and business information in the veterinary practice by connecting practice information software systems with reference laboratory test data, in-clinic test data from our IDEXX VetLab® suite of analyzers, and radiographic data in the IDEXX-PACSTM and IDEXX EquiView PACSTM software taken by our digital radiography systems.

Instruments and Consumables. Our strategy in our IDEXX VetLab® instrument business is to provide veterinarians with an integrated set of instruments that, individually and together, provide superior diagnostic information in the clinic, enabling veterinarians to practice better medicine and, in doing so, achieve their practice economic objectives, including growth and profitability. We derive substantial revenues and margins from the sale of consumables that are used in these instruments. The principal instruments used by veterinarians for in-clinic diagnostic testing are chemistry and hematology analyzers. In addition we sell instruments used for endocrinology, blood gas, electrolytes, urinalysis, and blood coagulation testing. Our IDEXX VetLab® Station is an in-clinic laboratory information management system that records and integrates patient diagnostic information from our analyzers for better practice management. Additionally, we offer extended maintenance agreements in connection with the sale of our instruments.

During the early stage of an instrument's life cycle, we derive relatively greater revenues from instrument placements, while consumable sales become relatively more significant in later stages as the installed base of instruments increases and instrument placement revenues begin to decline. Instrument sales have significantly lower gross margins than sales of consumables, and therefore the mix of instrument and consumable sales in a particular period will impact our gross margins in this line of business.

Our Catalyst Dx™ analyzer is our latest generation chemistry analyzer, which was launched in the first quarter of 2008. In addition, we sell and have an active installed base of approximately 30,000 VetTest® Chemistry Analyzers, with substantially all of our revenues from that product line currently derived from consumables sales. We continue to place VetTest® instruments through sales, lease, rental and other programs. A substantial portion of 2008 Catalyst Dx™ analyzer placements have been made at veterinary clinics that already own our VetTest® Chemistry Analyzer. As we continue to experience growth in sales of Catalyst Dx™ analyzers and the related consumables, we expect to see a decline in the sales of VetTest® consumables. Based on projections of future sales volume and the average unit price of consumables used in the Catalyst Dx™ and VetTest® analyzers, we do not expect a future shift to Catalyst Dx™ consumables to significantly impact gross margin. We do however expect near-term downward pressure on gross margin percentage due to higher relative instrument placement revenues as compared to consumable sales with continued penetration of the Catalyst Dx™ analyzer. Our long-term success in this area of our business is dependent upon new customer acquisition, customer retention and customer utilization of existing and new assays introduced on these instruments. To increase utilization, we seek to educate veterinarians about best medical practices that emphasize the importance of blood and urine chemistry testing for a variety of diagnostic purposes.

We purchase the chemistry consumables, other than electrolyte slides, used in our Catalyst Dx™ and VetTest® chemistry analyzers from Ortho under a supply agreement that continues through 2025. This supply agreement provides us with a long-term source of slides at costs that improve annually through 2010, and also improve over the term of the agreement as a result of increasing volume.

Our principal hematology analyzer is the LaserCyte® Hematology Analyzer, and in addition we sell the VetAutoread™ Hematology Analyzer. A substantial portion of LaserCyte® placements have been made at veterinary clinics that already own our VetAutoread™. Although we have experienced growth in sales of hematology consumables, LaserCyte® consumable sales have been partly offset by declines in sales of VetAutoread™ consumables. Because the gross margin percentage of LaserCyte® consumables exceeds the gross margin percentage of the VetAutoread™ consumables, gross margin from hematology consumables is expected to increase with continued penetration of the LaserCyte® Hematology Analyzer.

With all of our instrument lines, we seek to differentiate our products based on breadth of diagnostic menu, flexibility of menu selection, accuracy, reliability, ease of use, ability to handle compromised samples, time to result, analytical capability of software, integration with the IDEXX VetLab® Station, education and training, and superior sales and customer service. Our instruments and consumables typically are sold at a premium price to competitive offerings. Our success depends, in part, on our ability to differentiate our products in a way that justifies premium pricing.

Rapid Assay Products. Our rapid assay business consists primarily of single-use kits for point-of-care testing and, to a limited degree, microwell-based kits for laboratory testing for canine and feline diseases and conditions. Our rapid assay strategy is to develop, manufacture, market and sell proprietary tests that address important medical needs for particular diseases prevalent in the companion animal population. We seek to differentiate our tests through superior performance, including by providing our customers with proprietary combination tests that test a single sample for multiple analytes. Where alternative point-of-care offerings exist, we seek to differentiate our tests with superior performance. As in our other lines of business, we also seek to differentiate our products through superior customer service. These products carry price premiums over competitive products that we believe do not offer equivalent performance and diagnostic capabilities, and which we believe do not include a similar level of support. We further augment our product development and customer service efforts with sales and marketing programs that enhance medical awareness and understanding regarding our target diseases and the importance of diagnostic testing. We also seek to enhance efficiency and test result capture by providing our customers the ability to have rapid assay tests read and results recorded into the patient record by our SNAPshot Dx® Analyzer. This functionality is currently available for quantitative measurements of total thyroxine ("T₄"), cortisol and bile acids, which assist in the evaluation of thyroid, adrenal and liver function, respectively. We are currently developing this functionality across our canine and feline family of rapid assay products.

Veterinary Reference Laboratory and Consulting Services. We believe that more than half of all diagnostic testing by U.S. veterinarians is done at outside reference laboratories such as our IDEXX Reference Laboratories. In markets outside the U.S., in-clinic testing is less prevalent and an even greater percentage of diagnostic testing is done in reference laboratories. We attempt to differentiate our laboratory testing services from those of our competitors primarily on the basis of quality, customer service, technology employed and specialized test menu. Revenue growth in this business is achieved both through increased sales at existing laboratories and through the acquisition of new customers, including through laboratory acquisitions, customer list acquisitions and opening new laboratories. In 2006, we acquired laboratories in the U.S., South Africa, and Canada and acquired a veterinary laboratory customer list in the U.S. In 2007, we acquired laboratories in the U.S. and Canada and acquired veterinary laboratory customer lists in the U.S., Switzerland, and United Kingdom. In 2008, we acquired a laboratory in Spain and acquired certain intellectual property and distribution rights associated with a diagnostic test product. Profitability of this business is largely the result of our ability to achieve efficiencies from both volume and operational improvements. New laboratories that we open typically will operate at a loss until testing volumes reach a level that permits profitability. Acquired laboratories frequently operate less profitably than our existing laboratories and those laboratories may not achieve profitability comparable to our existing laboratories for several years while we implement operating improvements and efficiencies. Therefore, in the short term, new and acquired reference laboratories generally will have a negative effect on the operating margin of the laboratory and consulting services business.

Practice Information Systems and Digital Radiography. These businesses consist of veterinary practice information systems including hardware and software and veterinary-specific digital radiography systems. Our strategy in the practice information systems business is to provide superior total software and hardware integrated information solutions, backed by superior customer support and education, to allow the veterinarian to practice better medicine and achieve the practice's business objectives. We differentiate our software systems through enhanced functionality and ease of use. Our veterinary-specific digital radiography systems allow veterinarians to capture digital radiographs with ease and without the use of hazardous chemicals. The digital radiography systems also incorporate IDEXX-PACS™ and IDEXX EquiView PACS™ picture archiving and communication software developed by IDEXX that allows for image enhancement, manipulation, storage and retrieval, and integration with the practice information software. Our strategy in digital radiography is to offer a system that provides superior image quality and software capability at a competitive price, backed by the same customer support provided for our other products and services in the Companion Animal Group.

Water

Our strategy in the water testing business is to develop, manufacture, market and sell proprietary products with superior performance, supported by exceptional customer service. Our customers are primarily water utilities, government laboratories and private certified laboratories to whom strong relationships and customer support are very important. International sales of water testing products represented 48% of total water product sales in 2008, and we expect that future growth in this business will be significantly dependent on our ability to increase international sales. Growth also will be dependent on our ability to enhance and broaden our product line. Most water microbiological testing is driven by regulation, and, in many countries, a test may not be used for regulatory testing unless it has been approved by the applicable regulatory body. As a result, we maintain an active regulatory program under which we are seeking regulatory approvals in a number of countries, primarily in Europe.

Production Animal Segment

We develop, manufacture, market and sell a broad range of tests for various cattle, swine and poultry diseases and conditions, and have an active research and development and in-licensing program in this area. Our strategy is to offer proprietary tests with superior performance characteristics. Disease outbreaks are episodic and unpredictable, and certain diseases that are prevalent at one time may be substantially contained or eradicated at a later time. In response to outbreaks, testing initiatives may lead to exceptional demand for certain products in certain periods. Conversely, successful eradication programs may result in significantly decreased demand for certain products. The performance of this business, therefore, can fluctuate. In 2008, approximately 85% of our sales in this business were international. Because of the significant dependence of this business on international sales, the performance of the business is particularly subject to the various risks described above that are associated with doing business internationally. See "Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors."

Other

Dairy. Our strategy in the dairy testing business is to develop, manufacture and sell antibiotic residue testing products that satisfy applicable regulatory requirements for testing of milk by processors and producers and provide reliable field performance. The manufacture of these testing products leverage, almost exclusively, the SNAP® platform as well as the production equipment of our rapid assay business, incorporating customized reagents for antibiotic detection. In 2008, approximately 77% of our sales in this business were international. To successfully increase sales of dairy testing products, we believe that we need to increase penetration in geographies outside the U.S. and in the processor segment of the dairy market, defend our share of the farm segment of the dairy market, and to develop product line enhancements and extensions.

OPTI Medical Systems. Our strategy in the OPTI Medical Systems business for the human market is to develop, manufacture, and sell electrolyte and blood gas analyzers and related consumable products for the medical point-of-care diagnostics market worldwide, with a focus on small- to mid-sized hospitals. We seek to differentiate our products based on ease of use, menu, convenience, international distribution and service, and instrument reliability. Similar to our veterinary instruments and consumables strategy, a substantial portion of the revenues from this product line is derived from the sale of consumables for use on the installed base of electrolyte and blood gas analyzers. During the early stage of an instrument's life cycle, relatively greater revenues are derived from instrument placements, while consumable sales become relatively more significant in later stages as the installed base of instruments increases and instrument placement revenues begin to decline. Our long-term success in this area of our business is dependent upon new customer acquisition, customer retention and increased customer utilization of existing and new assays introduced on these instruments.

OPTI Medical Systems also supplies our VetStat® Electrolyte and Blood Gas Analyzer, an instrument and consumable system that is a member of the IDEXX VetLab® suite for the veterinary market. In addition, OPTI Medical Systems provides the electrolyte module and dry slide reagents that make up the electrolyte testing functionality of the Catalyst Dx™ analyzer. Our strategy in the OPTI Medical Systems business for the veterinary market is to utilize this unit's know-how, intellectual property and manufacturing capability to continue to expand the menu and instrument capability of the VetStat® and Catalyst Dx™ platforms for veterinary applications.

Other. We have developed certain proprietary technology that we believe may have application in areas that do not align with one of our existing business or service categories. Our strategy is to out-license these technologies to partners that are best positioned to complete the development and commercialization of products utilizing these technologies. To the extent we are successful in doing so, we may receive one-time or recurring payments based on the achievement of development or sales milestones. Our ability to succeed in this area of our business depends on our ability to attract and retain qualified scientific personnel to develop proprietary products or technology and our ability to identify suitable third parties to complete the commercialization of these technologies.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates. Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 describes the significant accounting policies used in preparation of these consolidated financial statements.

We believe the following critical accounting estimates and assumptions may have a material impact on reported financial condition and operating performance and involve significant levels of judgment to account for highly uncertain matters or are susceptible to significant change.

Revenue Recognition

Customer programs. We record estimated reductions to revenue in connection with customer marketing programs and incentive offerings that may give customers credits or award points. Award points may be applied to trade receivables owed to us and/or toward future purchases of our products or services. We establish accruals for estimated revenue reductions attributable to customer programs and incentive offerings for each program based on numerous factors, including:

- program design and award levels;
- forecasted purchasing patterns of those enrolled in the program based on historical experience with similar programs, current sales trends and market analyses;
- inventory levels of eligible products in the distribution channel; and
- estimated number of participants that will ultimately reach volume purchase thresholds.

Revenue reductions are recorded quarterly based on issuance of credits, points earned but not yet issued, and estimates of credits and points to be earned in the future based on current revenue. In our analysis, we utilize data supplied from distributors and collected in-house that details the volume of qualifying products purchased as well as price paid per clinic (“practice-level sales data”).

IDEXX Points. Customers can earn points based on their participation in certain customer programs and making qualifying purchases related to those programs. Points may then be applied against the purchase price for IDEXX products and services purchased in the future or applied to trade receivables due to us. As points are redeemed we recognize the benefit of points expected to expire, or breakage, using historical forfeiture rates. On November 30 of each year, unused points earned before January 1 of the prior year expire and any variance from the breakage estimate is accounted for as a change in estimate.

Within our overall IDEXX Points program, our two most significant customer programs are Practice Developer® and SNAP® up the Savings™ (“SUTS”), both of which are offered only to North American customers. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, we recorded revenue reductions of \$7.7 million, \$6.8 million and \$5.1 million, respectively, related to our Practice Developer® program and \$4.0 million, \$4.3 million and \$4.9 million, respectively, related to our SUTS program. At December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, the total accrued revenue reductions were \$15.2 million, \$15.1 million and \$14.0 million, respectively. Following is a summary of changes in the accrual for estimated revenue reductions attributable to IDEXX Points customer programs and incentive offerings in total and individually for our Practice Developer® and SUTS programs, for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 (*in thousands*):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
IDEXX Points			
Balance, beginning of the year	\$ 10,364	\$ 8,982	\$ 6,119
Issuance of points for Practice Developer® program ⁽¹⁾	7,527	6,574	4,810
Issuance of points for SNAP® up the Savings™ program ⁽¹⁾	3,603	4,703	5,010
Issuance of points for other programs ⁽¹⁾	1,624	4,855	3,099
Breakage	(694)	(352)	(76)
Actual points redeemed	(12,518)	(14,398)	(9,980)
Exchange impact on balances denominated in foreign currency	(101)	—	—
Balance, end of year	<u>\$ 9,805</u>	<u>\$ 10,364</u>	<u>\$ 8,982</u>
Practice Developer®			
Balance, beginning of the year	\$ 1,590	\$ 1,417	\$ 1,026
Current provision related to current period	7,704	6,799	5,089
Current provision (benefit) related to prior periods	(183)	(52)	112
Issuance of points for Practice Developer® program ⁽¹⁾	(7,527)	(6,574)	(4,810)
Exchange impact on balances denominated in foreign currency	(16)	—	—
Balance, end of year	<u>\$ 1,568</u>	<u>\$ 1,590</u>	<u>\$ 1,417</u>
SNAP® up the Savings™			
Balance, beginning of the year	\$ 1,155	\$ 1,429	\$ 1,422
Current provision related to current period	3,998	4,334	4,936
Current provision related to prior periods	13	95	81
Issuance of points for SNAP® up the Savings™ program ⁽¹⁾	(3,603)	(4,703)	(5,010)
Balance, end of year	<u>\$ 1,563</u>	<u>\$ 1,155</u>	<u>\$ 1,429</u>
Other Customer Programs			
Balance, beginning of the year	\$ 1,998	\$ 2,184	\$ 1,416
Current provision related to current period	4,066	6,031	5,236
Current benefit related to prior periods	(258)	(85)	(169)
Issuance of points for other programs ⁽¹⁾	(1,624)	(4,855)	(3,099)
Actual credits issued	(1,820)	(1,357)	(1,228)
Exchange impact on balances denominated in foreign currency	(115)	80	28
Balance, end of year	<u>\$ 2,247</u>	<u>\$ 1,998</u>	<u>\$ 2,184</u>

(1) Practice Developer®, SNAP® up the Savings™ and certain other customer program liabilities are settled through the issuance of IDEXX Points.

Practice Developer®. Our Practice Developer® program is a Companion Animal Group awards program that permits customers to earn points by purchasing quarterly minimums in certain product and service categories, including IDEXX Reference Laboratories services, Catalyst Dx™ and VetTest® slides, VetTest® SNAP® Reader reagents, LaserCyte® and VetAutoread™ tubes, Feline and Canine SNAP® tests, and service and maintenance agreements. The accrued revenue reduction is calculated each quarter based on sales to end users during the quarter by either us or our distributors and on our estimate of future points to be issued upon sale of applicable product inventories held by distributors at the end of the quarter.

SUTS. SUTS is our volume incentive program for selected SNAP® tests that provides customers with benefits in the form of (1) discounts off invoice at the time of purchase and (2) points under the IDEXX Points program awarded quarterly throughout the SUTS program year (which ends on August 31) based on total purchase volume of qualified SNAP® products during the year. Under the SUTS program, commencing September 1, 2008, customers receive a 5% rebate of their purchase price if they purchase a minimum volume of products, either from us or our distributors. We cannot be certain what percentage of customers will purchase the minimum volume of products until that program year has ended. At the beginning of the program year, we develop an estimate of the percentage of customers that we expect to meet the minimum purchase threshold over the program period based on program enrollee purchasing patterns, historical experience with similar programs, current sales trends, and marketing analysis. The percentage of customers expected to meet the minimum purchase threshold is adjusted quarterly during the program year based on our experience with the program and finalized when the program year ends in August. The 5% revenue reduction is calculated quarterly based on the applicable gross sales during the period, at end-user prices, and the estimated percentage of end users that are expected to meet the minimum purchase threshold by the end of the program year. The accrued revenue reduction also includes our estimate of future points to be issued upon sale of applicable product inventories held by distributors at the end of the quarter.

If the estimated percentage of customers expected to meet the minimum purchase threshold required to receive the 5% rebate under the SUTS program were to increase or decrease by 5%, we would be required to further reduce revenue or increase revenue, respectively, by \$0.1 million.

Doubtful accounts receivable. We recognize revenue only in those situations where collection from the customer is reasonably assured. We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. We base our estimates on detailed analysis of specific customer situations and a percentage of our accounts receivable by aging category. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances might be required. Account balances are charged off against the allowance when we believe the receivable will not be recovered. Write-offs of customer accounts during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, were \$0.7 million, \$1.0 million and \$0.6 million, respectively.

Inventory Valuation

We write down inventory for estimated obsolescence when warranted by estimates of future demand, market conditions, and remaining shelf life. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those we estimated, additional inventory write-downs may be required, which would have a negative effect on results of operations. Certain major components of inventory for which we have made critical valuation judgments are discussed in more detail below.

LaserCyte® Hematology Analyzer. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, \$2.9 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, of inventory associated with our LaserCyte® hematology instrument required rework before it could be used to manufacture finished goods, which was net of \$2.2 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, of write-downs for inventory estimated to be obsolete. We determined write-downs based on our estimate of the costs to rework inventory compared to replacement cost and the probability of success, primarily based on historical experience. We expect to fully realize our net investment in this inventory. However, if we are unsuccessful reworking this inventory, if we revise our judgment of our ability to successfully rework inventory due to new experience in reworking this inventory, or if we alter the design of this product, we may be required to write off some or all of the remaining associated inventory.

Valuation of Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

A significant portion of the purchase price for acquired businesses is assigned to intangible assets. Intangible assets other than goodwill are initially valued at the lesser of fair value or, if applicable, fair value proportionately reduced by the excess of the fair value of acquired net assets over the purchase price (collectively, “fair value”) of the acquired business. If a market value is not readily available, the fair value of the intangible asset is estimated based on discounted cash flows using market participant assumptions, which are assumptions that are not specific to IDEXX. The selection of appropriate valuation methodologies and the estimation of discounted cash flows require significant assumptions about the timing and amounts of future cash flows, risks, appropriate discount rates, and the useful lives of intangible assets. When deemed appropriate by management, we utilize independent valuation experts to advise and assist us in allocating the purchase prices for acquired businesses to the fair values of the identified intangible assets and in determining appropriate amortization methods and periods for those intangible assets. Goodwill is initially valued based on the excess of the purchase price of a business combination over the fair values of acquired net assets.

We assess goodwill for impairment annually in the fourth quarter and whenever events or circumstances indicate an impairment may exist, in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 142, “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.” For impairment testing, the fair values of the reporting units that include goodwill are estimated using a discounted cash flow approach. The cash flows used contain our best estimates, using appropriate and customary assumptions and projections at the time. Changes in forecast cash flows or the discount rate would affect the estimated fair values of reporting units and could result in a goodwill impairment charge in a future period. However, at December 31, 2008 a 25% decrease in the current estimated fair value of any of our reporting units would not result in a goodwill impairment charge for any of our reporting units that include goodwill. No impairments were identified as a result of the annual or event-driven reviews during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 or 2006.

During 2008, we sold certain pharmaceutical product lines and pharmaceutical assets that qualified as a business. The pharmaceutical business had \$13.7 million of related goodwill, of which we wrote off approximately \$7.2 million that was allocated to the product lines sold based on their respective fair values. Fair values were estimated using a discounted cash flow approach. A substantial portion of the remaining goodwill is associated with products that have been licensed to third parties and is included in our “Other” segment. Realization of this goodwill is dependant upon the success of those third parties in developing and commercializing products, which will result in our receipt of royalties and other payments.

We assess the realizability of intangible assets other than goodwill whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable in accordance with SFAS No. 144, “Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets.” If an impairment review is triggered, we evaluate the carrying value of intangible assets based on estimated undiscounted future cash flows over the remaining useful life of the assets and comparing that value to the carrying value of the assets. The cash flows that are used contain our best estimates, using appropriate and customary assumptions and projections at the time. No impairments were identified during the years ended December 31, 2008 or 2006.

During 2007, we recognized an impairment charge to write off a prepaid royalty license of \$1.0 million associated with Navigator® paste. We also recognized a related inventory write-down and the circumstances are described in Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based on our changed estimates of product availability and estimated future demand and market conditions, we determined that we would not realize our investment in prepaid royalties and, therefore, fully expensed this asset. No other impairments were identified during the year ended December 31, 2007.

Share-Based Compensation

We adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), “Share-Based Payment” (“SFAS No. 123(R)”) on January 1, 2006. Beginning in 2006, we modified our share-based employee compensation programs to shift from the grant of stock options and employee stock purchase rights only to the grant of a mix of restricted stock units and stock options, along with employee stock purchase rights. There were no modifications to the terms of outstanding options, restricted stock units or deferred stock units during 2008, 2007 or 2006.

In connection with the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), we adopted the straight-line method to prospectively expense share-based awards granted subsequent to December 31, 2005. The graded-vesting, or accelerated, method has been used to calculate the expense for stock options granted prior to January 1, 2006. If the total fair value of share-based compensation awards, as well as other features that impact expense, including forfeitures and capitalization of costs, was consistent from year-to-year in each of the last five years and through 2010, this change in expense method from graded-vesting to straight-line expensing would yield decreasing annual expense through 2010 until awards granted prior to January 1, 2006 were fully expensed. However, the total fair value of future awards may vary significantly from past awards based on a number of factors, including our share-based award practices. Therefore, share-based compensation expense is likely to fluctuate, possibly significantly, from year to year.

We use the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model to determine the fair value of options granted. Option-pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions, particularly for the expected stock price volatility and the expected term of options. Changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate. Our expected stock price volatility assumptions are based on the historical volatility of our stock over periods that are similar to the expected terms of grants and other relevant factors. Lower estimated volatility reduces the fair value of an option. The total fair value of options awarded during the year ended December 31, 2008 (\$6.7 million) would have increased or decreased by approximately 7% if the stock price volatility assumption were increased or decreased by 10% to 27.5% or 22.5%, respectively. The total cost recognized for options awarded during the year ended December 31, 2008 would have increased or decreased by \$0.1 million if the stock price volatility assumption were increased or decreased by 10% to 27.5% or 22.5%, respectively.

To develop the expected term assumption for option awards, we previously elected to use the simplified method described in the Securities and Exchange Commission's Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, which is based on vesting and contractual terms. Beginning in January 2008, we derive the expected term assumption for options based on historical experience and other relevant factors concerning expected employee behavior with regard to option exercise. The expected term for future awards will be determined using a consistent method. Longer expected term assumptions increase the fair value of option awards, and therefore increase the expense recognized per award. The total fair value of options awarded during the year ended December 31, 2008 (\$6.7 million) would have increased or decreased by approximately 12% if the expected term assumption were increased or decreased by one year, respectively. The total cost recognized for options awarded during the year ended December 31, 2008 would have increased or decreased by \$0.2 million if the expected term assumption were increased or decreased by one year, respectively.

We determine the assumptions to be used in the valuation of option grants as of the date of grant. As such, we may use different assumptions during the year if we grant options at different dates. The weighted average of the valuation assumptions used to determine the fair value of each option grant is as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Expected stock price volatility	25%	29%	30%
Expected term, in years	4.9	5.0	5.0
Risk-free interest rate	2.6%	4.7%	4.6%

Share-based compensation expense is based on the number of awards ultimately expected to vest and is, therefore, reduced for an estimate of the number of awards that are expected to be forfeited. The forfeiture estimates are based on historical data and other factors, and compensation expense is adjusted for actual results. Share-based compensation costs for the year ended December 31, 2008 were \$10.2 million, which is net of a reduction of \$1.8 million for actual and estimated forfeitures. Changes in estimated forfeiture rates and differences between estimated forfeiture rates and actual experience may result in significant, unanticipated increases or decreases in share-based compensation expense from period to period. The termination of employment by certain employees who hold large numbers of share-based compensation instruments may also have a significant, unanticipated impact on forfeiture experience and, therefore, on share-based compensation expense.

The fair value of options, restricted stock units, deferred stock units with vesting conditions, and employee stock purchase rights awarded during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 totaled \$18.7 million, \$18.2 million and \$11.9 million, respectively. The total unrecognized compensation cost for unvested share-based compensation awards outstanding at December 31, 2008, before consideration of estimated forfeitures, was \$30.9 million. We estimate that this cost will be reduced by approximately \$3.1 million related to forfeitures. The weighted average remaining expense recognition period is approximately 2 years.

Income Taxes

We recognize a current tax liability or asset for current taxes payable or refundable, respectively, and a deferred tax liability or asset, as the case may be, for the estimated future tax effects of temporary differences between book and tax treatment of assets and liabilities and carryforwards to the extent they are realizable.

The future tax benefit arising from net deductible temporary differences and tax carryforwards, net of valuation allowances, was \$7.5 million and \$15.4 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. We believe that our earnings during the periods when the temporary differences become deductible will be sufficient to realize the related future tax benefits. Should we determine that we would not be able to realize all or part of our net deferred tax asset in the future, an adjustment to the deferred tax asset would be charged to income in the period such determination was made. A reduction of net income before taxes in each subsidiary equal to 5% of revenue, compared to the corresponding reported amounts for the year ended December 31, 2008, would not result in the recognition of incremental valuation allowances except in two subsidiaries where a 5% reduction could result in our recording a valuation allowance of \$1.1 million for those subsidiaries.

For those jurisdictions where the expiration date of tax carryforwards or the projected operating results indicate that realization is not likely, a valuation allowance is recorded to offset the deferred tax asset within that jurisdiction. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we consider future taxable income and ongoing prudent and feasible tax planning strategies. In the event that we were to determine that we would be able to realize our deferred tax assets in the future in excess of the net recorded amount, an adjustment to the deferred tax asset would increase income in the period such determination was made. Similarly, a determination that a higher valuation allowance is required would decrease income in the period such determination was made.

Our net deductible temporary differences and tax carryforwards are recorded using the enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the periods in which the deferred tax liability or asset is expected to be settled or realized. Should the expected applicable tax rates change in the future, an adjustment to the net deferred tax asset would be credited or charged, as appropriate, to income in the period such determination was made. For example, an increase of one percentage point in our anticipated U.S. state income tax rate would cause us to increase our net deferred tax asset balance by \$0.4 million. This increase in the net deferred asset would increase net income in the period that our rate was adjusted. Likewise, a decrease of one percentage point to our anticipated U.S. state income tax rate would have the opposite effect.

We periodically assess our exposures related to our worldwide provision for income taxes and believe that we have appropriately accrued taxes for contingencies. Any reduction of these contingent liabilities or additional assessment would increase or decrease income, respectively, in the period such determination was made.

We consider certain operating earnings of non-United States subsidiaries to be indefinitely invested outside the U.S. The cumulative earnings of these subsidiaries were \$155.6 million at December 31, 2008. No provision has been made for U.S. federal and state, or international taxes that may result from future remittances of these undistributed earnings of non-United States subsidiaries. Should we repatriate these earnings in the future, we would have to adjust the income tax provision in the period in which the decision to repatriate earnings is made. For the operating earnings not considered to be indefinitely invested outside the United States we have accrued taxes on a current basis.

We record a liability for uncertain tax provisions in accordance with FASB Interpretation (“FIN”) No. 48, “Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes” (“FIN 48”). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in financial statements under SFAS No. 109, “Accounting for Income Taxes” and prescribes a comprehensive model for the recognition, measurement, and financial statement disclosure of uncertain tax positions. This comprehensive model requires us to assess all tax positions against a more likely than not standard. We record tax benefits for only those positions that we believe will more likely than not be sustained. For positions that we believe that it is more likely than not that we will prevail, we record a benefit considering the amounts and probabilities that could be realized upon ultimate settlement. If our judgment as to the likely resolution of the uncertainty changes, if the uncertainty is ultimately settled or if the statute of limitation related to the uncertainty expires, the effects of the change would be recognized in the period in which the change, resolution or expiration occurs. As of December 31, 2008 our net liability for uncertain tax positions was \$6.9 million, which includes interest expense and penalties.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2008 Compared to Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007

Revenue

Total Company. Revenue increased \$101.5 million, or 11%, to \$1.024 billion for the year ended December 31, 2008. Incremental sales from businesses acquired subsequent to January 1, 2007 contributed 1% to revenue growth. These acquisitions consisted primarily of veterinary reference laboratories and customer lists in Canada, the United States and Europe; a production animal diagnostic products business in France; and the Critical Care Division of Osmetech plc, which we refer to as OPTI Medical. The favorable impact of currency exchange rates contributed 1% to revenue growth. The following table presents revenue by operating segment:

Net Revenue (dollars in thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31,						Percentage Change Net of Acquisitions and Currency Effect
	2008	2007	Dollar Change	Percentage Change	Percentage Change from Currency (1)	Percentage Change from Acquisitions (2)	
CAG	\$ 834,056	\$750,449	\$ 83,607	11.1%	1.0%	0.8%	9.3%
Water	74,469	66,235	8,234	12.4%	0.3%	—	12.1%
PAS	80,762	75,085	5,677	7.6%	4.8%	2.7%	0.1%
Other	34,743	30,786	3,957	12.9%	2.8%	2.9%	7.2%
Total	\$1,024,030	\$922,555	\$101,475	11.0%	1.3%	0.9%	8.8%

- (1) Represents the percentage change in revenue attributed to the effect of changes in currency rates from the year ended December 31, 2007 to the year ended December 31, 2008.
- (2) Represents the percentage change in revenue attributed to incremental revenues during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007 from businesses acquired subsequent to January 1, 2007.

Companion Animal Group. The following table presents revenue by product and service category for CAG:

Net Revenue (dollars in thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31,						Percentage Change Net of Acquisitions and Currency Effect
	2008	2007	Dollar Change	Percentage Change	Percentage Change from Currency (1)	Percentage Change from Acquisitions (2)	
Instruments and consumables	\$318,533	\$289,271	\$29,262	10.1%	1.0%	—	9.1%
Rapid assay products	146,867	133,508	13,359	10.0%	0.9%	—	9.1%
Laboratory and consulting services	288,244	255,193	33,051	13.0%	1.5%	2.5%	9.0%
Practice information systems and digital radiography	61,291	53,385	7,906	14.8%	(0.2%)	—	15.0%
Pharmaceutical products	19,121	19,092	29	0.2%	—	(2.5%)	2.7%
Net CAG revenue	\$834,056	\$750,449	\$83,607	11.1%	1.0%	0.8%	9.3%

- (1) Represents the percentage change in revenue attributed to the effect of changes in currency rates from the year ended December 31, 2007 to the year ended December 31, 2008.
- (2) Represents the percentage change in revenue attributed to incremental revenues during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007 from businesses acquired subsequent to January 1, 2007.

The following revenue analysis reflects the results of operations net of the impact of currency exchange rates on sales outside the U.S. and net of incremental sales from veterinary reference laboratory businesses acquired subsequent to January 1, 2007.

Instruments and consumables revenue increased due to higher consumables sales volumes for most of our analyzers and higher average unit sales prices, primarily on slides that are sold for use in our chemistry analyzers. Additionally, increased revenue was also due to higher instrument sales volumes, due primarily to sales of recently launched instruments, including Catalyst Dx™ chemistry analyzers and SNAPshot Dx® analyzers, which we began shipping to customers in the first quarter of 2008, and sales of Coag Dx™ blood coagulation analyzers, which we began shipping to customers in the fourth quarter of 2007. The increase in volumes due to the placement of recently launched instruments was partly offset by a decrease in sales of most of our other IDEXX VetLab® instruments, due primarily to increased market penetration and a shift in focus of our sales team to our newer instruments. We anticipate that in future periods as we continue to penetrate the market with our next-generation chemistry analyzer, Catalyst Dx™, sales of our VetTest® chemistry analyzers will decline. The lower sales of our other IDEXX VetLab® instruments was also due to lower average unit sales prices, due largely to increased promotional discounting. Higher instrument service revenue was due to the increase in number of instruments covered under service contracts as we continue to increase our active installed base of instruments.

Sales volumes of consumables in the U.S. and Canada in the first half of 2007 benefited from temporary additional diagnostic testing volume related to the recall of certain pet foods in March 2007. We believe that the recall resulted in a higher than usual number of pet visits to veterinary clinics in North America in the first and second quarters of 2007. We estimate that this event negatively impacted year-over-year growth in sales of instruments and consumables for the year ended December 31, 2008 by approximately 1%. The impact from changes in distributors' inventory levels reduced reported instruments and consumables revenue growth by 1%.

The increase in practice-level sales of rapid assay products was due to both higher average unit sales prices and higher sales volumes. Higher average unit sales prices were due primarily to the impact of price increases of certain canine and feline combination tests and, to a lesser extent, less promotional discounting in connection with our SNAP® up the Savings™ and other customer programs and higher relative sales of canine combination test products versus single assay test products. We expect that the rate of end users' conversion from canine heartworm-only tests to combination test products will slow in future periods, which will decelerate the rate of increase in average unit sales prices. Increased volume was due primarily to increased U.S. practice-level sales of our canine combination test products, such as the SNAP® 4Dx®, and the July 2007 launch of SNAP® cPL™, our test for pancreatitis in dogs. The favorable impacts on rapid assay sales noted above were partly offset by a decrease in the volume of sales of products under our distribution agreement with Agen Biomedical Limited. The impact from changes in distributors' inventory levels reduced reported rapid assay revenue growth by 2%.

The increase in sales of laboratory and consulting services resulted from higher testing volume and the impact of price increases. As discussed above, the first half of 2007 benefited from temporary additional diagnostic testing volume resulting from the March 2007 pet food recall. We estimate that this event negatively impacted year-over-year growth in laboratory and consulting services revenue for the year ended December 31, 2008 by approximately 1%.

The increase in sales of practice information management systems and digital radiography resulted primarily from higher sales volumes of companion animal radiography systems, partly offset by lower sales of equine radiography systems, lower average unit prices for companion animal radiography systems, and lower sales of Cornerstone® practice information management systems.

Revenue from the sales of pharmaceutical products was unchanged as the higher average unit sales price of PZI VET®, our insulin product for the treatment of diabetic cats, was offset by lower sales volumes of Acarexx® and SURPASS® pharmaceutical products. As discussed above, in a series of transactions in the fourth quarter of 2008, we sold a substantial portion of our pharmaceutical assets and product lines and therefore will not have meaningful pharmaceutical product revenue in 2009 or future years. We have retained certain intellectual property and licenses for developed products as well as certain less significant product lines, which have been reassigned to other business units. See note 16 to the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Water. Revenue for Water increased \$8.2 million, or 12%, to \$74.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 from \$66.2 million for the same period of the prior year. The increase resulted primarily from higher sales volume, partly offset by lower average unit sales prices due to higher relative sales in geographies where products are sold at lower average unit sales prices. Higher sales volumes were attributable to the increased sales of our Colilert® products, used to detect total coliforms and *E. coli* in water, and the commencement in September 2007 of distribution of certain water testing kits manufactured by Invitrogen, which increased reported Water revenue growth by 5%.

Production Animal Segment. Revenue for PAS increased \$5.7 million, or 8%, to \$80.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 from \$75.1 million for the same period of the prior year. The increase in revenue resulted from increased sales volume and the favorable impact from currency exchange rates, which contributed 5% to PAS revenue growth, partly offset by lower average unit sales prices. The increase in volume resulted primarily from higher livestock diagnostics sales, including sales attributable to Institut Pourquier, a France-based manufacturer of production animal diagnostic products that we acquired in March 2007. The year-over-year growth in sales of Pourquier products contributed 3% to PAS revenue growth. The decrease in average unit sales prices was due primarily to a reduction in average price for our post-mortem test for BSE.

Other. Revenue for Other operating units increased \$4.0 million, or 13%, to \$34.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 from \$30.8 million for the same period of the prior year due primarily to higher sales volume of our OPTI Medical consumable products and, to a lesser extent, higher sales volume of Dairy SNAP® antibiotic residue tests. The favorable impact of currency exchange rates contributed 3% to the increase in revenue from Other operating units.

Gross Profit

Total Company. The following table presents gross profit and gross profit percentages by operating segment:

Gross Profit (dollars in thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31,					
	2008	Percent of Revenue	2007	Percent of Revenue	Dollar Change	Percentage Change
CAG	\$412,199	49.4%	\$362,162	48.3%	\$ 50,037	13.8%
Water	47,052	63.2%	41,656	62.9%	5,396	13.0%
PAS	55,005	68.1%	46,728	62.2%	8,277	17.7%
Other	15,131	43.6%	12,455	40.5%	2,676	21.5%
Unallocated amounts	379	N/A	521	N/A	(142)	(27.3%)
Total Company	<u><u>\$529,766</u></u>	51.7%	<u><u>\$463,522</u></u>	50.2%	<u><u>\$ 66,244</u></u>	14.3%

Companion Animal Group. Gross profit for CAG increased \$50.0 million, or 14%, to \$412.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 from \$362.2 million for the same period of the prior year due to increased sales volume in all CAG product and service lines, except the pharmaceutical business, and to an increase in the gross profit percentage to 49% from 48%. The gross profit percentage in 2007 was unfavorably impacted by the write-off of pharmaceutical inventory and of a prepaid royalty related to our Navigator® product, as discussed below, which favorably impacted the comparison of current year gross profit percentage to prior year gross profit percentage by 1%. The increase in the 2008 gross profit percentage was also due to the favorable impact of foreign currency rates on sales denominated in those currencies, inclusive of foreign exchange hedge contract gains and foreign currency denominated expenses; lower cost of slides that are sold for use in our chemistry analyzers; and higher average unit sales prices on canine combination test products. These favorable items were partly offset by higher relative sales of lower margin laboratory and consulting services and IDEXX VetLab® instruments, and also by higher manufacturing costs of our instruments, including our Catalyst Dx™ Chemistry Analyzer, for which we have not yet achieved economies of scale.

During 2007 we recognized a write-down of NTZ raw materials inventory of \$9.1 million and a write-off of a prepaid royalty license of \$1.0 million associated with Navigator® paste. We wrote down these assets because the third-party contract manufacturer of finished goods notified us that it would discontinue manufacturing the product in 2009. Additionally, product sales were lower than projected. We believed that we would not be able to enter into a replacement manufacturing arrangement on economically feasible terms and that we would not be able to obtain the product after termination of the existing manufacturing arrangement because the estimated production volume was low. Accordingly, we evaluated our associated inventory for obsolescence based on our changed estimates of product availability and estimated future demand and market conditions. Additionally, because of lower sales volume estimates and the reduced product life, we determined that we would not realize our related investment in prepaid royalties and, therefore, fully expensed this asset. In the fourth quarter of 2008, we cancelled our supply agreement for NTZ and sold our remaining raw material inventory back to the supplier for \$2.0 million, payable in monthly installments of \$25,000 through December, 2010 with the remaining balance then due. We will recognize these payments in our results of operations when they are received due to uncertain collectibility.

Water. Gross profit for Water increased \$5.4 million, or 13%, to \$47.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 from \$41.7 million for the same period of the prior year due primarily to increased sales volume. Gross profit percentage remained approximately constant at 63% as lower overall costs of manufacturing and the favorable impact of foreign currency rates on sales denominated in those currencies, inclusive of foreign exchange hedge contract gains and foreign currency denominated expense, were offset by the impact of greater relative sales of lower margin products, consisting primarily of water testing kits manufactured by Invitrogen that we began distributing in September 2007; discrete costs incurred as a result of discontinuing a project to qualify a second source supplier for certain products; and higher relative sales in geographies where products are sold at lower unit prices.

Production Animal Segment. Gross profit for PAS increased \$8.3 million, or 18%, to \$55.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 from \$46.7 million for the same period of the prior year due to increased sales volume and to an increase in the gross profit percentage to 68% from 62%. The increase in the gross profit percentage was due primarily to the impact of foreign currency exchange rates on sales denominated in those currencies, inclusive of foreign exchange hedge contract gains and foreign currency denominated expenses and, to a lesser extent, higher relative sales of higher margin livestock diagnostic tests; the impact of revenue recognized in 2008 on shipments prior to January 1, 2008 to a customer for which we recognize revenue on the cash basis of accounting due to uncertain collectibility; and the favorable settlement of a royalty liability. The gross profit percentage in 2007 was negatively affected by 1% as a result of purchase accounting for inventory acquired with the Pourquier business. These favorable impacts were partly offset by the impact of lower average unit sales prices.

Other. Gross profit for Other operating units increased \$2.7 million, or 21%, to \$15.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 from \$12.5 million for the same period of the prior year due primarily to increased sales volume and to an increase in the gross profit percentage to 44% from 41%. The increase in the gross profit percentage was due primarily to the impact of foreign currency exchange rates on sales denominated in those currencies, inclusive of foreign exchange hedge contract gains and foreign currency denominated expenses. The gross profit percentage in 2008 also improved due to an initial payment under a royalty-bearing license agreement related to certain intellectual property. Under this agreement we received an initial payment and are entitled to receive a total of \$3.3 million in future milestone payments in addition to royalties based on future product sales. Milestone payments will be included in our results of operations upon achievement of each of the milestones. These favorable impacts were partly offset by higher relative sales of lower margin products.

Operating Expenses and Operating Income

Total Company. The following tables present operating expenses and operating income by operating segment:

For the Year Ended December 31,						
Operating Expenses (dollars in thousands)	2008	Percent of Revenue	2007	Percent of Revenue	Dollar Change	Percentage Change
CAG	\$ 282,579	33.9%	\$ 261,877	34.9%	\$ 20,702	7.9%
Water	15,722	21.1%	14,809	22.4%	913	6.2%
PAS	33,245	41.2%	31,272	41.6%	1,973	6.3%
Other	13,576	39.1%	11,452	37.2%	2,124	18.5%
Unallocated amounts	12,188	N/A	7,929	N/A	4,259	53.7%
Total Company	<u>\$ 357,310</u>	34.9%	<u>\$ 327,339</u>	35.5%	<u>\$ 29,971</u>	9.2%
Operating Income (dollars in thousands)	2008	Percent of Revenue	2007	Percent of Revenue	Dollar Change	Percentage Change
CAG	\$ 129,620	15.5%	\$ 100,285	13.4%	\$ 29,335	29.3%
Water	31,330	42.1%	26,847	40.5%	4,483	16.7%
PAS	21,760	26.9%	15,456	20.6%	6,304	40.8%
Other	1,555	4.5%	1,003	3.3%	552	55.1%
Unallocated amounts	(11,809)	N/A	(7,408)	N/A	(4,401)	(59.4%)
Total Company	<u>\$ 172,456</u>	16.8%	<u>\$ 136,183</u>	14.8%	<u>\$ 36,273</u>	26.6%

Companion Animal Group. The following table presents CAG operating expenses by functional area:

For the Year Ended December 31,						
Operating Expenses (dollars in thousands)	2008	Percent of Revenue	2007	Percent of Revenue	Dollar Change	Percentage Change
Sales and marketing	\$ 143,644	17.2%	\$ 128,593	17.1%	\$ 15,051	11.7%
General and administrative	93,008	11.2%	87,179	11.6%	5,829	6.7%
Research and development	45,927	5.5%	46,105	6.1%	(178)	(0.4%)
Total operating expenses	<u>\$ 282,579</u>	33.9%	<u>\$ 261,877</u>	34.9%	<u>\$ 20,702</u>	7.9%

The increase in sales and marketing expense resulted primarily from higher personnel and personnel-related costs due, in part, to expanded worldwide sales and marketing and the addition of customer service headcount. To a lesser extent, the impact of exchange rates on foreign currency denominated expenses and increased spending on customer support systems also contributed to the increase in sales and marketing expense. These increases were partly offset by lower overall spending on commissions and distributor incentives and marketing programs.

The increase in general and administrative expense resulted primarily from higher spending on corporate support functions; incremental expenses associated with businesses acquired subsequent to January 1, 2007, comprised mainly of administrative expenses of a recurring nature to support the acquired businesses and amortization expense for intangible assets acquired; the unfavorable impact of exchange rates on foreign currency denominated expenses; and, to a lesser extent, increased bad debt expense and higher personnel costs due, in part, to increased headcount. These increases were partly offset by the absence of non-recurring costs incurred in 2007 related to acquisitions.

The decrease in research and development expense resulted primarily from a decrease in product development spending due to the completion of the development of our next-generation chemistry analyzer, Catalyst Dx™, and our new quantitative immunoassay platform, SNAPshot Dx®, both of which we began shipping to customers in the first quarter of 2008, and to lower external consulting costs related to our pharmaceuticals product line. These decreases were largely offset by higher personnel costs to support development initiatives related primarily to IDEXX VetLab® instrumentation, rapid assay and digital radiography products.

Water. The following table presents Water expenses by functional area:

Operating Expenses (dollars in thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31,					Dollar Change	Percentage Change
	2008	Percent of Revenue	2007	Percent of Revenue			
Sales and marketing	\$ 7,504	10.1%	\$ 6,791	10.3%	\$ 713	10.5%	
General and administrative	5,674	7.6%	5,532	8.4%	142	2.6%	
Research and development	2,544	3.4%	2,486	3.8%	58	2.3%	
Total operating expenses	<u>\$ 15,722</u>	21.1%	<u>\$ 14,809</u>	22.4%	<u>\$ 913</u>	6.2%	

The increase in sales and marketing expense resulted primarily from higher personnel and personnel-related costs due primarily to expanded headcount and, to a lesser extent, the impact of exchange rates on foreign currency denominated expenses. The increase in general and administrative expense resulted primarily from increased headcount and costs incurred in connection with the termination of a supply agreement, partly offset by a decrease in bad debt expense. The increase in research and development expense resulted primarily from an increase in professional fees and increased headcount, partly offset by the absence in 2008 of costs incurred in 2007 related to a regulatory study conducted to support a new test for drinking water.

Production Animal Segment. The following table presents PAS operating expenses by functional area:

Operating Expenses (dollars in thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31,					Dollar Change	Percentage Change
	2008	Percent of Revenue	2007	Percent of Revenue			
Sales and marketing	\$ 12,982	16.1%	\$ 12,234	16.3%	\$ 748	6.1%	
General and administrative	12,416	15.4%	11,347	15.1%	1,069	9.4%	
Research and development	7,847	9.7%	7,691	10.2%	156	2.0%	
Total operating expenses	<u>\$ 33,245</u>	41.2%	<u>\$ 31,272</u>	41.6%	<u>\$ 1,973</u>	6.3%	

The increase in sales and marketing expense resulted primarily from the impact of exchange rates on foreign currency denominated expenses and, to a lesser extent, increased personnel and personnel-related costs and incremental activities associated with the Pourquier business, which was acquired in March 2007. These unfavorable impacts were partly offset by costs incurred in 2007 associated with terminating a distribution agreement, which favorably impacted the comparison of current year sales and marketing expense to prior year, and by increased recruiting costs associated with the increase in headcount. The increase in general and administrative expense resulted primarily from increased personnel costs, the impact of exchange rates on foreign currency denominated expenses and incremental costs associated with the acquisition of the Pourquier business, which are comprised mainly of administrative expenses of a recurring nature to support the acquired business and amortization expense for intangible assets. These increases were partly offset by lower overall spending on corporate support function expenses. The increase in research and development expense resulted primarily from increased headcount and the impact of exchange rates on foreign currency denominated expenses, partly offset by a decrease in spending on research and development supplies and on third-party consulting firms used to conduct research.

Other. Operating expenses for Other operating units increased \$2.1 million to \$13.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 due primarily to higher spending on corporate support function expenses, increased personnel costs, partly due to increased headcount, and to incremental expenses related to OPTI Medical, which was acquired in January 2007. These increases were partly offset by a reduction in deferred compensation liability related to a deferred compensation plan assumed in the Opti Medical acquisition. The deferred compensation liability is determined based on the value of the investments in an underlying consolidated trust. The unrealized loss on the marketable securities in the trust is recorded through other comprehensive income.

Unallocated Amounts. Operating expenses that are not allocated to our operating segments increased \$4.3 million to \$12.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 due primarily to increased corporate research and development spending on software and systems research and development related to integration of our veterinary product and service offerings. To a lesser extent, the increase in operating expenses was also attributable to the sale of our Acarexx® and SURPASS® pharmaceutical products and a product that was under development, and the subsequent restructuring of the remaining pharmaceutical business. We recognized a loss on the transaction and restructuring of approximately \$1.5 million, of which \$1.1 million was recorded in general and administrative expense, \$0.3 million was recorded in sales and marketing expense and \$0.1 million was recorded in research and development expense in 2008.

Interest Income and Interest Expense

Interest income was \$2.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$2.8 million for the same period of the prior year. The decrease in interest income was due to lower effective interest rates, partly offset by higher average invested cash balances.

Interest expense was \$4.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to \$4.2 million for the same period of the prior year. The increase in interest expense was due primarily to higher borrowings under our revolving credit facility, partly offset by lower effective interest rates on outstanding debt balances and incremental capitalized interest.

Provision for Income Taxes

Our effective income tax rate was 31.7% for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 30.3% for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase in tax rate is primarily attributable to several non-recurring items. First, we wrote off non-deductible goodwill related to the pharmaceutical product lines sold in the fourth quarter of 2008. Additionally, the increase in tax rate was impacted by certain non-recurring items that favorably impacted the tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2007, including the reduction of deferred tax liabilities due to a change in international tax rate and the recognition of state tax benefits resulting from the completion of an audit in 2007. These items were partly offset by tax benefits related to a reduction in international deferred tax liabilities in 2008 and the 2007 reduction of deferred tax assets due to changes in statutory income tax rates for jurisdictions in which we operate.

We anticipate recognizing approximately \$1.4 million of income tax benefits in 2009 that have not been recognized at December 31, 2008 in accordance with FIN 48. The income tax benefits are primarily due to the lapse in the statute of limitations for various foreign and state tax jurisdictions.

Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007 Compared to Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2006

Revenue

Total Company. Revenue increased \$183.4 million, or 25%, to \$922.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$739.1 million for the prior year. Incremental sales from businesses and from customer-related and other intangible assets acquired subsequent to January 1, 2006 contributed 8% to revenue growth. These acquisitions consisted primarily of veterinary reference laboratories and customer-related assets in Canada, the United States, Europe and South Africa; intellectual property and distribution rights of a veterinary diagnostics business; a production animal diagnostic products business in France; and the Critical Care Division of Osmetech plc. The favorable impact of currency exchange rates contributed 3% to revenue growth. The following table presents revenue by operating segment:

For the Year Ended December 31,							
Net Revenue (dollars in thousands)	2007	2006	Dollar Change	Percentage Change	Percentage Change from Currency (1)	Percentage Change from Acquisitions (2)	Percentage Change Net of Acquisitions and Currency Effect
CAG	\$750,449	\$604,341	\$146,108	24.2%	2.7%	6.0%	15.5%
Water	66,235	58,466	7,769	13.3%	3.5%	—	9.8%
PAS	75,085	58,940	16,145	27.4%	7.4%	12.4%	7.6%
Other	30,786	17,370	13,416	77.2%	3.4%	82.7%	(8.9%)
Total	\$922,555	\$739,117	\$183,438	24.8%	3.2%	7.6%	14.0%

- (1) Represents the percentage change in revenue attributed to the effect of changes in currency rates from the year ended December 31, 2006 to the year ended December 31, 2007.
- (2) Represents the percentage change in revenue attributed to incremental revenues during the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 from businesses acquired subsequent to January 1, 2006.

Companion Animal Group. Revenue for CAG increased \$146.1 million, or 24%, to \$750.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$604.3 million for the prior year. Incremental sales from veterinary reference laboratory businesses and customer-related assets and from intellectual property and distribution rights of a veterinary diagnostics business acquired subsequent to January 1, 2006 contributed 6% to CAG revenue growth. The favorable impact of currency exchange rates contributed 3% to the increase in CAG revenue. The following table presents revenue by product and service category for CAG:

For the Year Ended December 31,							
Net Revenue (dollars in thousands)	2007	2006	Dollar Change	Percentage Change	Percentage Change from Currency (1)	Percentage Change from Acquisitions (2)	Percentage Change Net of Acquisitions and Currency Effect
Instruments and consumables	\$289,271	\$242,312	\$ 46,959	19.4%	3.5%	—	15.9%
Rapid assay products	133,508	115,481	18,027	15.6%	0.8%	1.5%	13.3%
Laboratory and consulting services	255,193	187,114	68,079	36.4%	3.4%	18.4%	14.6%
Practice information systems and digital radiography	53,385	44,427	8,958	20.2%	1.5%	—	18.7%
Pharmaceutical products	19,092	15,007	4,085	27.2%	—	—	27.2%
Net CAG revenue	\$750,449	\$604,341	\$146,108	24.2%	2.7%	6.0%	15.5%

- (1) Represents the percentage change in revenue attributed to the effect of changes in currency rates from the year ended December 31, 2006 to the year ended December 31, 2007.
- (2) Represents the percentage change in revenue attributed to incremental revenues during the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 from businesses acquired subsequent to January 1, 2006.

The following revenue analysis reflects the results of operations net of the impact of currency exchange rates on sales outside the U.S. and net of incremental sales from businesses and from customer-related and other intangible assets acquired subsequent to January 1, 2006.

The increase in sales of instruments and consumables was due mainly to higher unit sales volume. Higher consumables sales volumes were attributable primarily to higher worldwide practice-level sales of slides and, to a lesser extent, to increased practice-level sales of tubes used with our hematology analyzers, with all consumables categories benefiting from the continued growth of our installed base of instruments. Sales volumes of consumables also benefited from temporary additional diagnostic testing volume related to the recall of certain pet foods in mid-March 2007 in the U.S. and Canada. We believe that the recall resulted in a higher than usual number of pet visits to veterinary clinics in North America in the first and second quarters of 2007. Higher instrument sales revenue resulted mainly from increased sales of our LaserCyte® Hematology Analyzer and, to a lesser extent, our IDEXX VetLab® Station, an in-clinic laboratory information management system. The impact from changes in U.S. distributors' inventory levels reduced reported instruments and consumables revenue growth by less than 1%.

The increase in practice-level sales of rapid assay products was due to both higher average unit sales prices and higher sales volumes. Higher average unit sales prices were due, in part, to higher relative sales of canine combination test products, such as the SNAP® 4Dx®, which was launched in the U.S. in September 2006, and less promotional discounting in connection with our SNAP® up the Savings™ and other customer programs. We expect the rate of end users' conversion from canine heartworm-only tests to combination test products and, therefore, the rate of increase of average unit sales prices, will lessen in future periods. Higher sales volumes resulted in part from the July 2007 launch of the SNAP® cPL™, our test for pancreatitis in dogs. Effective January 2008, we changed our distribution methods in Japan from a combination of direct sales and the use of multiple distributors to an exclusive distribution arrangement for our rapid assay products and instrument consumables. The impact from the distributor's initial stocking orders to build inventory levels increased reported revenue growth by 1%. The impact from changes in U.S. distributors' inventory levels reduced reported rapid assay revenue growth by 4%.

The increase in sales of laboratory and consulting services resulted primarily from higher testing volume and, to a lesser extent, the impact of price increases. Higher testing volume was attributable to both new customers and to increased testing volume from existing customers, and benefited from temporary additional diagnostic testing volume resulting from the March 2007 pet food recall, as discussed above, and from new test offerings.

The increase in sales of practice information management systems and digital radiography resulted primarily from higher sales volumes of companion animal and equine radiography systems, higher sales of Cornerstone® practice information management systems and services, and the favorable impact of implementing tiered support service level offerings with differentiated pricing for our practice information management systems, partly offset by lower average unit prices for radiography systems due to increased competition.

The increase in sales of pharmaceutical products resulted primarily from higher sales volume and price increases, in each case related largely to PZI VET®, our insulin product for the treatment of diabetic cats.

Water. Revenue for Water increased \$7.8 million, or 13%, to \$66.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$58.5 million for the prior year. The increase resulted primarily from higher worldwide sales volume, partly offset by lower average unit sales prices due to both higher relative sales in geographies where products are sold at lower average unit sales prices and greater price competition in certain geographies. Higher sales volumes resulted in part from our commencement in September 2007 of distribution of certain water testing kits manufactured by Invitrogen Corporation ("Invitrogen"), which increased reported Water revenue growth by 2%. The favorable impact of currency exchange rates contributed 4% to the increase in Water revenue.

Production Animal Segment. Revenue for PAS increased \$16.1 million, or 27%, to \$75.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$58.9 million for the prior year. The increase resulted primarily from higher livestock diagnostics sales volume, including sales attributable to Institut Pourquier, a manufacturer of production animal diagnostic products in France that we acquired in March 2007. Sales of Pourquier products contributed 12% to PAS revenue growth. The favorable impact of higher sales volume was partly offset by lower average unit sales prices for our HerdChek® products that test for transmissible spongiform encephalopathies ("TSEs") due to both greater price competition and higher relative sales in geographies where products are sold at lower average unit sales prices. The favorable impact of currency exchange rates contributed 7% to the increase in PAS revenue.

Other. Revenue for Other operating units increased \$13.4 million, or 77%, to \$30.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$17.4 million for the prior year due primarily to incremental revenue attributable to OPTI Medical, which was acquired in January 2007.

Gross Profit

Total Company. Gross profit increased \$84.0 million, or 22%, to \$463.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$379.5 million for the prior year. As a percentage of total revenue, gross profit decreased to 50% from 51%.

During 2007, we recognized a write-down of NTZ raw materials inventory of \$9.1 million and a write-off of a prepaid royalty license of \$1.0 million associated with Navigator®, which resulted in an unfavorable impact of 1.1% of total company gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2007. These write-downs are included in cost of product revenue in the consolidated statement of operations.

Share-based compensation expense of \$0.7 million was included in cost of revenue for the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to \$1.1 million for the prior year. Beginning in 2007, we have allocated share-based compensation expense to the operating segments based on headcount and other personnel data. This allocation differs from the actual expense and consequently yields a difference between the total allocated share-based compensation expense and the actual expense for the total company, which was categorized as “unallocated amounts.” Share-based compensation expense was not allocated to our operating segments in 2006. Therefore, the total company share-based compensation expense was categorized as “unallocated amounts” for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The following table presents gross profit and gross profit percentage by operating segment:

For the Year Ended December 31,						
Gross Profit (dollars in thousands)	2007	Percent of Revenue	2006	Percent of Revenue	Dollar Change	Percentage Change
CAG	\$ 362,162	48.3%	\$ 297,072	49.2%	\$ 65,090	21.9%
Water	41,656	62.9%	38,441	65.7%	3,215	8.4%
PAS	46,728	62.2%	38,654	65.6%	8,074	20.9%
Other	12,455	40.5%	7,033	40.5%	5,422	77.1%
Unallocated amounts	521	N/A	(1,671)	N/A	2,192	N/A
Total Company	<u>\$ 463,522</u>	50.2%	<u>\$ 379,529</u>	51.3%	<u>\$ 83,993</u>	22.1%

Companion Animal Group. Gross profit for CAG increased \$65.1 million, or 22%, to \$362.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$297.1 million for the prior year due primarily to increased sales volume across the CAG product and service lines, partly offset by a decrease in gross profit percentage to 48% from 49%. The write-down of pharmaceutical inventory and the related prepaid royalty impairment charge, discussed above, resulted in an unfavorable impact of 1.4% of CAG revenue. Greater relative sales of lower margin products and services, such as laboratory and consulting services and IDEXX VetLab® instruments, also contributed to the decrease in the gross profit percentage. These unfavorable impacts were partly offset by higher relative sales of our canine combination test product, SNAP® 4Dx® and, to a lesser extent, all other CAG product and service lines, except in the Digital Radiography business; lower cost of slides that are sold for use in VetTest® Chemistry Analyzers; and the favorable impact of foreign currency rates on sales denominated in those currencies, net of foreign exchange hedge contract losses.

Water. Gross profit for Water increased \$3.2 million, or 8%, to \$41.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$38.4 million for the prior year due to higher sales volume, partly offset by a decrease in the gross profit percentage to 63% from 66%. The decrease in gross profit percentage was due primarily to higher manufacturing costs; lower average unit sales prices, and greater relative sales of lower margin products, which was primarily due to the lower gross margin earned on certain water testing kits manufactured by Invitrogen that we began distributing in September 2007.

Production Animal Segment. Gross profit for PAS increased \$8.1 million, or 21%, to \$46.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$38.7 million for the prior year due to increased sales volume, partly offset by a decrease in the gross profit percentage to 62% from 66%. The gross profit percentage was unfavorably impacted by lower average unit sales prices; net higher production costs; the effect of purchase accounting for inventory acquired in connection with the Pourquier business acquisition; and a relatively lower gross profit rate realized on sales by Pourquier, largely offset by greater relative sales of higher margin products, exclusive of the impact of the Pourquier business. The gross profit percentage earned on sales by Pourquier, excluding the impact of purchase accounting, was lower than our historical PAS gross profit rate due to greater price competition in the primary markets served by Pourquier. Additionally, purchase accounting for inventory had an unfavorable impact of 0.8% of PAS revenue because finished goods inventory acquired in connection with a business acquisition are assigned a fair value that exceeds replacement cost, which results in a low gross margin on the sale of those finished goods by the acquirer. Additionally, decreases in the gross profit percentage were partly offset by the favorable impact of foreign currency rates on sales denominated in those currencies, net of foreign exchange hedge contract losses.

Other. Gross profit for Other operating units increased \$5.4 million, or 77%, to \$12.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$7.0 million for the prior year due primarily to incremental revenue attributable to OPTI Medical, which resulted in incremental gross profit for the Other operating units. Excluding the acquisition of OPTI Medical, the gross profit percentage was 39% for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to 41% for the prior year. The decrease in the gross profit percentage was due to lower average unit sales prices for certain Dairy products and higher manufacturing and distribution costs, partly offset by the favorable impact of the effect of foreign currency rates on sales denominated in those currencies.

Operating Expenses and Operating Income

Total Company. Total operating expenses increased \$75.7 million, or 30%, to \$327.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$251.6 million for the prior year. As a percentage of revenue, operating expenses increased to 35% from 34%.

Share-based compensation expense of \$7.9 million was included in operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to \$9.0 million for the prior year. Beginning in 2007, we allocate share-based compensation expense to the operating segments, as discussed above. The total company share-based compensation expense was categorized as “unallocated amounts” for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Operating income increased \$8.3 million, or 6%, to \$136.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$127.9 million for the prior year. As a percentage of revenue, operating income decreased to 15% from 17%.

The following tables present operating expenses and operating income by operating segment:

For the Year Ended December 31,						
Operating Expenses (dollars in thousands)	2007	Percent of Revenue	2006	Percent of Revenue	Dollar Change	Percentage Change
CAG	\$ 261,877	34.9%	\$ 197,239	32.6%	\$ 64,638	32.8%
Water	14,809	22.4%	12,679	21.7%	2,130	16.8%
PAS	31,272	41.6%	22,482	38.1%	8,790	39.1%
Other	11,452	37.2%	4,254	24.5%	7,198	169.2%
Unallocated amounts	7,929	N/A	14,942	N/A	(7,013)	(46.9%)
Total Company	<u>\$ 327,339</u>	35.5%	<u>\$ 251,596</u>	34.0%	<u>\$ 75,743</u>	30.1%
Operating Income (dollars in thousands)	2007	Percent of Revenue	2006	Percent of Revenue	Dollar Change	Percentage Change
CAG	\$ 100,285	13.4%	\$ 99,833	16.5%	\$ 452	0.5%
Water	26,847	40.5%	25,762	44.1%	1,085	4.2%
PAS	15,456	20.6%	16,172	27.4%	(716)	(4.4%)
Other	1,003	3.3%	2,779	16.0%	(1,776)	(63.9%)
Unallocated amounts	(7,408)	N/A	(16,613)	N/A	9,205	55.4%
Total Company	<u>\$ 136,183</u>	14.8%	<u>\$ 127,933</u>	17.3%	<u>\$ 8,250</u>	6.4%

Companion Animal Group. Operating expenses for CAG increased \$64.6 million, or 33%, to \$261.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$197.2 million for the prior year and, as a percentage of revenue, increased to 35% from 33%. Share-based compensation expense of \$6.0 million, or 0.8% of revenue, was included in CAG operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2007. The following table presents CAG operating expenses by functional area:

For the Year Ended December 31,						
Operating Expenses (dollars in thousands)	2007	Percent of Revenue	2006	Percent of Revenue	Dollar Change	Percentage Change
Sales and marketing	\$ 128,593	17.1%	\$ 98,748	16.3%	\$ 29,845	30.2%
General and administrative	87,179	11.6%	60,267	10.0%	26,912	44.7%
Research and development	46,105	6.1%	38,224	6.3%	7,881	20.6%
Total operating expenses	<u>\$ 261,877</u>	34.9%	<u>\$ 197,239</u>	32.6%	<u>\$ 64,638</u>	32.8%

The increase in sales and marketing expense resulted primarily from higher personnel-related costs due, in part, to expanded worldwide sales, marketing and customer service support resources and higher sales commissions as a result of revenue performance. Additionally, the unfavorable impact of exchange rates on foreign currency denominated expenses, the inclusion of share-based compensation expense, and incremental expenses associated with businesses acquired subsequent to January 1, 2006 also contributed to the increase in sales and marketing expense.

The increase in general and administrative expense resulted primarily from higher personnel-related costs due, in part, to expanded resources and spending on information technology, facilities, and other general support functions. To a lesser extent, the inclusion of share-based compensation expense; incremental expenses associated with businesses acquired subsequent to January 1, 2006, comprised mainly of administrative expenses of a recurring nature to support the acquired businesses and amortization expense for intangible assets acquired; and the unfavorable impact of exchange rates on foreign currency denominated expenses also contributed to the increase in general and administrative expense.

The increase in research and development expense resulted primarily from increased product development spending, including additional professional resources, related primarily to IDEXX VetLab® instrumentation and rapid assay products. To a lesser extent, product development activities in all other CAG product and service categories and the inclusion of share-based compensation expense also contributed to the increases in research and development expense.

Water. Operating expenses for Water increased \$2.1 million, or 17%, to \$14.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$12.7 million for the prior year and, as a percentage of revenue, were approximately constant at 22%. Share-based compensation expense of \$0.4 million, or 0.6% of revenue, was included in Water operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2007. The following table presents Water expenses by functional area:

For the Year Ended December 31,						
Operating Expenses (dollars in thousands)	2007	Percent of Revenue	2006	Percent of Revenue	Dollar Change	Percentage Change
Sales and marketing	\$ 6,791	10.3%	\$ 5,465	9.3%	\$ 1,326	24.3%
General and administrative	5,532	8.4%	5,167	8.8%	365	7.1%
Research and development	2,486	3.8%	2,047	3.5%	439	21.4%
Total operating expenses	<u>\$ 14,809</u>	22.4%	<u>\$ 12,679</u>	21.7%	<u>\$ 2,130</u>	16.8%

The increase in sales and marketing expense resulted primarily from higher personnel-related costs due, in part, to expanded headcount and, to a lesser extent, the unfavorable impact of exchange rates on foreign currency denominated expenses. The increase in general and administrative expense resulted primarily from the inclusion of share-based compensation expense and higher spending on information technology, facilities, and other general support functions, partly offset by the favorable comparison due to costs incurred during the third quarter of 2006 to consolidate our office and production facilities based in the United Kingdom into a single facility and other net cost reductions. The increase in research and development expense resulted primarily from higher costs associated with coliform and *E. coli* water test product development.

Production Animal Segment. Operating expenses for PAS increased \$8.8 million, or 39%, to \$31.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$22.5 million for the prior year and, as a percentage of revenue, increased to 42% from 38%. Share-based compensation expense of \$0.8 million, or 1.0% of revenue, was included in PAS operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2007. The following table presents PAS operating expenses by functional area:

For the Year Ended December 31,						
Operating Expenses (dollars in thousands)	2007	Percent of Revenue	2006	Percent of Revenue	Dollar Change	Percentage Change
Sales and marketing	\$ 12,234	16.3%	\$ 8,162	13.8%	\$ 4,072	49.9%
General and administrative	11,347	15.1%	9,258	15.7%	2,089	22.6%
Research and development	7,691	10.2%	5,062	8.6%	2,629	51.9%
Total operating expenses	<u>\$ 31,272</u>	41.6%	<u>\$ 22,482</u>	38.1%	<u>\$ 8,790</u>	39.1%

The increase in sales and marketing expense resulted primarily from incremental activities associated with the Pourquier business, higher personnel-related costs, and, to a lesser extent, the unfavorable impact of exchange rates on foreign currency denominated expenses. The increase in general and administrative expense resulted primarily from incremental expenses associated with the Pourquier business, comprised mainly of administrative expenses of a recurring nature to support the acquired business and amortization expense for intangible assets, and higher spending on information technology, facilities, and other general support functions. To a lesser extent, the unfavorable impact of exchange rates on foreign currency denominated expenses and the inclusion of share-based compensation expense also contributed to the increase in general and administrative expense. These increases were partly offset by a favorable comparison due to the write-off, in the second quarter of 2006, of certain fixed assets located in our facility in China. The increase in research and development expense resulted primarily from higher development activities and associated higher personnel-related costs, including incremental development activities attributable to the Pourquier business acquired in March 2007, and, to a lesser extent, the inclusion of share-based compensation expense.

Other. Operating expenses for Other operating units increased \$7.2 million to \$11.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$4.3 million for the prior year due primarily to incremental expenses attributable to OPTI Medical, which was acquired in January 2007. These costs are mainly composed of operating expenses of a recurring nature to support the OPTI Medical business and amortization expense for intangible assets acquired. Share-based compensation expense of \$0.3 million, or 1.0% of revenue, was included in Other operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Unallocated Amounts. Operating expenses that are not allocated to our operating segments decreased \$7.0 million to \$7.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 from \$14.9 million for the prior year. As described above, share-based compensation expense was not allocated to our operating segments in 2006. Therefore, total company share-based compensation expense included in operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2006 of \$9.0 million was categorized as “unallocated amounts.” Beginning in 2007, we allocate a portion of share-based compensation expense to the operating segments. The unallocated share-based compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2007 was \$0.5 million. Corporate research and development expense was also included in “unallocated amounts” for both periods and grew mainly due to personnel additions in 2007 to support increased long-term product development activities.

Interest Income and Interest Expense

Interest income was \$2.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$3.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The decrease in interest income was due primarily to lower invested cash balances, partly offset by higher effective interest rates.

Interest expense was \$4.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase in interest expense was due primarily to interest expense incurred on borrowings under a revolving credit facility.

Provision for Income Taxes

Our effective income tax rate was 30.3% for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 28.4% for the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase in tax rate is primarily attributable to several non-recurring items that benefited the tax rate in the year ended December 31, 2006. These 2006 items included the resolution of an income tax audit for years ended December 31, 2003 and 2004, a reduction of previously recorded deferred tax liabilities as a result of obtaining certain multi-year tax incentives and the release of a valuation allowance on international deferred tax assets as a result of a foreign subsidiary demonstrating consistent sustained profitability. Offsetting the impact of the items occurring in 2006 were several favorable impacts to our rate that occurred during the year ended December 31, 2007. These items included an increase in certain federal tax incentives due to changes in legislation, tax benefits related to reductions in international rates, and the recognition of state tax benefits resulting from the completion of an audit.

We anticipate recognizing approximately \$0.5 million of income tax benefits that have not been recognized at December 31, 2007 in accordance with FIN 48. The income tax benefits are primarily due to the lapse in the statute of limitations for various foreign and state tax jurisdictions.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

A discussion of recent accounting pronouncements is included in Note 3(q) to the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Liquidity

We fund the capital needs of our business through cash on hand, funds generated from operations, and amounts available under our credit facilities. At December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we had \$78.9 million and \$60.4 million, respectively, of cash and cash equivalents and working capital of \$60.6 million and \$82.3 million, respectively. Additionally, at December 31, 2008, we had borrowing availability under our revolving credit facility of \$48.8 million. We believe that current cash and cash equivalents, funds generated from operations, and amounts available under our credit facility will be sufficient to fund our operations, capital purchase requirements, and strategic growth needs for the foreseeable future. We further believe that we could obtain additional borrowings at prevailing market interest rates to fund our growth objectives. However, based on the current credit market, the interest rates and financial covenants obtained may be less favorable than historical interest rates and the interest rates and financial covenants available to us under our current credit facilities.

We consider certain operating earnings of non-United States subsidiaries to be indefinitely invested outside the U.S. Changes to this policy could have adverse tax consequences. Subject to this policy, we manage our worldwide cash requirements considering available funds among all of our subsidiaries. Foreign cash balances are generally available without legal restrictions to fund ordinary business operations outside the U.S.

The following table presents additional key information concerning working capital:

	For the Three Months Ended				
	December 31, 2008	September 30, 2008	June 30, 2008	March 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
Days sales outstanding	41.9	42.3	39.9	42.6	39.4
Inventory turns	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.0	2.3

Sources and Uses of Cash

Cash generated by operating activities was \$143.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to \$135.1 million for the same period in 2007. We historically have experienced proportionally lower or net negative cash flows from operating activities during the first quarter and proportionally higher or net positive cash flows from operating activities for the remainder of the year and for the annual period. Several factors contribute to the seasonal fluctuations in cash flows generated by operating activities, including the following:

- In the U.S., we have historically paid our final income tax payments for each fiscal year on March 15th of the following year. Additionally, we would deposit our first quarter estimated tax payment for the current fiscal year at the same time. In the current year we paid our final income tax payment for 2007 in the first quarter of 2008. However, we did not make our first quarter estimated payment related to 2008 until the second quarter of 2008. Additionally, due to changes in federal tax law in 2008, we paid substantially all of our final payment related to 2008 in the fourth quarter of 2008. As a result, prior to 2008, tax payments were higher in the first quarter of each year. We believe the timing of cash payments for taxes will be more evenly distributed in future periods.
- We have management and non-management employee incentive programs that provide for the payment of annual bonuses in the first quarter following the year for which the bonuses were earned.
- We have agreements with certain suppliers that require us to make minimum annual inventory purchases, in some cases in order to retain exclusive distribution rights, and we have other agreements with suppliers that provide for lower pricing based on annual purchase volumes. We may place a higher volume of purchase orders for inventory during the fourth quarter in order to meet our minimum commitments or realize volume pricing discounts and we receive that inventory in the fourth or first quarters and pay in the first quarter. The specific facts and circumstances that we consider in determining the timing and level of inventory purchases throughout the year related to these agreements may yield inconsistent cash flows from operations, most typically in the first and fourth quarters.

Net income for the year ended December 31, 2008 increased \$22.2 million to \$116.2 million from \$94.0 million for the prior year. The total of net income and net non-cash charges was \$176.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to \$136.1 million for the same period in 2007, resulting in a year-to-year increase of \$40.7 million. The increase is due to an additional \$12.0 million in net income as adjusted for the write down of Navigator® inventory and the associated royalty license impairment and noncash items of \$28.7 million. The changes in noncash adjustments are primarily due to an increase in our provision for deferred income taxes of \$14.7 million and an increase in depreciation and amortization expense of \$7.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 as compared to 2007. The increase in the provision for deferred income taxes is primarily due to the 2007 deferral of a tax deduction for the write-down of Navigator® inventory until 2008 and bonus depreciation taken for tax purposes in the current year. The increase in depreciation and amortization expense is primarily due to incremental spending on computer hardware at the end of 2007. See Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information about the Navigator® inventory write-down.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2008, cash decreased by \$33.5 million due to changes in operating assets and liabilities, compared to a decrease in the same period of 2007 of \$1.0 million, resulting in incremental cash used of \$32.5 million. The increase in cash used by changes in operating assets and liabilities, compared to 2007, was primarily attributable to \$36.2 million incremental cash used to pay down accounts payable and accrued expenses and \$13.2 million incremental cash used by changes in inventory, partly offset by \$15.3 million less cash used by changes in accounts receivable. The incremental cash used to reduce accrued expenses was due to greater relative reductions in employee-related liabilities including management incentive bonuses and the timing of estimated tax payments caused by changes in federal estimated payment rules that became effective in the current year. The incremental cash used by changes in inventory was due primarily to increases in certain instrument inventory, including the Catalyst Dx™ Chemistry Analyzer and the SNAPshot Dx® Analyzer, resulting from the launch of the instruments in the first quarter of 2008. The incremental cash provided by decreases in accounts receivable was due to slower sales growth during the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the same period of the prior year.

Cash used by investing activities was \$91.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to cash used of \$121.1 million for the same period of 2007. The decrease in cash used by investing activities for 2008, compared to 2007, was largely due to \$81.2 million less cash used for business acquisitions and intangible assets, which are described below, partly offset by incremental purchases of property and equipment of \$24.1 million and \$35.0 million less cash provided by the sale of investments.

We paid \$6.8 million in cash to acquire a business and, under separate transactions, to acquire certain intangible assets that did not comprise businesses during the year ended December 31, 2008 and recognized liabilities of \$0.3 million, of which \$0.1 million was paid in 2008. See Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information about our acquisitions of businesses.

We paid \$89.2 million to purchase fixed assets during the year ended December 31, 2008. Our total capital expenditures for 2008 included \$38.9 million towards the renovation and expansion of our primary facility in Westbrook, Maine, which included \$1.0 million in capitalized interest. We preliminarily project additional capital spending of approximately \$35 million during 2009 through 2011 to complete this project, with approximately \$16 million of the projected spending expected in 2009.

In January 2007, we entered into an unsecured short-term revolving credit facility with a bank in the principal amount of \$125.0 million that matured on June 30, 2007. On March 30, 2007, we refinanced this short-term facility by entering into an unsecured revolving credit facility with four multinational banks that matures on March 30, 2012 (the “Credit Facility”). In February 2008, we increased the aggregate principal amount available under our Credit Facility to \$200.0 million and added a fifth bank to the syndication. The Credit Facility may be used for general corporate purposes, including repurchases of our common stock and business acquisitions. The applicable interest rates generally range from 0.375% to 0.875% above the London interbank offered rate (the “LIBOR”) or the Canadian Dollar-denominated bankers’ acceptance rate (the “CDOR”), dependent on our leverage ratio. Under the Credit Facility, we pay quarterly commitment fees of 0.08% to 0.20%, dependent on our leverage ratio, on any unused commitment. The Credit Facility contains financial and other affirmative and negative covenants, as well as customary events of default, that would allow any amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility to be accelerated, or restrict our ability to borrow thereunder, in the event of noncompliance. The financial covenant requires our ratio of debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as defined by the agreement, not to exceed 3-to-1. At December 31, 2008, our ratio of debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization was less than 1-to-1. At December 31, 2008, we had \$150.6 million outstanding under the Credit Facility at a weighted-average interest rate of 2.3%. At December 31, 2007, we had \$72.2 million outstanding under the Credit Facility at a weighted-average interest rate of 5.4%. Our availability under the Credit Facility was further reduced at December 31, 2008 by \$0.6 million for a letter of credit issued related to our workers’ compensation policy which commenced January 1, 2009. Of the total amount outstanding at December 31, 2008 and 2007, \$6.6 million and \$5.1 million, respectively, was borrowed by our Canadian subsidiary and denominated in Canadian dollars.

The board of directors has authorized the repurchase of up to 40,000,000 shares of our common stock in the open market or in negotiated transactions. From the inception of the program in August 1999 to December 31, 2008, we repurchased 35,787,000 shares. Cash used to repurchase shares during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$132.3 million and \$118.4 million, respectively. We believe that the repurchase of our common stock is a favorable investment and we also repurchase to offset the dilutive effect of our employee share-based compensation programs. Repurchases of our common stock may vary depending upon the level of other investing activities and the share price. See Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information about our share repurchases.

Other Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees

Under our workers' compensation insurance policies for U.S. employees since January 1, 2003, we have retained the first \$250,000 in claim liability per incident and aggregate claim liability based on payroll for each year. The insurance company provides insurance for claims above the individual occurrence and aggregate limits. We estimate claim liability based on claims incurred and the estimated ultimate cost to settle the claims. Based on this analysis, we have recognized expenses of \$0.7 million, \$0.3 million, \$0.9 million, \$0.5 million, \$0.9 million, and \$0.8 million for claims incurred during the years ended December 31, 2008 through 2003, respectively. Claims incurred during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 are relatively new and significant additional healthcare and wage indemnification costs could arise from those claims. Our liability for claims incurred during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 could exceed our estimates and we could be liable for up to \$2.5 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, in excess of the expense we have recognized. For the four years ended on or prior to December 31, 2006, based on our retained claim liability per incident and our aggregate claim liability, our maximum liability at December 31, 2008 is \$1.6 million in excess of the amounts deemed probable and previously recognized. In connection with these policies, we have outstanding letters of credit totaling \$2.0 million to the insurance companies as security for these claims. We also have \$0.6 million of outstanding letters of credit at December 31, 2008 related to our workers' compensation policy, which commenced on January 1, 2009.

We have commitments outstanding at December 31, 2008 for additional purchase price payments of up to \$7.7 million, of which \$0.2 million has been accrued, in connection with acquisitions of businesses and intangible assets during the current and prior periods, all of which are contingent on the achievement by certain acquired businesses of specified milestones.

We are contractually obligated to make the following payments in the years below:

(in thousands)	Total	2009	2010–2011	2012–2013	After 2013
Long-term debt obligations ⁽¹⁾	\$ 6,908	\$ 1,091	\$ 2,181	\$ 2,181	\$ 1,455
Operating leases	62,198	11,442	17,914	12,944	19,898
Purchase obligations ⁽²⁾	85,305	73,205	4,600	2,000	5,500
Minimum royalty payments	10,657	1,671	3,180	2,171	3,635
Other long-term liabilities ⁽³⁾	3,598	527	1,468	825	778
Total contractual cash obligations	<u>\$ 168,666</u>	<u>\$ 87,936</u>	<u>\$ 29,343</u>	<u>\$ 20,121</u>	<u>\$ 31,266</u>

(1) Long-term debt amounts include interest payments associated with long-term debt.

(2) Purchase obligations include agreements to purchase goods or services that are enforceable and legally binding and that specify all significant terms, including fixed or minimum quantities, pricing, and approximate timing of purchase transactions. Of this amount, \$55.3 million represents amounts committed under purchase orders and \$13.8 million represents our minimum purchase obligation under our VetTest® supply agreement with Ortho.

(3) Other long-term liabilities are liabilities that are reflected on our consolidated balance sheet in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and include accrued sabbatical leave. These liabilities do not reflect unrecognized tax benefits of \$5.9 million and deferred compensation liabilities of \$1.4 million as the timing of recognition is uncertain. Refer to Note 10 of the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2008 included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional discussion on unrecognized tax benefits.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our financial market risk consists primarily of foreign currency exchange rate risk and interest rate risk. Our functional currency is the U.S. dollar and our primary manufacturing operations are in the U.S., but we distribute our products worldwide both through direct export and through our subsidiaries. Our primary foreign currency transaction risk consists of intercompany sales of product and we attempt to mitigate this risk through our hedging program described below. Our subsidiaries in 17 foreign countries use the local currency as their functional currency. For the year ended December 31, 2008, 40% of our revenue was attributable to sales of products and services to customers outside the U.S.

The primary purpose of our foreign currency hedging activities is to protect against the volatility associated with foreign currency transactions. We also utilize some natural hedges to mitigate our transaction and commitment exposures. Corporate policy prescribes the range of allowable hedging activity. We enter into exchange contracts with large multinational financial institutions and we do not hold or engage in transactions involving derivative instruments for purposes other than risk management. Our accounting policies for these contracts are based on our designation of such instruments as hedging transactions. Market gains and losses are deferred in other current assets or accruals, as appropriate, until the contract matures, which is the period when the related obligation is settled. We primarily utilize forward exchange contracts with durations of less than 18 months.

Our subsidiaries enter into foreign currency exchange contracts to minimize the impact of foreign currency fluctuations associated with their anticipated intercompany inventory purchases for the next year. From time to time, we may also enter into foreign currency exchange contracts to minimize the impact of foreign currency fluctuations associated with specific, significant transactions.

We identify foreign currency exchange risk by regularly monitoring our transactions denominated in foreign currencies. We attempt to mitigate currency risk by hedging the majority of our cash flow on intercompany sales to minimize foreign currency exposure. Currency exposure on large purchases of foreign currency denominated products are evaluated in our hedging program and used as natural hedges to offset hedge requirements.

Our hedging strategy is consistent with prior periods and there were no material changes in our market risk exposure during the year ended December 31, 2008. We enter into forward currency exchange contracts designated as cash flow hedges for amounts that are less than the full value of forecasted intercompany sales and for amounts that are equivalent to, or less than, other specific, significant transactions, thus no significant ineffectiveness has resulted or been recorded through the statement of operations. Our hedging strategy related to intercompany inventory purchases provides that we employ the full amount of our hedges for the succeeding year at the conclusion of our budgeting process for that year, which is complete by the end of the preceding year. Quarterly, we enter into contracts to hedge incremental portions of anticipated foreign currency transactions for the following year. Accordingly, our risk with respect to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations may vary throughout each annual cycle.

We enter into hedge agreements where we believe we have meaningful exposure to foreign currency exchange risk. The notional amount of foreign currency contracts to hedge forecasted intercompany sales outstanding at December 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$97.7 million and \$122.1 million, respectively. At December 31, 2008, we had \$6.8 million in net unrealized gains on foreign exchange contracts designated as hedges recorded in other comprehensive income, which is net of \$3.1 million in taxes.

Our foreign currency exchange risk at December 31, 2008 consisted of local currency revenues and expenses, the impact of hedge contracts and balances denominated in a currency other than the Company's or our subsidiaries' functional currencies. A 10% strengthening of the U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies, including the impact of hedge contracts currently in place, would reduce operating income by approximately \$7.2 million in 2009. A 10% weakening of the U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies would have the exact opposite impact of a 10% strengthening of the U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies.

We are subject to interest rate risk to the extent that the LIBOR increases or the CDOR increases. Borrowings under our Credit Facility bear interest in the range from 0.375% to 0.875% above the LIBOR or the CDOR, dependent on our consolidated leverage ratio, and are outstanding from one to six months. Borrowings outstanding at December 31, 2008 were \$150.6 million at a weighted-average interest rate of 2.3%. All borrowings outstanding at December 31, 2008 matured one month from the date of the borrowing. An increase in the LIBOR or the CDOR of 1% would increase interest expense by approximately \$1.5 million.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The response to this item is submitted as a separate section of this report commencing on page F-1.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures, as defined by the SEC in its Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended (the “Exchange Act”). The term “disclosure controls and procedures,” as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company’s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures at December 31, 2008, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that, at the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to achieve their stated purpose.

Report of Management on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. The Company’s internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America and includes those policies and procedures that:

- Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and
- Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. In addition, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions and that the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

We conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, we conclude that, at December 31, 2008, our internal control over financial reporting was effective.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting at December 31, 2008 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the three months ended December 31, 2008 that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Certifications

The certifications with respect to disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting of the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer are attached as Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this Item with respect to Directors is omitted from this Annual Report on Form 10-K and, pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Exchange Act, is incorporated herein by reference from the sections entitled "Corporate Governance" and "Election of Directors" in the Company's definitive proxy statement with respect to its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which proxy statement will be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item is omitted from this Annual Report on Form 10-K and, pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Exchange Act, is incorporated herein by reference from the sections entitled "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," "Executive Compensation and Related Information," "Corporate Governance – Director Compensation," and "Compensation Committee Report" in the Company's definitive proxy statement with respect to its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which proxy statement will be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this Item is omitted from this Annual Report on Form 10-K and, pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Exchange Act, is incorporated herein by reference from the sections entitled "Executive Compensation and Related Information" and "Ownership of Common Stock by Directors and Officers" in the Company's definitive proxy statement with respect to its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which proxy statement will be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item is omitted from this Annual Report on Form 10-K and, pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Exchange Act, is incorporated herein by reference from the sections entitled “Corporate Governance – Related Party Transactions,” “Executive Compensation and Related Information – Employment Agreements” and “Corporate Governance – Director Independence” in the Company’s definitive proxy statement with respect to its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which proxy statement will be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item is omitted from this Annual Report on Form 10-K and, pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Exchange Act, is incorporated herein by reference from the section entitled “Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm – Independent Auditors’ Fees” in the Company’s definitive proxy statement with respect to its 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which proxy statement will be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this report.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

The following documents are filed as part of this Form 10-K:

- (a) (1) and (a) (2) The financial statements set forth in the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and the Consolidated Financial Statement Schedule are filed as a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K commencing on page F-1.
- (a)(3) and (c) The exhibits listed in the accompanying Exhibit Index are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated by reference herein.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

IDEXX LABORATORIES, INC.

Date: February 20, 2009

By: /s/ Jonathan W. Ayers

Jonathan W. Ayers
President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

SIGNATURE	TITLE	DATE
<u>/s/ Jonathan W. Ayers</u> Jonathan W. Ayers	President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors	February 20, 2009
<u>/s/ Merilee Raines</u> Merilee Raines	Corporate Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	February 20, 2009
<u>/s/ Thomas Craig</u> Thomas Craig	Director	February 20, 2009
<u>/s/ Errol B. De Souza, PhD</u> Errol B. De Souza, PhD	Director	February 20, 2009
<u>/s/ William T. End</u> William T. End	Director	February 20, 2009
<u>/s/ Rebecca M. Henderson, PhD</u> Rebecca M. Henderson, PhD	Director	February 20, 2009
<u>/s/ Barry C. Johnson, PhD</u> Barry C. Johnson, PhD	Director	February 20, 2009
<u>/s/ Brian P. McKeon</u> Brian P. McKeon	Director	February 20, 2009
<u>/s/ Robert J. Murray</u> Robert J. Murray	Director	February 20, 2009

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
AND
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

	<u>Page No.</u>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2008 and 2007</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006</u>	F-6
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-7
<u>Schedule II</u>	
<u>Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u>	F-38

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of IDEXX Laboratories, Inc.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(1) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of IDEXX Laboratories, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2) presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the Report of Management on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for uncertain tax positions and compensated absences in 2007.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Boston, Massachusetts
February 20, 2009

IDEXX LABORATORIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	December 31,	
	2008	2007
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 78,868	\$ 60,360
Accounts receivable, less reserves of \$2,093 and \$1,742 in 2008 and 2007, respectively	111,498	108,384
Inventories	115,926	98,804
Deferred income tax assets, net	21,477	23,606
Other current assets	<u>28,121</u>	<u>14,509</u>
Total current assets	355,890	305,663
Property and equipment, net	189,646	141,852
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	207,095	236,414
Other long-term assets, net	<u>12,806</u>	<u>18,250</u>
	<u>219,901</u>	<u>254,664</u>
TOTAL ASSETS	<u>\$ 765,437</u>	<u>\$ 702,179</u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 28,006	\$ 32,510
Accrued expenses	32,857	29,182
Accrued employee compensation and related expenses	43,252	44,753
Accrued taxes	13,324	18,206
Accrued customer programs	15,183	15,107
Short-term debt	150,620	72,236
Current portion of long-term debt	765	720
Deferred revenue	<u>11,285</u>	<u>10,678</u>
Total current liabilities	295,292	223,392
Long-term Liabilities:		
Deferred tax liabilities	11,933	14,697
Long-term debt, net of current portion	5,094	5,727
Deferred revenue	3,787	6,210
Other long-term liabilities	<u>11,137</u>	<u>13,830</u>
Total long-term liabilities	31,951	40,464
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 12)		
Stockholders' Equity:		
Common stock, \$0.10 par value: Authorized 120,000; Issued: 95,387 and 94,504 in 2008 and 2007, respectively	9,539	9,450
Additional paid-in capital	548,661	514,773
Deferred stock units: Outstanding 102 and 82 units in 2008 and 2007, respectively	2,678	2,201
Retained earnings	702,031	585,862
Accumulated other comprehensive income	5,675	22,705
Treasury stock, at cost: 36,164 and 33,500 in 2008 and 2007, respectively	<u>(830,390)</u>	<u>(696,668)</u>
Total stockholders' equity	<u>438,194</u>	<u>438,323</u>
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	<u>\$ 765,437</u>	<u>\$ 702,179</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

IDEXX LABORATORIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Revenue:			
Product revenue	\$ 693,320	\$ 632,186	\$ 525,352
Service revenue	<u>330,710</u>	<u>290,369</u>	<u>213,765</u>
	1,024,030	922,555	739,117
Cost of revenue:			
Cost of product revenue	270,163	260,296	215,314
Cost of service revenue	<u>224,101</u>	<u>198,737</u>	<u>144,274</u>
	494,264	459,033	359,588
Gross profit	529,766	463,522	379,529
Expenses:			
Sales and marketing	169,956	151,882	115,882
General and administrative	116,681	108,119	82,097
Research and development	<u>70,673</u>	<u>67,338</u>	<u>53,617</u>
Income from operations	172,456	136,183	127,933
Interest expense	(4,589)	(4,179)	(462)
Interest income	<u>2,320</u>	<u>2,839</u>	<u>3,279</u>
Income before provisions for income taxes and partner's interest	170,187	134,843	130,750
Provision for income taxes	54,018	40,829	37,224
Partner's interest in loss of subsidiary	—	—	(152)
Net income	\$ 116,169	\$ 94,014	\$ 93,678
Earnings per share:			
Basic	<u>\$ 1.94</u>	<u>\$ 1.53</u>	<u>\$ 1.49</u>
Diluted	<u>\$ 1.87</u>	<u>\$ 1.46</u>	<u>\$ 1.42</u>
Weighted average shares outstanding:			
Basic	<u>59,953</u>	<u>61,560</u>	<u>62,866</u>
Diluted	<u>62,249</u>	<u>64,455</u>	<u>65,907</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

IDEXX LABORATORIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital		Deferred Stock Units	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Treasury Stock	Total Stockholders' Equity
	Number of Shares	\$ 0.10 Par Value							
Balance January 1, 2006	91,877	9,188	432,800		1,316	396,936	866	(472,096)	369,010
Comprehensive income (loss):									
Net income	—	—	—	—	—	93,678	—	—	93,678
Unrealized gain on investments, net of tax of \$29	—	—	—	—	—	—	46	—	46
Unrealized loss on foreign currency forward contracts, net of tax of \$942	—	—	—	—	—	—	(1,873)	—	(1,873)
Translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	—	—	11,527	—	11,527
Total comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	103,378
Purchase of treasury stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(105,730)	(105,730)
Common stock issued under employee stock option and purchase plans, including excess tax benefit	1,361	136	31,751		—	—	—	—	31,887
Common stock issued under employee restricted and deferred stock plans	4	—	123	(123)	—	—	—	—	—
Issuance of deferred stock units	—	—	—	659	—	—	—	—	659
Share-based compensation cost recognized	—	—	10,657	—	—	—	—	—	10,657
Balance December 31, 2006	93,242	9,324	475,331		1,852	490,614	10,566	(577,826)	409,861
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	—	—	(260)	—	—	1,234	—	—	974
Comprehensive income (loss):									
Net income	—	—	—	—	—	94,014	—	—	94,014
Unrealized loss on investments, net of tax of \$107	—	—	—	—	—	—	(182)	—	(182)
Unrealized gain on foreign currency forward contracts, net of tax of \$7	—	—	—	—	—	—	19	—	19
Translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	—	—	12,302	—	12,302
Total comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	106,153
Purchase of treasury stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(118,842)	(118,842)
Common stock issued under employee stock option and purchase plans, including excess tax benefit	1,231	123	31,112		—	—	—	—	31,235
Common stock issued under employee restricted and deferred stock plans	31	3	29	(32)	—	—	—	—	—
Issuance of deferred stock units	—	—	—	381	—	—	—	—	381
Share-based compensation cost recognized	—	—	8,561	—	—	—	—	—	8,561
Balance December 31, 2007	94,504	9,450	514,773		2,201	585,862	22,705	(696,668)	438,323
Comprehensive income (loss):									
Net income	—	—	—	—	—	116,169	—	—	116,169
Unrealized loss on investments, net of tax of \$275	—	—	—	—	—	—	(469)	—	(469)
Unrealized gain on foreign currency forward contracts, net of tax of \$3,647	—	—	—	—	—	—	8,118	—	8,118
Translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	—	—	(24,679)	—	(24,679)
Total comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	99,139
Purchase of treasury stock	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(133,722)	(133,722)
Common stock issued under employee stock option and purchase plans, including excess tax benefit	808	81	23,229		—	—	—	—	23,310
Common stock issued under employee restricted and deferred stock plans	75	8	428	(38)	—	—	—	—	398
Issuance of deferred stock units	—	—	—	515	—	—	—	—	515
Share-based compensation cost recognized	—	—	10,231	—	—	—	—	—	10,231
Balance December 31, 2008	95,387	\$ 9,539	\$ 548,661	\$ 2,678	\$ 702,031	\$ 5,675	\$ (830,390)	\$ 438,194	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

IDEXX LABORATORIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 116,169	\$ 94,014	\$ 93,678
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	48,819	41,100	29,816
Decrease in deferred compensation expense	(726)	(166)	—
Loss on disposition of pharmaceutical product lines and related restructuring	1,479	—	—
Navigator® inventory write-down and royalty license impairment	—	10,138	—
Write-down of long-term assets	—	—	350
Partner's interest in loss of subsidiary	—	—	(152)
Provision for uncollectible accounts	1,180	614	1,070
Provision for (benefit of) deferred income taxes	5,634	(9,075)	(6,135)
Share-based compensation expense	10,501	8,776	10,842
Tax benefit from exercises of stock options and vesting of restricted stock units	(6,237)	(9,267)	(9,407)
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions:			
Accounts receivable	(10,266)	(25,535)	(6,583)
Inventories	(18,468)	(5,230)	(25,679)
Other assets	(5,116)	(8,102)	158
Accounts payable	(4,327)	5,851	4,352
Accrued liabilities	5,471	31,469	17,882
Deferred revenue	(805)	537	(366)
Net cash provided by operating activities	143,308	135,124	109,826
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:			
Purchases of short- and long-term investments	—	—	(79,810)
Sales and maturities of short- and long-term investments	—	35,000	110,465
Purchases of property and equipment	(89,237)	(65,138)	(32,331)
Purchase of land and buildings	—	—	(12,084)
Proceeds from disposition of pharmaceutical product lines	7,025	—	—
Acquisitions of equipment leased to customers	(734)	(1,106)	(1,720)
Acquisitions of intangible assets and businesses, net of cash acquired	(8,649)	(89,884)	(25,220)
Net cash used by investing activities	(91,595)	(121,128)	(40,700)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:			
Borrowings on revolving credit facilities, net	79,550	72,389	—
Payments of other notes payable	(595)	(2,397)	(877)
Purchases of treasury stock	(132,342)	(118,387)	(105,711)
Proceeds from exercises of stock options and employee stock purchase plans	16,360	20,941	20,922
Tax benefit from exercises of stock options and vesting of restricted stock units	6,237	9,267	9,407
Net cash used by financing activities	(30,790)	(18,187)	(76,259)
Net effect of exchange rates on cash	(2,415)	2,885	1,648
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	18,508	(1,306)	(5,485)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	60,360	61,666	67,151
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 78,868</u>	<u>\$ 60,360</u>	<u>\$ 61,666</u>
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:			
Interest paid	\$ 5,076	\$ 4,412	\$ 498
Income taxes paid	<u>\$ 49,547</u>	<u>\$ 36,662</u>	<u>\$ 36,100</u>
Supplemental Disclosure of Non-Cash Information:			
Market value of common shares received from employees in connection with share-based compensation — see Note 15	\$ 1,380	\$ 455	\$ 19
Consideration payable for acquisitions	\$ —	\$ 697	\$ 2,100
Note receivable on disposition of pharmaceutical product lines	<u>\$ 1,377</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

IDEXX LABORATORIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. NATURE OF BUSINESS

We develop, manufacture and distribute products and provide services primarily for the veterinary and the food and water testing markets. We also sell a line of portable electrolytes and blood gas analyzers for the human point-of-care medical diagnostics market. During 2008, we operated primarily through three business segments: products and services for the veterinary market, which we refer to as our Companion Animal Group (“CAG”), water quality products (“Water”) and products for production animal health, which we refer to as the Production Animal Segment (“PAS”). We also operate two smaller segments that comprise products for dairy quality, which we refer to as Dairy, and products for the human medical diagnostics market, which we refer to as OPTI Medical. Financial information about the Dairy and OPTI Medical operating segments and other activities are combined and presented in an “Other” category because they do not meet the quantitative or qualitative thresholds for reportable segments. Our products and services are sold worldwide. In addition, we maintain active research and development programs, some of which may materialize into the development and introduction of new technology, products or services that do not align with one of our existing business or service categories. In such situations, the related financial impacts are shown in the Other category. See Note 17 for additional information regarding our reportable operating segments, products and services, and geographical areas.

NOTE 2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of IDEXX Laboratories, Inc. and our wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries, and all other entities in which we have a variable interest and are determined to be the primary beneficiary. All material intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

In connection with the restructuring of our pharmaceutical business at the end of 2008, as discussed in Note 16, we realigned two of our remaining product lines to the Rapid Assay business and we realigned the remainder of the business, which comprised one product line and two out-licensing arrangements, to the Other segment. Segment information presented for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 has been restated to conform to our presentation of reportable segments for the year ended December 31, 2008.

On October 25, 2007, our board of directors approved a two-for-one split of the outstanding shares of our common stock, to be effected in the form of a 100% stock dividend. Each holder of common stock of record at November 5, 2007 received one additional share of common stock. The additional shares of common stock were distributed on November 26, 2007. As a result of the stock split, the number of outstanding common shares doubled to approximately 61 million shares. 2006 share and per share data (except par value) have been adjusted to reflect the effect of the stock split. In addition, the exercise of outstanding stock options and the vesting of other stock awards, as well as the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance under our various employee benefit plans, were proportionately increased in accordance with the terms of those respective agreements and plans.

NOTE 3. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(a) Estimates

The preparation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”) requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate these estimates, including those related to bad debts; goodwill and other intangible assets; income taxes; inventory; investments; revenue recognition, including customer programs and incentives, product returns, and multiple element arrangements; share-based compensation; warranty reserves; and contingencies. We accrue contingent liabilities when it is probable that future expenditures will be made and such expenditures can reasonably be estimated. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

(b) Inventories

Inventories include material, labor and overhead, and are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out) or market. We write down inventory for estimated obsolescence when warranted by estimates of future demand, market conditions, and remaining shelf life. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those we estimated, additional inventory write-downs may be required, which would have a negative effect on results of operations.

(c) Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. When an item is sold or retired, the cost and related accumulated depreciation is relieved, and the resulting gain or loss, if any, is recognized in the consolidated statement of income. We provide for depreciation and amortization primarily using the straight-line method by charges to income in amounts that allocate the cost of property and equipment over their estimated useful lives as follows:

Asset Classification	Estimated Useful Life
Land improvements	15 years
Buildings and improvements	15–40 years
Leasehold improvements	Shorter of life of lease or useful life
Machinery and equipment	3–5 years
Office furniture and equipment	3–7 years

Instruments placed with customers under certain minimum volume commitment programs are capitalized and depreciated over the shorter of the useful life of the instrument or the minimum volume commitment period.

We capitalize interest in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (“SFAS”) No. 34, “Capitalization of Interest Cost” (“SFAS No. 34”). Interest is capitalized on the acquisition and construction of significant assets that require a substantial period of time to be made ready for use. The capitalized interest is included in the cost of the completed asset and depreciated over the asset’s estimated useful life. In 2007, we began the renovation and expansion of our primary facility in Westbrook, Maine. During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 we capitalized \$1.0 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, of interest expense related to this project. For periods prior to 2007, there were no acquisitions or construction of significant assets that required a substantial period of time to be made ready for use, and therefore no capitalized interest was recorded.

We account for costs incurred to develop computer software for internal use in accordance with American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Statement of Position (“SOP”) 98-1, “Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use” (“SOP 98-1”). SOP 98-1 requires the capitalization of certain costs incurred in connection with developing or obtaining software designated for internal use based on three distinct stages of development. Qualifying costs incurred during the application development stage, which consist primarily of internal payroll, direct fringe benefits and external direct project costs, including labor and travel, are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the asset. Costs incurred during the preliminary project and post-implementation and operation phases are expensed as incurred. These costs are general and administrative in nature and relate primarily to data conversion, the determination of performance requirements and training. During the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 we capitalized \$7.3 million and \$3.1 million, respectively, in costs related to computer software developed for internal use.

(d) Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

A significant portion of the purchase price for acquired businesses is assigned to intangible assets. Intangible assets other than goodwill are initially valued at the lesser of fair value or, if applicable, fair value proportionately reduced by the excess of the fair value of acquired net assets over the purchase price (collectively, “fair value”) of the acquired business. If a market value is not readily available, the fair value of the intangible asset is estimated based on discounted cash flows using market participant assumptions, which are assumptions that are not specific to IDEXX. The selection of appropriate valuation methodologies and the estimation of discounted cash flows require significant assumptions about the timing and amounts of future cash flows, risks, appropriate discount rates, and the useful lives of intangible assets. When deemed appropriate by management, we utilize independent valuation experts to advise and assist us in allocating the purchase prices for acquired businesses to the fair values of the identified intangible assets and in determining appropriate amortization methods and periods for those intangible assets. Goodwill is initially valued based on the excess of the purchase price of a business combination over the fair values of acquired net assets.

We provide for amortization using the straight-line and accelerated methods by charges to income in amounts that allocate the intangible assets over their estimated useful lives as follows:

<u>Asset Classification</u>	<u>Estimated Useful Life</u>
Patents	8–15 years
Other product rights	2–15 years
Customer-related intangible assets	2–15 years
Other, primarily noncompete agreements	2–10 years

We assess goodwill for impairment annually in the fourth quarter and whenever events or circumstances indicate an impairment may exist, in accordance with SFAS No. 142, “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets” (“SFAS No. 142”). For impairment testing, the fair values of the reporting units that include goodwill are estimated using a discounted cash flow approach. The cash flows used contain our best estimates, using appropriate and customary assumptions and projections at the time. Changes in forecast cash flows or the discount rate would affect the estimated fair values of reporting units and could result in a goodwill impairment charge in a future period. No impairments were identified as a result of the annual or event-driven reviews during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 or 2006.

We assess the realizability of intangible assets other than goodwill whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable in accordance with SFAS No. 144, “Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets.” If an impairment review is triggered, we evaluate the carrying value of intangible assets based on estimated undiscounted future cash flows over the remaining useful life of the assets and comparing that value to the carrying value of the assets. The cash flows that are used contain our best estimates, using appropriate and customary assumptions and projections at the time. No impairments were identified during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 or 2006 except as discussed in Note 8.

(e) Warranty Reserves

We provide for the estimated cost of instrument warranties in cost of product revenue at the time revenue is recognized based on the estimated cost to repair the instrument over its warranty period. Cost of revenue reflects not only estimated warranty expense for the systems sold in the current period, but also any changes in estimated warranty expense for the installed base that results from our quarterly evaluation of service experience. Our actual warranty obligation is affected by instrument performance in the customer’s environment and associated costs incurred in servicing instruments. Should actual service rates or costs differ from our estimates, which are based on historical data and projections of future costs, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required. Following is a summary of changes in accrued warranty reserve for products sold to customers for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 (*in thousands*):

	<u>For the Years Ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 1,667	\$ 1,978
Provision for warranty expense	3,500	2,133
Liability assumed in connection with business acquisition	—	86
Change in estimate, balance beginning of year	(356)	(38)
Settlement of warranty liability	(1,974)	(2,492)
Balance, end of year	<u>\$ 2,837</u>	<u>\$ 1,667</u>

(f) Income Taxes

We account for income taxes under SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes" ("SFAS No. 109"). This statement requires that we recognize a current tax liability or asset for current taxes payable or refundable, respectively, and a deferred tax liability or asset, as the case may be, for the estimated future tax effects of temporary differences between book and tax treatment of assets and liabilities and carryforwards to the extent they are realizable. We record a valuation allowance to reduce our deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. While we consider future taxable income and ongoing prudent and feasible tax planning strategies in assessing the need for a valuation allowance, in the event we were to determine that we would be able to realize our deferred tax assets in the future in excess of the net recorded amount, a reduction of the valuation allowance would increase income in the period such determination was made. Likewise, should we determine that we would not be able to realize all or part of our net deferred tax asset in the future, an adjustment to the deferred tax asset would be charged to income in the period such determination was made.

We adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation ("FIN") No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" ("FIN 48") as of January 1, 2007. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in financial statements under SFAS No. 109 and prescribes a comprehensive model for the recognition, measurement, and financial statement disclosure of uncertain tax positions. Unrecognized tax benefits are the differences between tax positions taken, or expected to be taken, in tax returns, and the benefits recognized for accounting purposes pursuant to FIN 48.

Significant judgment is required in determining our worldwide provision for income taxes and our income tax filings are regularly under audit by tax authorities. Any audit result differing from amounts recorded in accordance with FIN 48 would increase or decrease income in the period that we determine such adjustment is likely. Interest expense and penalties associated with the underpayment of income taxes are included in income tax expense. See Note 10 for additional information regarding income taxes.

(g) Sales and Value Added Taxes

We calculate, collect from our customers, and remit to governmental authorities sales, value added and excise taxes assessed by governmental authorities in connection with revenue-producing transactions with our customers. We report these taxes on a net basis and do not include these tax amounts in revenue or cost of revenue.

(h) Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue when four criteria are met: (i) persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists, (ii) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, (iii) the sales price is fixed or determinable, and (iv) collectibility is reasonably assured. Revenue-generating transactions generally fall into one of the following categories of revenue recognition:

- We recognize revenue at the time of shipment to U.S. distributors for substantially all products sold through distributors as title and risk of loss pass to these customers on delivery to the common carrier. Our distributors do not have the right to return products. We recognize revenue for the remainder of our customers when the product is delivered to the customer except as noted below.
- We recognize revenue from the sales of instruments, noncancelable software licenses and hardware systems upon installation (and completion of training if applicable) and the customer's acceptance of the instrument or system because at this time we have no significant further obligations.
- We recognize service revenue at the time the service is performed.
- We recognize revenue associated with extended maintenance agreements over the life of the contracts using the straight-line method, which approximates the expected timing in which applicable services are performed. Amounts collected in advance of revenue recognition are recorded as a current or long-term liability based on the time from the balance sheet date to the future date of revenue recognition.
- We recognize revenue on certain instrument systems under rental programs over the life of the rental agreement using the straight-line method. Amounts collected in advance of revenue recognition are recorded as a current or long-term liability based on the time from the balance sheet date to the future date of revenue recognition.
- We recognize revenue on certain instruments and practice information management systems sales, where the product includes software that is considered more than incidental to the utility and value of the product, either by allocating the revenue to each element of the sale based on relative fair values of the elements including post-contract support when fair value for all elements is available or by use of the residual method when only the fair value of the post-contract support is available. We recognize revenue for the instrument or system on installation and customer acceptance and recognize revenue equal to the fair value of the post-contract support over the support period.
- Shipping costs reimbursed by the customer are included in revenue.

Multiple element arrangements. When multiple products and/or services are sold together, we generally allocate the total consideration received amongst the elements based on their relative fair values, which is determined by amounts charged separately for the delivered and undelivered elements to other customers. When there is objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered elements but no such evidence for the delivered elements, the fair value of the undelivered elements is deferred and the residual revenue is allocated to the delivered elements. The delivered elements are recognized as revenue when appropriate under the policies described above. If there is not sufficient evidence of the fair value of the undelivered elements, no revenue is allocated to the delivered elements and the total consideration received is deferred until delivery of those elements for which objective and reliable evidence of the fair value is not available.

Customer programs. We record estimated reductions to revenue in connection with customer marketing programs and incentive offerings that may give customers credits or award points. Award points granted under our IDEXX Points program may be applied to trade receivables owed to us and/or toward future purchases of our products or services. We establish accruals for estimated revenue reductions attributable to customer programs and incentive offerings for each program. Revenue reductions are recorded quarterly based on issuance of credits, points earned but not yet issued, and estimates of credits and points to be earned in the future based on current revenue. As points are redeemed we recognize the benefit of points expected to expire, or breakage, using historical forfeiture rates. On November 30 of each year, unused points granted before January 1 of the prior year expire and any variance from the breakage estimate is accounted for as a change in estimate. Within our overall IDEXX Points program, our two most significant customer programs are Practice Developer® and SNAP® up the Savings™ ("SUTS"), both of which are offered only to North American customers. Our Practice Developer® program is a CAG awards program that permits customers to earn points by purchasing quarterly minimums in certain product and service categories, including IDEXX Reference Laboratories services, Catalyst Dx™ and VetTest® slides, VetTest® SNAP® Reader reagents, LaserCyte® and VetAutoread™ tubes, Feline and Canine SNAP® tests, and service and maintenance agreements. Points may then be applied against the purchase price for IDEXX products and services purchased in the future or applied to trade receivables due to us. SUTS is our volume incentive program for selected SNAP® tests that provides customers with benefits in the form of (1) discounts off invoice at the time of purchase and (2) points under the IDEXX Points program awarded quarterly throughout the SUTS program year (which ends on August 31) based on total purchase volume of qualified SNAP® products during the year. For the Practice Developer® program, the accrued revenue reduction is calculated each quarter based on sales to end users during the quarter by either us or our distributors and on our estimate of future points to be issued upon sale of applicable product inventories held by distributors at the end of the quarter.

Doubtful accounts receivable. We recognize revenue only in those situations where collection from the customer is reasonably assured. We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. We base our estimates on detailed analysis of specific customer situations and a percentage of our accounts receivable by aging category. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances might be required. Account balances are charged off against the allowance when we believe the receivable will not be recovered.

(i) Research and Development Costs

Research and development costs, which consist of salaries, employee benefits, materials and consulting costs, are expensed as incurred. In accordance with SFAS No. 86, "Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software to be Sold, Leased or Otherwise Marketed," we evaluate our software research and development costs for capitalization after the technological feasibility of software and products containing software has been established.

(j) Advertising Costs

Advertising costs, which are recognized as sales and marketing expense in the period in which they are incurred, were \$1.4 million, \$1.9 million and \$1.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

(k) Share-Based Compensation

We account for share-based compensation in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), “Share-Based Payment” (“SFAS 123(R)”), which is a revision of SFAS No. 123, “Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation” and SFAS No. 148, “Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation—Transition and Disclosure—An Amendment of FASB No. 123” (collectively, “SFAS No. 123, as Amended”) and supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, “Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees.” SFAS 123(R) requires all share-based compensation to employees, including grants of stock options, to be valued at fair value on the date of grant, and to be expensed over the requisite service period (generally the vesting period).

We adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) on January 1, 2006 and elected the modified prospective method of transition to the fair-value-based method of accounting for share-based employee compensation prescribed by SFAS No. 123(R). Effective January 1, 2006, under the modified prospective method, share-based compensation expense includes expense for unvested awards at December 31, 2005 and all awards granted subsequent to December 31, 2005. Share-based compensation expense for the unvested awards outstanding at December 31, 2005 is based on the grant-date fair value previously calculated in developing the pro forma disclosures in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123, as Amended. The graded vesting, or accelerated, method has been used to record the expense for stock options granted prior to January 1, 2006. The straight-line method is used to record the expense for stock options and awards granted subsequent to December 31, 2005.

Our share-based employee compensation programs allow for the grant of a mix of restricted stock units and stock options, along with employee stock purchase rights. In addition, our Director Deferred Compensation Plan and our Executive Deferred Compensation Plan allow for the grant of deferred stock units, which may or may not have vesting conditions depending on the plan under which these deferred stock units were issued. See Note 5 for additional information. There were no modifications to the terms of outstanding options, restricted stock units or deferred stock units during 2008, 2007 or 2006.

We issue new shares of common stock to satisfy option and employee stock purchase right exercises and to settle restricted stock units and deferred stock units.

(l) Foreign Currency Translation

The functional currency of most of our subsidiaries is their local currency. Assets and liabilities of these foreign subsidiaries are translated using the exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date. Revenue and expense accounts are translated using the exchange rate at which those elements are recognized and where it is impractical to do so, a weighted average of exchange rates in effect during the period is used to translate those elements. Cumulative translation gains and losses are shown in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income. For one of our subsidiaries located in the Netherlands, the functional currency is the U.S. Dollar. Monetary assets and liabilities for this entity are remeasured using the current exchange rate at the balance sheet date; revenues and expenses are recorded at the current exchange rate when the transaction is recognized. The impact of remeasurement is included in the statement of operations. Exchange gains and losses arising from transactions denominated in foreign currencies other than our subsidiaries’ respective functional currencies are included in operating expenses. Included in general and administrative expenses are aggregate foreign exchange currency transaction gains of \$0.1 million, \$0.2 million, and \$0.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

(m) Derivative Instruments and Hedging

We follow SFAS No. 133, “Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities” as amended by SFAS No. 137, “Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities—Deferral of the Effective Date of SFAS No. 133” and SFAS No. 138, “Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities—An Amendment of SFAS No. 133” (“SFAS No. 133, as Amended”). SFAS No. 133, as Amended requires that all derivatives, including forward currency exchange contracts, be recognized on the balance sheet at fair value. Derivatives that are not hedges must be recorded at fair value through earnings. If a derivative is a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative are either offset against the change in fair value of the hedged item through earnings or recognized in other comprehensive income until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. We immediately record in earnings the extent to which a hedge is not effective in achieving offsetting changes in fair value.

The primary purpose of our foreign currency hedging activities is to protect against the volatility associated with foreign currency transactions. We also utilize some natural hedges to mitigate our transaction and commitment exposures. Corporate policy prescribes the range of allowable hedging activity. We enter into exchange contracts with large multinational financial institutions and we do not hold or engage in transactions involving derivative instruments for purposes other than risk management. Our accounting policies for these contracts are based on our designation of such instruments as hedging transactions. Market gains and losses are deferred in other current assets or accruals, as appropriate, until the contract matures, which is the period when the related obligation is settled. We primarily utilize forward exchange contracts with durations of less than 18 months.

Our subsidiaries enter into foreign currency exchange contracts to minimize the impact of foreign currency fluctuations associated with their anticipated intercompany inventory purchases for the next year. From time to time, we may also enter into foreign currency exchange contracts to minimize the impact of foreign currency fluctuations associated with specific, significant transactions.

We enter into currency exchange contracts for amounts that are less than the full value of forecasted intercompany sales and for amounts that are equivalent to, or less than, other specific, significant transactions. Our hedging strategy related to intercompany inventory purchases provides that we employ the full amount of our hedges for the succeeding year at the conclusion of our budgeting process for that year, which is complete by the end of the preceding year. Quarterly, we enter into contracts to hedge incremental portions of anticipated foreign currency transactions for the following year. Accordingly, our risk with respect to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations may vary throughout each annual cycle.

In addition to hedges for anticipated 2008 intercompany inventory purchases, we had a foreign currency exchange contract outstanding at December 31, 2007 to hedge the repayment by our Canadian subsidiary of an intercompany loan denominated in Canadian dollars that the subsidiary used to fund the acquisitions of veterinary reference laboratory businesses, which had a U.S. dollar equivalent of \$32.1 million at December 31, 2007.

At December 31, 2008, we recorded \$8.1 million in unrealized gains through accumulated other comprehensive income, which is net of \$3.6 million in taxes, from foreign exchange contracts with 2009 expiration dates. At December 31, 2007, we recorded less than \$0.1 million in unrealized losses through accumulated other comprehensive income, which is net of less than \$0.1 million in taxes, from foreign exchange contracts with 2008 expiration dates.

The notional amount of foreign currency contracts to hedge forecasted intercompany sales outstanding at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, consisted of the following (*in thousands*):

Currency Sold	U.S. Dollar Equivalent	
	2008	2007
Euro	\$ 44,907	\$ 60,965
British Pound	20,540	24,198
Canadian Dollar	16,960	17,000
Swiss Franc	—	1,188
Australian Dollar	3,641	6,262
Japanese Yen	6,318	5,414
	\$ 92,366	\$ 115,027

Currency Purchased	U.S. Dollar Equivalent	
	2008	2007
Swiss Franc	\$ 5,383	\$ 6,604
Japanese Yen	—	436
	\$ 5,383	\$ 7,040

Gains and losses on foreign exchange contracts intended as hedges for intercompany sales of goods are recorded in cost of product revenue. Included in cost of product revenue are foreign exchange gains of \$0.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 and foreign exchange losses of \$5.7 million, and \$2.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

(n) Disclosure of Fair Value of Financial Instruments and Concentration of Risk

Financial instruments consist mainly of cash and cash equivalents, investments, accounts receivable, derivative instruments, accounts payable, lines of credit, and notes payable. Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk are principally cash, cash equivalents, investments and accounts receivable. We place our investments in highly-rated financial institutions and money market funds invested in government securities. Concentration of credit risk with respect to accounts receivable is limited to certain customers to whom we make substantial sales. To reduce risk, we routinely assess the financial strength of our customers and closely monitor their amounts due to us and, as a consequence, believe that our accounts receivable credit risk exposure is limited. We maintain an allowance for potential credit losses, but historically have not experienced any significant credit losses related to an individual customer or group of customers in any particular industry or geographic area. The carrying amounts of our financial instruments, other than long-term debt, approximate fair market value because of the short maturity of those instruments. The carrying amount of our long-term debt approximates fair market value based on current market prices for similar debt issues with similar remaining maturities. See Note 17 for further discussion of concentration of credit risk of accounts receivable, Note 9 for discussion of interest rate risk regarding our revolving credit facility, and Note 18 for discussion of fair value measurements.

We currently purchase many products and materials from single sources or a limited number of sources. Some of the products that we purchase from these sources are proprietary, and, therefore, cannot be readily or easily replaced by alternative sources. If we are unable to obtain adequate quantities of these products in the future, we could face cost increases or reductions, or delays or discontinuations in product shipments, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

(o) Comprehensive Income

SFAS No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income," requires us to report all changes in equity during a period resulting from net income and transactions or other events and circumstances from non-owner sources in a financial statement for the period in which they are recognized. We have chosen to disclose comprehensive income, which encompasses net income, foreign currency translation adjustments and the difference between the cost and the fair market value of investments in debt and equity securities and foreign exchange contracts, in the consolidated statement of stockholders' equity. We consider the foreign currency cumulative translation adjustment to be permanently invested and, therefore, have not provided income taxes on those amounts.

Accumulated other comprehensive income consisted of the following at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively (*in thousands*):

	December 31,	
	2008	2007
Unrealized loss on investments, net of tax	\$ (756)	\$ (287)
Unrealized gain (loss) on forward exchange contracts, net of tax	6,817	(1,301)
Cumulative translation adjustment	(386)	24,293
	\$ 5,675	\$ 22,705

(p) Changes in Accounting Principles

We adopted the provisions of Emerging Issues Task Force (“EITF”) consensus on Issue 06-2, “Accounting for Sabbatical Leave and Other Similar Benefits Pursuant to FASB Statement No. 43, Accounting for Compensated Absences” (“EITF 06-2”) and FIN 48, as of January 1, 2007. EITF 06-2 requires that the costs associated with unrestricted sabbaticals and other similar benefit arrangements be recognized over the service period during which the employee earns the benefit. We provide an additional four weeks of compensated leave to all U.S. salaried employees in their tenth anniversary year of employment and again at each fifth year thereafter. As a result of adopting the provisions of EITF 06-2, we recognized an increase in assets of \$1.2 million, an increase in liabilities of \$3.0 million, and a decrease in retained earnings of \$1.8 million at January 1, 2007. Beginning in 2007, we recognize estimated costs for estimated future compensated leave benefits as they are earned. See Note 10 for a discussion of our adoption of FIN 48.

(q) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

We adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements” (“SFAS No. 157”) on January 1, 2008. As permitted by FASB Staff Position (“FSP”) No. SFAS 157-2, “Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157” (“FSP No. SFAS 157-2”), we elected to defer the adoption of SFAS No. 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis, until January 1, 2009. SFAS No. 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands financial statement disclosures about fair value measurements. There was no cumulative effect of adoption related to SFAS No. 157 and the adoption did not have an impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. We are studying SFAS No. 157 with respect to nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities falling under the scope of FSP No. SFAS 157-2. We do not expect that the adoption of SFAS No. 157 with respect to these nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities will have an impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. See Note 18 for a discussion of our adoption of SFAS No. 157.

We adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 159, “The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115” (“SFAS No. 159”) on January 1, 2008. SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose, at specified election dates, to measure eligible items at fair value (the “fair value option”). Under this pronouncement, a business entity must report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at each subsequent reporting period. We have not elected the fair value option for any items on our balance sheet.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), “Business Combinations” (“SFAS No. 141(R)”) which revised SFAS No. 141, “Business Combinations” (“SFAS No. 141”). SFAS No. 141(R) establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired. SFAS No. 141(R) also establishes disclosure requirements, which will enable users to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. This standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. As the provisions of SFAS No. 141(R) are applied prospectively, the impact of this standard cannot be determined until the transactions occur.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, “Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements” (“SFAS No. 160”). SFAS No. 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent, the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest, changes in a parent’s ownership interest and the valuation of retained noncontrolling equity investments when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. SFAS No. 160 also establishes reporting requirements that provide sufficient disclosures that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. This standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The adoption of SFAS No. 160 will not have an effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, “Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities — an amendment of SFAS No. 133” (“SFAS No. 161”). SFAS No. 161 changes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities. This standard requires enhanced disclosures about how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, how instruments are accounted for under SFAS No. 133, and how derivatives and hedging activities affect an entity’s financial position, financial performance and cash flows. This standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The adoption of SFAS No. 161 will not have an impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 142-3, “Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets” (“FSP FAS No. 142-3”). FSP FAS No. 142-3 amends SFAS No. 142 to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under SFAS No. 141 and other U.S. GAAP. This FSP is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The guidance for determining the useful life of a recognized intangible asset is to be applied prospectively, therefore, the impact of the implementation of this pronouncement cannot be determined until the transactions occur.

NOTE 4. ACQUISITION OF BUSINESSES AND OTHER ASSETS

We paid \$6.8 million in cash to acquire a business and, under separate transactions, to acquire certain intangible assets that did not comprise businesses during the year ended December 31, 2008 and recognized liabilities of \$0.3 million, of which \$0.1 million was paid in 2008. In addition, we have agreed to pay up to \$7.7 million in cash in the future upon achievement of certain revenue and other milestones, of which \$7.5 million will be accrued and recorded as additional intangible assets if and when we determine that it is probable that the milestones will be achieved.

More specifically, in January 2008, we acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed certain liabilities of VetLab Laboratorio Veterinario de Referencia, S.L. (“VetLab S.L.”). With operations in Barcelona, Spain, VetLab S.L. is a provider of reference laboratory testing services to veterinarians. During the year ended December 31, 2008 we also acquired certain intellectual property and distribution rights associated with a diagnostic test product. We also made purchase price payments of \$1.7 million related to the achievement of milestones realized by certain businesses acquired in prior years, of which \$1.5 million was previously accrued. In connection with these acquisitions, we recognized goodwill of \$0.4 million and amortizable intangible assets of \$6.4 million.

We paid \$86.6 million and recognized liabilities, including contingent liabilities and deferred tax liabilities associated with purchase accounting, of \$17.9 million to acquire businesses and certain intangible assets that did not comprise businesses during the year ended December 31, 2007. In January 2007, we acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed certain liabilities of the Critical Care Division of Osmetech plc. The acquired business is based in the United States and develops, designs, manufactures, and distributes point-of-care electrolyte and blood gas analyzers and related consumable products for the human medical and veterinary diagnostics markets. In March 2007, we acquired all of the equity of Vita-Tech Canada Inc. (“Vita-Tech”) and Institut Pourquier SAS (“Pourquier”) in separate transactions. Vita-Tech is the largest provider of reference laboratory testing services to veterinarians in Canada and has operations in Toronto and Montreal, Canada. Pourquier is based in Montpellier, France and develops, designs, manufactures, and distributes production animal diagnostic products. In March and October 2007, we acquired veterinary reference laboratories located in the United States. We also acquired certain assets of other veterinary reference laboratories during the year ended December 31, 2007 that did not comprise businesses. In connection with the 2007 acquisitions, we recognized goodwill of \$45.2 million and amortizable intangible assets of \$38.9 million. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we also made purchase price payments of \$3.2 million related to the achievement of milestones by certain businesses acquired in prior years.

We paid \$23.9 million and recognized liabilities, including contingent liabilities and deferred tax liabilities associated with purchase accounting, of \$4.6 million to acquire businesses and certain intangible assets that did not comprise businesses during the year ended December 31, 2006. The 2006 acquisitions included veterinary reference laboratories in the United States, Canada and South Africa; a veterinary practice information management software business based in the United States; and certain intellectual property and distribution rights from a diagnostics company based in Australia. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we recognized incremental amortizable intangible assets of \$0.3 million in connection with the finalization of purchase price allocations for certain 2006 acquisitions. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we revised the purchase price allocations related to certain businesses acquired during the year ended December 31, 2006. The revisions to the purchase price allocations resulted in a decrease in goodwill assigned to the Companion Animal Group ("CAG") segment of \$0.8 million and a corresponding increase in property, equipment and other intangible assets. In connection with these acquisitions, we recognized goodwill of \$11.0 million and amortizable intangible assets of \$13.3 million.

We believe that the acquired businesses enhance our existing businesses by either expanding the geographic range of our existing businesses or expanding our existing product lines. We determined the purchase price of each acquired business based on our assessment of estimated future cash flows attributable to the business enterprise taken as a whole, the strength of the business in the marketplace, the strategic importance of the acquisition to IDEXX, and the seller's desire to be acquired by IDEXX versus perceived alternatives. We recognized goodwill based on the excess of the purchase price for each business over the fair values of the individual tangible and separately identified intangible assets acquired, which were valued in accordance with SFAS No. 141.

The results of operations of the acquired businesses have been included since their respective acquisition dates. Pro forma information has not been presented because such information is not material to the financial statements taken as a whole.

NOTE 5. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Selected financial impacts of share-based compensation, excluding the impact of deferred stock units issued under our Director Deferred Compensation Plan or our Executive Deferred Compensation Plan that do not have vesting conditions (which are described below), are presented in the table below (*in thousands, except per share amounts*):

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Share-based compensation expense included in cost of revenue	\$ 1,120	\$ 710	\$ 1,671
Share-based compensation expense included in operating expense	<u>9,111</u>	<u>7,851</u>	<u>8,986</u>
Total share-based compensation expense	10,231	8,561	10,657
Income tax benefit in net income for share-based compensation expense	(2,835)	(1,968)	(1,845)
Income tax benefit in net income for employees' disqualifying dispositions of shares acquired through the exercise of stock options and employee stock purchase rights	<u>(415)</u>	<u>(313)</u>	<u>(57)</u>
Total income tax benefit	(3,250)	(2,281)	(1,902)
Net impact of share-based compensation on net income	<u>\$ 6,981</u>	<u>\$ 6,280</u>	<u>\$ 8,755</u>
Net impact of share-based compensation on:			
Earnings per share, basic	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.14
Earnings per share, diluted	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.13

For the year ended December 31, 2008, share-based compensation expense included \$9.7 million for options, restricted stock units and deferred stock units with vesting conditions and \$0.6 million for employee stock purchase rights. For the year ended December 31, 2007, share-based compensation expense included \$8.1 million for options, restricted stock units and deferred stock units with vesting conditions and \$0.5 million for employee stock purchase rights. For the year ended December 31, 2006, share-based compensation expense included \$10.3 million for options, restricted stock units and deferred stock units with vesting conditions and \$0.4 million for employee stock purchase rights. Expense for deferred stock units issued under our Director Deferred Compensation Plan and our Executive Deferred Compensation Plan that do not have vesting conditions of \$0.5 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, has been excluded from share-based compensation in the table above as it relates to deferred stock units granted in lieu of cash compensation. Share-based compensation expense was not affected by the two-for-one split of the outstanding shares of our common stock as discussed in Note 2.

Additionally, share-based compensation expense is reduced for an estimate of the number of awards that are expected to be forfeited. We use historical data and other factors to estimate employee termination behavior and to evaluate whether particular groups of employees have significantly different forfeiture behaviors.

Share-based compensation costs are classified in cost of revenue and operating expenses consistently with the classification of cash compensation paid to the employees receiving such share-based compensation. Capitalized share-based employee compensation cost was \$0.4 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.2 million at December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, which was included in inventory on the consolidated balance sheets.

The following table represents cash proceeds from employees' exercise of stock options and employee stock purchase rights and the reduction of income taxes payable due to employees' share-based compensation tax events (*in thousands*):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Cash proceeds from employee stock purchases and options exercised under all share-based payment arrangements	\$ 16,360	\$ 20,941	\$ 20,922
Reduction of income taxes payable due to employee's share-based compensation tax	\$ 9,037	\$ 11,103	\$ 10,692

The fair value of options, restricted stock units, deferred stock units with vesting conditions, and employee stock purchase rights awarded during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 totaled \$18.7 million, \$18.2 million and \$11.9 million, respectively. The total unrecognized compensation cost for unvested share-based compensation awards outstanding at December 31, 2008, before consideration of estimated forfeitures, was \$30.9 million. We estimate that this cost will be reduced by approximately \$3.1 million related to forfeitures. The weighted average remaining expense recognition period is approximately 2 years.

Stock Incentive Plan

During 2003, our board of directors approved the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (the "2003 Stock Plan") pursuant to which our employees and directors may receive various types of share-based incentives, including stock options, restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights and deferred stock units. Any shares that are subject to awards of options or stock appreciation rights will be counted against the share limit as one share for every share granted. Any shares that are subject to other awards, such as restricted stock, will be counted against the share limit as 2.1 shares for every share granted. A total of 6,300,000 shares of common stock are authorized for issuance under the 2003 Stock Plan, provided that no more than 6,300,000 shares will be available for the grant of incentive stock options, and no more than 1,200,000 shares will be available for awards other than stock options and stock appreciation rights (such as restricted stock). In addition, if any options granted under our prior plans, including the 1991 Stock Option Plan, the 1998 Stock Incentive Plan or the 2000 Director Option Plan, terminate, expire or are forfeited without having been exercised in full, the shares subject to such unexercised options are available for issuance under the 2003 Stock Plan. Options granted under the 2003 Stock Plan and prior plans may not be granted at an exercise price less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date granted (or less than 110% of the fair market value in the case of incentive stock options granted to holders of more than 10% of our Common Stock). Options may not be granted for a term of more than ten years. The vesting schedule of all options granted under the 2003 Stock Plan is determined by the compensation committee of our board of directors at the time of grant. At December 31, 2008, a remaining total of 2,662,000 shares of common stock was authorized by our shareholders and available for future grants of share-based compensation.

Options

Option awards are granted to employees with an exercise price equal to not less than the closing market price of our common stock at the date of grant and generally vest ratably over five years on each anniversary of the date of grant, conditional on continuous service. Options granted to non-employee directors vest fully on the first anniversary of the date of grant. Upon any change in control of the company, 25% of the unvested stock options then outstanding will vest and become exercisable. However, if the acquiring entity does not assume outstanding options, then all options will vest immediately prior to the change in control.

We use the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model to determine the fair value of options granted. Option-pricing models require the input of highly subjective assumptions, particularly for the expected stock price volatility. Changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate. Our expected stock price volatility assumptions are based on the historical volatility of our stock for the expected term and other relevant factors. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yields for the expected term in effect at the approximate date of grant. We have never paid any cash dividends on our common stock and we have no present intention to pay a dividend; therefore, we assumed that no dividends will be paid over the expected terms of option awards.

The use of the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model, the general methods employed to develop the above described option valuation assumptions, and the vesting conditions of option awards are consistent with prior periods. Beginning in 2006, the contractual terms of employee option grants were reduced from ten years to seven years and we previously elected to use the simplified method described in the Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, which is based on vesting and contractual terms, to develop the expected term assumption for 2006 and 2007 option awards. Beginning in January 2008, we have derived the expected term assumption for options based on historical experience and other relevant factors concerning expected employee behavior with regard to option exercise.

We determine the assumptions to be used in the valuation of option grants as of the date of grant. As such, we may use different assumptions during the fiscal year if we grant options at different dates. The weighted average of the valuation assumptions used to determine the fair value of each option grant on the date of grant and the weighted average estimated fair values were as follows:

	<u>For the Years Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
Expected stock price volatility	25%	29%	30%
Expected term, in years	4.9	5.0	5.0
Risk-free interest rate	2.6%	4.7%	4.6%
Weighted average fair value of options granted	\$ 14.63	\$ 13.40	\$ 13.39

A summary of the status of options granted under our share-based compensation plans at December 31, 2008, and changes during the year then ended, are presented in the table below:

	<u>Number of Options (000)</u>	<u>Weighted Average Exercise Price</u>	<u>Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term</u>	<u>Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$000)</u>
Outstanding at December 31, 2007	5,508	\$ 22.07		
Granted	459	54.27		
Exercised	(728)	18.13		
Forfeited	(158)	37.97		
Expired	(2)	13.87		
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	5,079	\$ 25.06	4.3	\$ 68,974
Fully vested at December 31, 2008	3,626	\$ 18.56	3.7	\$ 64,627
Fully vested and expected to vest, at December 31, 2008	4,917	\$ 24.35	4.2	\$ 68,754

Intrinsic value represents the amount by which the market price of the common stock exceeded the exercise price of the options, before applicable income taxes. During the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, the total intrinsic value of stock options exercised was \$25.4 million, \$38.9 million and \$34.4 million, respectively.

The total fair value of options vested during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$11.3 million, \$12.6 million and \$12.8 million, respectively.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

During 1997, our board of directors approved the 1997 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, under which we reserved and may issue up to an aggregate of 1,240,000 shares of Common Stock in periodic offerings. Under the plan, stock is sold at 85% of the closing price of the stock on the last day of each three-month plan period. The fair value of purchase rights under the program equals the 15% discount from the market price at the exercise date, which is the last day of the subscription period.

The following summarizes information about purchase rights issued under the employee stock purchase plan (*in thousands, except per share amounts*):

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Number of purchase rights issued	80	61	62
Weighted average fair value per purchase right issued	\$ 7.02	\$ 7.47	\$ 6.24

Restricted and Other Deferred Stock Units With Vesting Conditions

Restricted stock unit awards to employees either vest ratably over five years on each anniversary of the date of grant, or vest on the third anniversary of the date of grant. Vesting is conditional on continuous service. Restricted stock units are converted to an equivalent number of shares of common stock upon vesting. Upon any change in control of the company, 25% of the unvested restricted stock units then outstanding under the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan will vest, provided, however, that if the acquiring entity does not assume the restricted stock units, then all such units will vest immediately prior to the change in control. Deferred stock units with vesting conditions awarded to non-employee directors under the Director Deferred Compensation Plan vest fully on the first anniversary of the date of grant. Except upon a change in control, as defined in the Director Deferred Compensation Plan, or certain limited circumstances, all deferred stock units will be exchanged for an equivalent number of shares of common stock one year following a director's resignation or retirement. Upon a change in control, unvested deferred stock units vest immediately.

The fair values of restricted and deferred stock units with vesting conditions are based on the closing sale price of the common stock on the date of grant. The weighted average fair value per unit of restricted stock units granted during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$55.70, \$42.65 and \$39.00, respectively. The weighted average fair value per unit of deferred stock units with vesting conditions granted during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$56.95, \$41.94 and \$38.73, respectively.

A summary of the status of restricted and other deferred stock units with vesting conditions granted under our share-based compensation plans at December 31, 2008, and changes during the period then ended, are presented in the table below:

	<u>Number of Units (000)</u>	<u>Remaining Contractual Term</u>	<u>Weighted Average Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$000)</u>
Outstanding at December 31, 2007	353		
Granted	205		
Settled	(84)		
Forfeited	<u>(56)</u>		
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	418	1.8	\$ 15,073
Fully vested at December 31, 2008	—	—	—
Fully vested and expected to vest, at December 31, 2008	347	1.6	\$ 12,508

Deferred Stock Units With No Vesting Conditions

Under our Director Deferred Compensation Plan, non-employee directors also may defer a portion of their cash fees in the form of vested deferred stock units, each of which represents the right to receive one unissued share of our common stock. Directors receive a number of deferred stock units equal to the amount of cash fees deferred divided by the closing sale price of the common stock on the date of deferral. Under our Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (the “Executive Plan”), certain members of our management may elect to defer a portion of their cash compensation in deferred stock units. These deferred stock units will be exchanged for a fixed number of shares of common stock, subject to the limitations of the Executive Plan and applicable law. Except upon a change in control, as defined in the Director Deferred Compensation Plan and the Executive Plan, or certain other limited circumstances, directors and officers may not receive shares of common stock in settlement of deferred stock units earlier than one year following their resignation from the board or termination of their employment, respectively.

During the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company issued approximately 10,000, 8,000 and 16,000 deferred stock units valued at \$0.5 million, \$0.7 million and \$0.7 million, respectively.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, approximately 1,000 shares of common stock were issued to settle deferred stock units.

NOTE 6. INVENTORY

Inventories include material, labor and overhead, and are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out) or market. The components of inventories are as follows (*in thousands*):

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
Raw materials	\$ 32,575	\$ 26,182
Work-in-process	18,428	16,425
Finished goods	64,923	56,197
	<u>\$ 115,926</u>	<u>\$ 98,804</u>

During the year ended December 31, 2007, we recognized a write-down of nitazoxanide raw materials inventory of \$9.1 million associated with Navigator®. This write-down is included in cost of product revenue in the consolidated statement of income. Our analysis of the realizability of the inventory was triggered upon our receipt of notice from the third-party contract manufacturer of finished goods that it would discontinue manufacturing the product in 2009. Because of the low production volume of Navigator®, we believed that we would not be able to enter into a replacement manufacturing arrangement on economically feasible terms, and therefore we would not be able to obtain the product after termination of the existing manufacturing arrangement. Accordingly, we evaluated our associated inventory for obsolescence based on our changed estimates of product availability and estimated future demand and market conditions. This inventory comprised \$9.1 million of active ingredient and other raw materials, for which we recognized a full write-down during 2007.

NOTE 7. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment, net, consisted of the following (*in thousands*):

	December 31,	
	2008	2007
Land and improvements	\$ 8,189	\$ 7,754
Buildings and improvements	90,042	54,072
Leasehold improvements	17,275	16,737
Machinery and equipment	106,632	92,139
Office furniture and equipment	74,885	61,472
Construction in progress	23,175	23,002
	320,198	255,176
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	130,552	113,324
Total property and equipment	\$ 189,646	\$ 141,852

Depreciation expense of property and equipment was \$37.3 million, \$29.5 million, and \$21.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006, respectively.

In 2007, we began the renovation and expansion of our primary facility in Westbrook, Maine. We have capitalized \$38.9 million related to this project during the year ended December 31, 2008 and \$50.5 million since the project's inception. These amounts include capitalized interest of \$1.0 million in 2008 and \$1.3 million since the project's inception. See Note 3(c) for additional information.

NOTE 8. OTHER NONCURRENT ASSETS, INTANGIBLE ASSETS AND GOODWILL

Other noncurrent assets consisted of the following (*in thousands*):

	December 31,	
	2008	2007
Deferred tax assets, net	\$ 1,170	\$ 6,644
Cost of rental instruments sold under recourse, net	1,151	1,804
Other assets	10,485	9,802
	\$ 12,806	\$ 18,250

Rental instruments sold under recourse are amortized over their estimated useful life of three years. Amortization expense of rental instruments sold under recourse was \$1.3 million, \$2.3 million and \$2.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Intangible assets other than goodwill consisted of the following (*in thousands*):

	December 31, 2008		December 31, 2007	
	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Cost	Accumulated Amortization
Patents	\$ 9,748	\$ 4,306	\$ 10,895	\$ 4,003
Other product rights	32,187	13,180	27,838	10,428
Customer-related intangible assets	52,642	11,844	57,907	8,011
Other, primarily noncompete agreements	6,268	3,188	6,416	2,299
	\$ 100,845	\$ 32,518	\$ 103,056	\$ 24,741

Amortization expense of intangible assets was \$10.2 million, \$9.1 million and \$5.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we acquired customer-related intangible assets of \$1.4 million, product rights of \$4.8 million, and other intangible assets of \$0.2 million, all of which were assigned to the CAG segment, with weighted amortization periods of 15 years, 10 years and 3 years, respectively. See Note 16 for more information. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we recognized \$38.9 million of amortizable intangible assets related to business acquisitions. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we also recognized incremental amortizable intangible assets of \$0.3 million in connection with the finalization of purchase price allocations for certain 2006 business acquisitions. The weighted average amortization periods for other product rights, customer-related intangible assets, and other intangible assets acquired during 2007 in connection with business acquisitions were 13 years, 12 years and 6 years, respectively. See Notes 4 and 12 for additional information. The remaining change in the cost of intangible assets other than goodwill during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 resulted primarily from changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

The aggregate amortization expense associated with intangible assets owned at December 31, 2008 is expected to be as follows for each of the next five years (*in thousands*):

	<u>Amortization Expense</u>
2009	\$ 8,738
2010	8,484
2011	8,121
2012	7,244
2013	6,206
Thereafter	29,534
	68,327

Goodwill consisted of the following (*in thousands*):

	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2007
CAG segment	\$ 109,502	\$ 124,473
Water segment	12,757	17,566
Production animal segment	9,978	9,529
Other segment	6,531	6,531
	\$ 138,768	\$ 158,099

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we recognized goodwill of \$0.6 million (all of which is expected to be tax deductible) related to business acquisitions prior to December 31, 2008, which was assigned to the CAG segment. Of this amount, \$0.2 million related to business acquisitions prior to 2008. See Note 4 for additional information. In connection with the sale of certain of our pharmaceutical product lines in the fourth quarter of 2008, we allocated \$7.2 million of goodwill to the pharmaceutical product lines sold based on their relative fair values. In addition, due to the restructuring of the remaining pharmaceutical business, goodwill of \$6.5 million related to the pharmaceutical product lines retained, was realigned into the Other segment. See note 16 for additional information. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we recognized \$45.2 million of goodwill (of which \$27.5 million is expected to be tax deductible) related to business acquisitions. During the year ended December 31, 2007, we revised the purchase price allocations related to certain businesses acquired during the year ended December 31, 2006. The revised purchase price allocations resulted in a decrease in goodwill assigned to the CAG segment of \$0.8 million and a corresponding increase in property, equipment and other intangible assets. See Note 4 for additional information. The remaining changes in the cost of goodwill during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 resulted primarily from changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, we recognized an impairment charge to write off a prepaid royalty license of \$1.0 million associated with Navigator® paste, our Nitazoxanide product for the treatment of equine protozoal myeloencephalitis. We also recognized a related inventory write-down as described in Note 6. Based on our changed estimates of product availability and estimated future demand and market conditions, we determined that we would not realize our investment in prepaid royalties and, therefore, fully expensed this asset.

We assessed goodwill attributable to our pharmaceutical business for impairment in 2007 due to the Nitazoxanide inventory write-down and prepaid royalty license impairment charge. The goodwill attributable to our pharmaceutical business of \$13.7 million was not impaired at that time, or subsequently, when evaluated as part of the annual assessment.

NOTE 9. DEBT

In May 2006, we acquired our Westbrook, Maine facility. We paid cash of \$11.5 million and assumed a mortgage that had a face value of \$6.5 million and a stated interest rate of 9.875%. We recorded the mortgage at a fair market value of \$7.5 million, based on an effective market interest rate of 6.05%. The mortgage is payable in equal monthly installments of approximately \$0.1 million through May 1, 2015. Annual mortgage principal payments at December 31, 2008, based on the fair market value of the mortgage at the assumption date, are as follows (*in thousands*):

Years Ending December 31,	Amount
2009	\$ 765
2010	813
2011	864
2012	917
2013	974
Thereafter	1,394
	\$ 5,727

In January 2007, we entered into an unsecured short-term revolving credit facility with a bank in the principal amount of \$125.0 million that matured on June 30, 2007. On March 30, 2007, we refinanced this short-term facility by entering into an unsecured revolving credit facility with four multinational banks that matures on March 30, 2012 (the “Credit Facility”). In February 2008, we increased the aggregate principal amount available under our Credit Facility to \$200.0 million and added a fifth bank to the syndication. The Credit Facility may be used for general corporate purposes, including repurchases of our common stock and business acquisitions. The applicable interest rates generally range from 0.375% to 0.875% above the London interbank rate or the Canadian Dollar-denominated bankers’ acceptance rate, dependent on our leverage ratio. Under the Credit Facility, we pay quarterly commitment fees of 0.08% to 0.20%, dependent on our leverage ratio, on any unused commitment. The Credit Facility contains financial and other affirmative and negative covenants, as well as customary events of default, that would allow any amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility to be accelerated, or restrict our ability to borrow thereunder, in the event of noncompliance. The financial covenant requires our ratio of debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as defined by the agreement, not to exceed 3-to-1. At December 31, 2008, our ratio of debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization was less than 1-to-1. At December 31, 2008, we had \$150.6 million outstanding under the Credit Facility at a weighted-average interest rate of 2.3%, which approximates the rate that we would pay on additional borrowings with similar maturities under the Credit Facility at December 31, 2008. Our availability under the Credit Facility was further reduced at December 31, 2008 by \$0.6 million for a letter of credit issued related to our workers’ compensation policy which commenced January 1, 2009. At December 31, 2007, we had \$72.2 million outstanding under the Credit Facility at a weighted-average interest rate of 5.4%. Of the total amount outstanding at December 31, 2008 and 2007, \$6.6 million and \$5.1 million was borrowed by our Canadian subsidiary and denominated in Canadian dollars.

NOTE 10. INCOME TAXES

We adopted the provisions of FIN 48 as of January 1, 2007. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in financial statements under SFAS No. 109 and prescribes a comprehensive model for the recognition, measurement, and financial statement disclosure of uncertain tax positions. Unrecognized tax benefits are the differences between tax positions taken, or expected to be taken, in tax returns, and the benefits recognized for accounting purposes pursuant to FIN 48. As a result of adopting the provisions of FIN 48, we recognized an increase in assets of \$4.0 million, an increase in liabilities of \$1.1 million, a decrease in additional paid-in capital of \$0.2 million, and an increase in retained earnings of \$3.1 million at January 1, 2007. In connection with the adoption of FIN 48, we have classified uncertain tax positions as long-term liabilities.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 was \$5.9 million and \$5.1 million, respectively. Of the total unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2008 and 2007, \$5.2 million and \$4.7 million, respectively, comprise unrecognized tax positions that would, if recognized, affect our effective tax rate. The ultimate deductibility of the remaining unrecognized tax positions is highly certain but there is uncertainty about the timing of such deductibility. Because of the impact of deferred tax accounting, other than interest and penalties, the disallowance of the shorter deductibility period would not affect the annual effective tax rate but would accelerate the payment of cash to the taxing authority to an earlier period.

In the ordinary course of our business, our income tax filings are regularly under audit by tax authorities. While we believe we have appropriately provided for all uncertain tax positions, amounts asserted by taxing authorities could be greater or less than our accrued position. Accordingly, additional provisions on income tax matters, or reductions of previously accrued provisions, could be recorded in the future as we revise our estimates due to changing facts and circumstances or the underlying matters are settled or otherwise resolved. We are currently undergoing tax examinations by various international and state tax authorities and we anticipate that these examinations will be concluded within the next year. We are no longer subject to U.S. federal examinations for tax years before 2005. With few exceptions, we are no longer subject to income tax examinations in any state and local, or international jurisdictions in which we conduct significant taxable activities for years before 2002.

We recognize accrued interest expense and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, we recorded interest expense and penalties of \$0.4 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, in our consolidated statement of income. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, we had \$0.8 million and \$0.6 million of interest expense and penalties accrued in our consolidated balance sheet.

The following table summarizes the changes in unrecognized tax benefits during the year ended December 31, 2008 (*in thousands*):

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007
Total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits, beginning of period	\$ 5,086	\$ 9,813
Gross decreases in unrecognized tax benefits as a result of tax positions taken during a prior period	—	(3,932)
Gross increases in unrecognized tax benefits as a result of tax positions taken in the current period	1,447	1,126
Decreases in unrecognized tax benefits relating to settlements with taxing authorities	—	(1,710)
Decreases in unrecognized tax benefits as a result of a lapse of the applicable statutes of limitations	(345)	(293)
Net effect of international currency translation	(338)	82
Total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits, end of period	\$ 5,850	\$ 5,086

In the next year, we could recognize approximately \$1.4 million of income tax benefits that have not been recognized at December 31, 2008 in accordance with FIN 48. The income tax benefits are primarily due to the lapse in the statutes of limitations for various international and state tax jurisdictions.

Earnings before income taxes were as follows (*in thousands*):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Domestic	\$ 123,632	\$ 92,554	\$ 100,445
International	46,555	42,289	30,457
	\$ 170,187	\$ 134,843	\$ 130,902

The provisions for income taxes comprised the following (*in thousands*):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Current			
Federal	\$ 33,276	\$ 38,077	\$ 35,409
State	3,839	3,398	5,512
International	11,269	8,429	2,438
	48,384	49,904	43,359
Deferred			
Federal	9,365	(8,507)	(4,064)
State	540	754	(555)
International	(4,271)	(1,322)	(1,516)
	5,634	(9,075)	(6,135)
	<u>\$ 54,018</u>	<u>\$ 40,829</u>	<u>\$ 37,224</u>

The provisions for income taxes differ from the amounts computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
U.S. federal statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State income tax, net of federal tax benefit	1.8	1.4	2.3
International income taxes	(5.5)	(4.7)	(4.5)
Extraterritorial income exclusions	—	—	(1.0)
Nontaxable interest income	—	—	(0.5)
Domestic manufacturing exclusions	(0.7)	(1.3)	(0.4)
Research and experiment credit	(1.2)	(1.5)	(0.3)
Pharmaceutical non-deductible goodwill write-off	1.5	—	—
Other, net	0.8	1.4	(2.2)
Effective tax rate	<u>31.7%</u>	<u>30.3%</u>	<u>28.4%</u>

Our effective income tax rate was 31.7% for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 30.3% for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase in tax rate is primarily attributable to several non-recurring items. First, we wrote off non-deductible goodwill related to the pharmaceutical product lines sold in the fourth quarter of 2008. Additionally, the increase in tax rate was impacted by certain non-recurring items that favorably impacted the tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2007, including the reduction of deferred tax liabilities due to a change in international tax rate and the recognition of state tax benefits resulting from the completion of an audit in 2007. These unfavorable items were partly offset by tax benefits related to a reduction in international deferred tax liabilities in 2008 and the 2007 reduction of deferred tax assets due to changes in statutory income tax rates for jurisdictions in which we operate.

Our effective income tax rate was 30.3% for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 28.4% for the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase in tax rate is primarily attributable to several non-recurring items that benefited the tax rate in the year ended December 31, 2006. These 2006 items included the resolution of an income tax audit for years ended December 31, 2003 and 2004, a reduction of previously recorded deferred tax liabilities as a result of obtaining certain multi-year tax incentives and the release of a valuation allowance on international deferred tax assets as a result of a foreign subsidiary demonstrating consistent sustained profitability. Offsetting the impact of the items occurring in 2006 were several favorable impacts to our rate that occurred during the year ended December 31, 2007. These items included an increase in certain federal tax incentives due to changes in legislation, tax benefits related to reductions in international rates, and the recognition of state tax benefits resulting from the completion of an audit.

The components of the net deferred tax assets (liabilities) included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets are as follows (*in thousands*):

	2008		2007	
	<u>Current</u>	<u>Long-Term</u>	<u>Current</u>	<u>Long-Term</u>
Assets:				
Accrued expenses	\$ 14,731	\$ 330	\$ 11,345	\$ 1,484
Accounts receivable reserves	747	—	583	—
Deferred revenue	1,654	496	2,805	1,914
Inventory basis differences	2,416	—	7,348	—
Property-based differences	—	1,035	—	1,998
Share-based compensation	1,384	4,258	596	3,413
Other	19	149	93	191
Net operating loss carryforwards	1,090	3,912	167	4,179
Unrealized losses on foreign exchange contracts and investments	—	—	732	—
Total assets	22,041	10,180	23,669	13,179
Valuation allowance	(3,150)	(1,441)	(203)	(4,038)
Total assets, net of valuation allowance	18,891	8,739	23,466	9,141
Liabilities:				
Cost of rental instruments sold under recourse	—	(129)	—	(380)
Property-based differences	—	(3,814)	—	(804)
Intangible asset basis differences	—	(12,922)	—	(15,867)
Unrealized gains on foreign exchange contracts and investments	(3,079)	—	—	—
Other	(137)	(23)	(121)	—
Total liabilities	(3,216)	(16,888)	(121)	(17,051)
Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)	\$ 15,675	\$ (8,149)	\$ 23,345	\$ (7,910)

At December 31, 2008, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards in certain state jurisdictions of approximately \$51.2 million available to offset future taxable income. Most of these net operating loss carryforwards will expire at various dates through 2020 and the remainder have indefinite lives. We have recorded a valuation allowance for all of these assets because realizability is uncertain.

We consider certain operating earnings of non-United States subsidiaries to be indefinitely invested outside the United States. The cumulative earnings of these subsidiaries were \$155.6 million at December 31, 2008. No provision has been made for United States federal and state, or international taxes that may result from future remittances of these undistributed earnings of non-United States subsidiaries. Should we repatriate these earnings in the future, we would have to adjust the income tax provision in the period in which the decision to repatriate earnings is made. For the operating earnings not considered to be indefinitely invested outside the United States, we have accrued taxes on a current basis.

NOTE 11. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock and vested deferred stock units outstanding during the year. The computation of diluted earnings per share is similar to the computation of basic earnings per share, except that the denominator is increased for the assumed exercise of dilutive options and other potentially dilutive securities using the treasury stock method unless the effect is anti-dilutive.

The following is a reconciliation of shares outstanding for basic and diluted earnings per share (*in thousands*):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Shares outstanding for basic earnings per share:			
Weighted average shares outstanding	59,855	61,481	62,806
Weighted average vested deferred stock units outstanding	98	79	60
	<u>59,953</u>	<u>61,560</u>	<u>62,866</u>
Shares outstanding for diluted earnings per share:			
Shares outstanding for basic earnings per share	59,953	61,560	62,866
Dilutive effect of options issued to employees and directors	2,198	2,807	3,014
Dilutive effect of restricted stock units issued to employees	93	77	16
Dilutive effect of unvested deferred stock units issued to directors	5	11	11
	<u>62,249</u>	<u>64,455</u>	<u>65,907</u>

Certain deferred stock units outstanding are included in shares outstanding for basic and diluted earnings per share because the associated shares of our common stock are issuable for no cash consideration, the number of shares of our common stock to be issued is fixed and issuance is not contingent. See Note 5 for additional information regarding deferred compensation plans.

Certain options to acquire shares and restricted stock units have been excluded from the calculation of shares outstanding for dilutive earnings per share because they were anti-dilutive. The following table presents information concerning those anti-dilutive options (*in thousands, except per share amounts*):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Weighted average number of shares underlying anti-dilutive options	833	492	277
Weighted average exercise price per underlying share of anti-dilutive options	\$ 50.10	\$ 44.66	\$ 38.33
Weighted average number of shares underlying anti-dilutive restricted stock units	134	4	4

The following table presents additional information concerning the exercise prices of vested and unvested options outstanding at the end of the period (*in thousands, except per share amounts*):

	December 31,	
	2008	2007
Closing price per share of our common stock	\$ 36.08	\$ 58.63
Number of shares underlying options outstanding with exercise prices below the closing price	3,966	5,508
Number of shares underlying options outstanding with exercise prices equal to or above the closing price	1,113	—
Total number of shares underlying outstanding options	<u>5,079</u>	<u>5,508</u>

NOTE 12. COMMITMENTS, CONTINGENCIES AND GUARANTEES

We lease multiple facilities under operating leases that expire through 2021. In addition, we are responsible for the real estate taxes and operating expenses related to these facilities. We also have lease commitments for automobiles and office equipment. Rent expense charged to operations under operating leases was approximately \$14.5 million, \$10.9 million and \$8.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Minimum annual rental payments under these agreements are as follows (*in thousands*):

Years Ending December 31,	Amount
2009	\$ 11,442
2010	9,604
2011	8,310
2012	7,328
2013	5,616
Thereafter	19,898
	\$ 62,198

We purchase the slides sold for use in our Catalyst Dx™ and VetTest® Chemistry Analyzers under an agreement with Ortho-Clinical Diagnostics, Inc. that, at December 31, 2008, required us to purchase a minimum of \$13.8 million of slides through 2010. We also have commitments under certain other agreements that commit us to aggregate future payments of \$16.2 million. In addition, we have various minimum royalty payments due through 2027 of \$10.7 million.

In connection with the acquisitions of businesses and intangible assets, we have commitments outstanding at December 31, 2008 for additional purchase price payments of up to \$7.7 million, of which \$0.2 million has been accrued, in connection with acquisitions of businesses and intangible assets during the current and prior periods, all of which is contingent on the achievement by certain acquired businesses of specified milestones.

Prior to April 2006, we had a 40% equity interest in a joint venture to market production animal diagnostic products in China. In April 2006, we paid \$0.6 million to acquire an additional 55% equity interest in the joint venture from our partner and we also committed to pay an additional \$0.2 million, which was paid in 2008 in consideration for the additional equity. In addition, the joint venture entered into a contract with the joint venture partner where the partner provides promotional and agency services and receives sales commissions at rates escalating from 2.5% to 8.5% annually based on sales volume. In connection with this step acquisition, we recognized \$0.7 million of intangible assets in PAS in 2006.

Contingencies

We are subject to claims that arise in the ordinary course of business, including with respect to actual and threatened litigation and other matters. We accrue contingent liabilities when it is probable that future expenditures will be made and such expenditures can reasonably be estimated. However, our actual losses with respect to these contingencies could exceed our accruals.

On June 30, 2006, Cyntegra, Inc. filed suit against us in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California alleging that we had violated U.S. federal antitrust laws and California state unfair trade practices laws. The complaint alleged, among other things, that we were monopolizing the U.S. market for companion animal diagnostic products. The plaintiff sought injunctive relief and damages for purported lost sales. On October 26, 2007, the trial court granted summary judgment in our favor on all of Cyntegra's claims and dismissed the suit. Cyntegra appealed this decision to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Cyntegra filed its opening brief on appeal on May 30, 2008; we filed our opposition brief on July 2, 2008; and Cyntegra filed its reply brief on July 16, 2008. We expect the Court of Appeals to schedule a hearing in mid-2009. Until then, the trial court judgment in our favor remains in place. We will continue to defend ourselves vigorously, as we believe Cyntegra's claims are without merit.

Under our workers' compensation insurance policies for U.S. employees since January 1, 2003, we have retained the first \$250,000 in claim liability per incident and \$3.2 million, \$2.8 million, \$3.1 million, \$2.8 million, \$3.0 million, and \$1.4 million for 2008 through 2003, respectively, in aggregate claim liability. The insurance company provides insurance for claims above the individual occurrence and aggregate limits. We estimate claim liability based on claims incurred and the estimated ultimate cost to settle the claims. Based on this analysis, we have recognized cumulative expenses of \$0.7 million, \$0.3 million, \$0.9 million, \$0.5 million, \$0.9 million, and \$0.8 million for claims incurred during the years ended December 31, 2008 through 2003, respectively. Claims incurred during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 are relatively new and significant additional healthcare and wage indemnification costs could arise from those claims. Our liability for claims incurred during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 could exceed our estimates and we could be liable for up to \$2.5 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, in excess of the expense we have recognized. For the four years ended on or prior to December 31, 2006, based on our retained claim liability per incident and our aggregate claim liability, our maximum liability at December 31, 2008 is \$1.6 million in excess of the amounts deemed probable and previously recognized.

Under our current employee health care insurance policy, we retain claims liability risk up to \$250,000 per incident. We estimate our liability for the uninsured portion of employee health care obligations that have been incurred but not reported based on individual coverage, our claims experience, and the average time from when a claim is incurred to the time it is paid. We recognized employee health care claim expense of \$18.5 million, \$14.3 million and \$10.8 million during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, which includes actual claims paid and an estimate for our liability for the uninsured portion of employee health care obligations that have been incurred but not paid. Should employee health insurance claims exceed our estimated liability, we would have further obligations.

We have entered into employment agreements with two of our officers whereby payments may be required if we terminate their employment without cause other than following a change in control. The amounts payable are based upon the executives' salaries at the time of termination and the cost to us of continuing to provide certain benefits. Had both of such officers been terminated at December 31, 2008, we would have had aggregate obligations for salaries and benefits of approximately \$2.2 million under such agreements. We have entered into employment agreements with each of our officers that require us to make certain payments in the event the officer's employment is terminated under certain circumstances within a certain period following a change in control of our stock. The amounts payable by us under these agreements is based on the officer's salary and bonus history at the time of termination and the cost to us of continuing to provide certain benefits. Had all of our officers been terminated in qualifying terminations following a change in control at December 31, 2008, we would have had aggregate obligations of approximately \$16.1 million under these agreements. These agreements also provide for the acceleration of the vesting of all stock options and restricted stock units upon any qualifying termination following a change in control.

From time to time, we have received notices alleging that our products infringe third-party proprietary rights, although we are not aware of any pending litigation with respect to such claims. Patent litigation frequently is complex and expensive, and the outcome of patent litigation can be difficult to predict. There can be no assurance that we will prevail in any infringement proceedings that may be commenced against us. If we lose any such litigation, we may be stopped from selling certain products and/or we may be required to pay damages as a result of the litigation.

Guarantees

The following is a summary of our agreements and obligations that we have determined to be within the scope of FIN 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others — an interpretation of FASB Statements No. 5, 57 and 107 and rescission of FASB Interpretation No. 34."

In October 2005, our former supplier of VetAutoread™ Hematology Analyzers and consumables sold this business (including the human hematology testing products division) and we simultaneously entered into a new supply agreement for these products with the acquirer of the business. Under this new supply agreement, we received guaranteed pricing on certain products through December 31, 2020, among other benefits. In partial consideration for this new supply agreement, we paid cash of \$2.5 million to the acquirer and guaranteed the acquirer's note (the "Note") in the principal amount of \$3.5 million given to our former supplier in partial consideration for the business. The acquirer was obligated to pay the Note through quarterly principal and interest payments through 2008 and to pay the remaining balance in 2008. We recorded the fair value of the guaranty of \$0.5 million and recognized the associated assets at the effective date of the agreement. At December 31, 2007, we had written off the guaranty liability because our recognized contractual liabilities to the supplier exceed the principal balance of the Note and a legal right of offset exists whereby we may elect to pay to the holder of the Note the amounts otherwise due to the supplier. In February 2008, the acquirer paid the remaining payment under the Note, which released our guaranty.

We enter into agreements with third parties in the ordinary course of business under which we are obligated to indemnify such third parties for and against various risks and losses. The precise terms of such indemnities vary with the nature of the agreement. In many cases, we limit the maximum amount of our indemnification obligations, but in some cases those obligations may be theoretically unlimited. We have not incurred material expenses in discharging any of these indemnification obligations, and based on our analysis of the nature of the risks involved, we believe that the fair value of these agreements is minimal. Accordingly, we have recorded no liabilities for these obligations at December 31, 2008 and 2007.

When acquiring a business, we sometimes assume liability for certain events or occurrences that took place prior to the date of acquisition. However, we do not believe that we have any probable pre-acquisition liabilities or guarantees that should be recognized at December 31, 2008 and 2007.

NOTE 13. PREFERRED STOCK

Our board of directors is authorized, subject to any limitations prescribed by law, without further stockholder approval, to issue from time to time up to 500,000 shares of Preferred Stock, \$1.00 par value per share ("Preferred Stock"), in one or more series. Each such series of Preferred Stock shall have such number of shares, designations, preferences, voting powers, qualifications and special or relative rights or privileges as shall be determined by the board of directors, which may include, among others, dividend rights, voting rights, redemption and sinking fund provisions, liquidation preferences, conversion rights and preemptive rights.

NOTE 14. TREASURY STOCK

The board of directors has authorized the repurchase of up to 40,000,000 shares of our common stock in the open market or in negotiated transactions. We believe that the repurchase of our common stock is a favorable investment and we also repurchase to offset the dilutive effect of our employee share-based compensation programs. Repurchases of our common stock may vary depending upon the level of other investing activities and the share price.

From the inception of the program in August 1999 to December 31, 2008, we repurchased 35,787,000 shares for \$822.5 million. From the inception of the program to December 31, 2008, we also received 376,000 shares of stock with a market value of \$7.9 million that were surrendered by employees in payment for the minimum required withholding taxes due on the exercise of stock options, the vesting of restricted stock units and the settlement of deferred stock units, and in payment for the exercise price of stock options.

Information about our treasury stock purchases and other receipts is presented in the table below (*in thousands, except per share amounts*):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Treasury shares acquired	2,664	2,588	2,675
Total cost of treasury shares	\$ 133,722	\$ 118,842	\$ 105,730
Average cost per share	\$ 50.19	\$ 45.93	\$ 39.51

NOTE 15. IDEXX RETIREMENT AND INCENTIVE SAVINGS PLAN

We have established the IDEXX Retirement and Incentive Savings Plan (the “401(k) Plan”). Employees eligible to participate in the 401(k) Plan may contribute specified percentages of their salaries, a portion of which will be matched by us. We matched \$5.6 million, \$3.4 million and \$2.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. In addition, we may make contributions to the 401(k) Plan at the discretion of the board of directors. There were no discretionary contributions in 2008, 2007 and 2006.

NOTE 16. DISPOSITION OF PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LINES AND RESTRUCTURING

In the fourth quarter of 2008, we sold our Acarexx® and SURPASS® veterinary pharmaceutical products and a product under development, which were a part of our CAG segment, for cash of \$7.0 million, a short-term receivable of \$1.4 million, which was received in January 2009, and up to \$12.0 million of future payments based on the achievement of certain development and sales milestones. Milestone payments will be included in our results of operations upon achievement of the milestone.

Additionally in the fourth quarter of 2008, in a separate transaction, we entered into an agreement to sell our raw material inventory of nitazoxanide (“NTZ”), the active ingredient associated with our Navigator® product, back to the material supplier. We will receive \$25,000 per month for 24 months and a final payment of \$1.4 million related to this agreement, which will be recorded in our results of operations in the period that the payments are received. In the second quarter of 2007 we recognized a write-down of NTZ inventory of \$9.1 million based on the determination that we would not realize this inventory.

Subsequent to entering into the transactions noted above we restructured the remaining pharmaceutical business and realigned two of our remaining product lines to the Rapid Assay products and realigned the remainder of the business, which comprised one product line and two out-licensing arrangements, to the Other category. Segment information presented for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 has been restated to conform to our presentation of reportable segments for the year ended December 31, 2008.

For the year ended December 31, 2008 we recognized a pre-tax loss from the transactions and the related restructuring costs of approximately \$1.5 million and recorded a tax provision of \$2.1 million, primarily related to the disposition of \$7.2 million of nondeductible goodwill allocated to the pharmaceutical product lines sold.

The pre-tax loss on disposition of the pharmaceutical product lines and related restructuring charges have been included in the line item totals of the consolidated statements of income as follows (*in thousands*):

	December 31, 2008
Expenses:	
Sales and marketing	\$ 263
General and administrative	1,095
Research and development	121
	<hr/> <hr/>
	\$ 1,479

In the fourth quarter of 2008, we also entered into a separate royalty bearing license agreement related to certain intellectual property of our pharmaceutical business. Under this agreement we received \$0.25 million up front and are entitled to receive a total of \$3.3 million in milestone payments, related to the achievement of future events, and royalties based on future product sales. Milestone payments will be included in our results of operations upon achievement of the milestones.

NOTE 17. SEGMENT REPORTING

We disclose information regarding our segments in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 131, “Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information” (“SFAS No. 131”). SFAS No. 131 requires disclosures about operating segments in annual financial statements and requires selected information about operating segments in interim financial statements. It also requires related disclosures about products and services and about geographic areas. Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision-maker, or decision-making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Our chief operating decision-maker is the Chief Executive Officer.

We are organized into business units by market and customer group. Our reportable segments include: products and services for the veterinary market, which we refer to as our Companion Animal Group (“CAG”), water quality products (“Water”), and products for production animal health, which we refer to as the Production Animal Segment (“PAS”). We also operate two smaller segments that comprise products for dairy quality, which we refer to as Dairy, and products for the human medical diagnostic market, which we refer to as OPTI Medical. In addition, we maintain active research and development programs, some of which may materialize into the development and introduction of new technology, products or services that do not align with one of our existing business or service categories. In such situations, the related financial impacts are shown in the “Other” category. Financial information about the Dairy and OPTI Medical operating segments and other activities are combined and presented in an “Other” category because they do not meet the quantitative or qualitative thresholds for reportable segments.

CAG develops, designs, manufactures, and distributes products and performs services for veterinarians. Water develops, designs, manufactures and distributes products to detect contaminants in water. PAS develops, designs, manufactures and distributes products to detect disease in production animals. Dairy develops, designs, manufactures and distributes products to detect contaminants in dairy products. OPTI Medical develops, designs, manufactures, and distributes point-of-care electrolyte and blood gas analyzers and related consumable products for the human medical diagnostics market. In connection with the restructuring of our pharmaceutical business at the end of 2008, we realigned two of our remaining product lines to the Rapid Assay products, and realigned the remainder of the business, which comprised one product line and two out-licensing arrangements, to the Other category. The segment information for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 has been restated to conform to our presentation of reportable segments for the year ended December 31, 2008. Previously, financial information related to the product lines realigned to Rapid Assay and the product line and out-licensing arrangement realigned to Other were included in the pharmaceutical business and reported in the CAG segment.

Items that are not allocated to our operating segments are comprised primarily of corporate research and development expenses, interest income and expense, and income taxes. Share-based compensation expense was also reported in “unallocated amounts” in 2006. Beginning in 2007, we allocate a portion of share-based compensation expense to the operating segments. This allocation differs from the actual expense and consequently yields a difference between the total allocated share-based compensation expense and the actual expense for the total company, which is categorized as “unallocated amounts.”

The accounting policies of the operating segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies except that most interest income and expenses and income taxes are not allocated to individual operating segments. Below is our segment information (*in thousands*):

	For the Years Ended December 31,					
	CAG	Water	PAS	Other	Unallocated Amounts	Consolidated Total
2008						
Revenue	\$ 834,056	\$ 74,469	\$ 80,762	\$ 34,743	\$ —	\$ 1,024,030
Income (loss) from operations	\$ 129,620	\$ 31,330	\$ 21,760	\$ 1,555	\$ (11,809)	\$ 172,456
Interest expense, net						(2,269)
Income before provision for income taxes						170,187
Provision for income taxes						54,018
Net income						\$ 116,169
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 40,330	\$ 975	\$ 5,088	\$ 2,426	\$ —	\$ 48,819
Segment assets	500,824	41,429	58,019	33,009	132,156	765,437
Expenditures for long-lived assets ⁽¹⁾	74,145	4,761	6,794	3,573	—	89,273
2007						
Revenue	\$ 750,449	\$ 66,235	\$ 75,085	\$ 30,786	\$ —	\$ 922,555
Income (loss) from operations	\$ 100,285	\$ 26,847	\$ 15,456	\$ 1,003	\$ (7,408)	\$ 136,183
Interest expense, net						(1,340)
Income before provision for income taxes						134,843
Provision for income taxes						40,829
Net income						\$ 94,014
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 34,813	\$ 1,116	\$ 4,116	\$ 1,055	\$ —	\$ 41,100
Segment assets	483,142	38,178	51,719	18,321	110,819	702,179
Expenditures for long-lived assets ⁽¹⁾	61,698	1,400	3,896	2,626	—	69,620
2006						
Revenue	\$ 604,341	\$ 58,466	\$ 58,940	\$ 17,370	\$ —	\$ 739,117
Income (loss) from operations	\$ 99,833	\$ 25,762	\$ 16,172	\$ 2,779	\$ (16,613)	\$ 127,933
Interest income, net						2,817
Income before provision for income taxes and partner's interest						130,750
Provision for income taxes						37,224
Partner's interest in loss of subsidiary						(152)
Net income						\$ 93,678
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 25,643	\$ 647	\$ 3,456	\$ 70	\$ —	\$ 29,816
Segment assets	353,585	35,042	38,516	4,184	128,233	559,560
Expenditures for long-lived assets ⁽¹⁾	49,448	2,345	2,352	13	—	54,158

(1) Expenditures for long-lived assets exclude expenditures for intangible assets. See Note 4 for information regarding acquisitions of goodwill and other intangible assets in connection with business acquisitions. Expenditures for long-lived assets made in connection with CAG business acquisitions for the year ended December 31, 2008 were insignificant. Expenditures for long-lived assets for the year ended December 31, 2007 include \$1.7 million, \$1.5 million and \$1.3 million for property acquired in connection with PAS, Other operating segments and CAG business acquisitions, respectively. Expenditures for long-lived assets for the year ended December 31, 2006 include \$2.5 million for property acquired in connection with CAG business acquisitions and \$19.0 million related to the purchase of our Westbrook, Maine headquarters facility, of which \$7.5 million was financed through the assumption of a mortgage.

Revenue by product and service categories was as follows (*in thousands*):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
CAG segment revenue:			
Instruments and consumables	\$ 318,533	\$ 289,271	\$ 242,312
Rapid assay products	146,867	133,508	115,481
Reference laboratory and consulting services	288,244	255,193	187,114
Practice information management systems and digital radiography	61,291	53,385	44,427
Pharmaceutical products	<u>19,121</u>	<u>19,092</u>	<u>15,007</u>
Net CAG segment revenue	834,056	750,449	604,341
Water segment revenue	74,469	66,235	58,466
Production animal segment revenue	80,762	75,085	58,940
Other segment revenue	<u>34,743</u>	<u>30,786</u>	<u>17,370</u>
Net revenue	<u><u>\$ 1,024,030</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 922,555</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 739,117</u></u>

Revenue by principal geographic area, based on customers' domiciles, was as follows (*in thousands*):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Americas			
United States	\$ 610,056	\$ 552,134	\$ 478,172
Canada	61,456	55,884	22,070
Other Americas	<u>10,794</u>	<u>11,777</u>	<u>6,076</u>
	682,306	619,795	506,318
Europe			
United Kingdom	62,274	60,831	53,296
Germany	62,611	54,538	45,391
France	42,801	43,398	26,884
Other Europe	<u>103,818</u>	<u>82,204</u>	<u>62,251</u>
	271,504	240,971	187,822
Asia Pacific Region			
Japan	27,424	25,216	19,271
Australia	25,360	22,506	17,378
Other Asia Pacific	<u>17,436</u>	<u>14,067</u>	<u>8,328</u>
	70,220	61,789	44,977
Total	<u><u>\$ 1,024,030</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 922,555</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 739,117</u></u>

Our largest customers are our U.S. distributors of our products in the CAG segment. One of our CAG distributors, Butler Animal Health Supply, LLC, accounted for 8% of our 2008 and 2007 revenue, 9% of our 2006 revenue, and 5% of our net accounts receivable at December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

Net long-lived assets, consisting of net property and equipment, are subject to geographic risks because they are generally difficult to move and to effectively utilize in another geographic area in a reasonable time period and because they are relatively illiquid. Net long-lived assets by principal geographic areas were as follows (*in thousands*):

	<u>December 31,</u>		
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
Americas			
United States	\$ 163,107	\$ 112,712	\$ 77,648
Canada	5,403	5,513	2,434
	168,510	118,225	80,082
Europe			
United Kingdom	6,209	8,713	8,655
Germany	3,271	3,677	3,203
Switzerland	3,800	3,770	3,585
France	2,665	2,578	782
Netherlands	2,538	2,457	1,398
Other Europe	912	438	318
	19,395	21,633	17,941
Asia Pacific Region			
Japan	439	496	468
Australia	1,049	1,187	839
Other Asia Pacific	253	311	298
	1,741	1,994	1,605
Total	<u>\$ 189,646</u>	<u>\$ 141,852</u>	<u>\$ 99,628</u>

NOTE 18. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

On January 1, 2008, we adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 157 for our financial assets and liabilities. As permitted by FSP No. SFAS 157-2, we elected to defer the adoption of SFAS No. 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis, until January 1, 2009. SFAS No. 157 provides a framework for measuring fair value under U.S. GAAP and requires expanded disclosures regarding fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. SFAS No. 157 also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs, where available, and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value.

SFAS No. 157 describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

- Level 1** Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Our Level 1 assets and liabilities include investments in money market funds and marketable securities related to a deferred compensation plan assumed in a business combination. The liabilities associated with this plan relate to deferred compensation, which is indexed to the performance of the underlying investments.
- Level 2** Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Our Level 2 assets include unrealized gains and losses on hedge contracts.
- Level 3** Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. At December 31, 2008, we had no Level 3 assets or liabilities.

The following table sets forth our financial assets and liabilities that were measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2008 by level within the fair value hierarchy. We did not have any nonfinancial assets or liabilities that were measured or disclosed at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2008. As required by SFAS No. 157, assets and liabilities measured at fair value are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the asset or liability (*in thousands*):

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Balance at December 31, 2008
Assets				
Marketable securities (1)	\$ 1,384	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,384
Money market funds (2)	9,017	—	—	9,017
Derivatives (3)	—	9,932	—	9,932
Liabilities				
Deferred compensation (4)	1,384	—	—	1,384

- (1) Investments in marketable securities for a deferred compensation plan, which is included in other long-term assets.
- (2) Short-term investment in registered funds and included in cash and cash equivalents.
- (3) Unrealized gains on hedge contracts, included in other assets. The notional value of these contracts is \$97.7 million.
- (4) Deferred compensation liability associated with the above-mentioned marketable securities, included in other long-term liabilities.

NOTE 19. SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY DATA (UNAUDITED)

A summary of quarterly data follows (*in thousands, except per share data*):

	For the Quarters Ended			
	March 31,	June 30,	September 30,	December 31,
2008				
Revenue	\$ 249,074	\$ 280,570	\$ 251,093	\$ 243,293
Gross profit	129,836	151,260	128,149	120,521
Operating income	38,719	58,891	38,997	35,849
Net income	27,551	39,364	25,699	23,555
Earnings per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.40
Diluted	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.39
2007				
Revenue	\$ 211,155	\$ 237,046	\$ 229,385	\$ 244,969
Gross profit	108,579	114,221	118,478	122,244
Operating income	30,877	32,467	36,097	36,742
Net income	21,027	21,664	25,795	25,528
Earnings per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.42
Diluted	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.40

SCHEDULE II
IDEXX LABORATORIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
(in thousands)

	Balance at Beginning of Year	Charges to Costs and Expenses	Write-Offs/ Cash Payments	Other ⁽¹⁾	Balance at End of Year
Reserves for doubtful accounts receivable:					
December 31, 2006	\$ 1,221	\$ 1,070	\$ (599)	\$ 91	\$ 1,783
December 31, 2007	1,783	614	(1,035)	380	1,742
December 31, 2008	1,742	1,180	(746)	(83)	2,093
Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets:					
December 31, 2006	\$ 4,896	\$ (88)	\$ (734)	—	\$ 4,074
December 31, 2007	4,074	545	(378)	—	4,241
December 31, 2008	4,241	1,013	(585)	(78)	4,591

(1) Includes reserves of businesses acquired and the effect of foreign currency translation

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, as amended (filed as Exhibit No. 3(i) to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, File No. 0-19271, and incorporated herein by reference).
3.2	Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company (filed as Exhibit No. 3.2 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2000, File No. 0-19271, and incorporated herein by reference).
4.3	Instruments with respect to other long-term debt of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries are omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(4)(iii) of Regulation S-K since the total amount authorized under each such omitted instrument does not exceed 10 percent of the total assets of the Company and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. The Company hereby agrees to furnish a copy of any such instrument to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.
10.1 [†]	1991 Stock Option Plan of the Company, as amended (filed as Exhibit No. 10.1 to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, File No. 0-19271 ("2006 Form 10-K"), and incorporated herein by reference).
10.2*	U.S. Supply Agreement, effective as of October 16, 2003, between the Company and Ortho-Clinical Diagnostics, Inc. ("Ortho") (filed as Exhibit No. 10.7 to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, File No. 0-19271 ("2003 Form 10-K"), and incorporated herein by reference).
10.3*	Amendment No. 1 to U.S. Supply Agreement effective as of January 1, 2005, between the Company and Ortho (filed as Exhibit No. 10.1 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005, File No. 0-19271 ("June 2005 10-Q"), and incorporated herein by reference).
10.4*	Amendment No. 2 to U.S. Supply Agreement effective as of October 15, 2006, between the Company and Ortho (filed as Exhibit No. 10.4 to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, File No. 0-19271 ("2007 Form 10-K"), and incorporated herein by reference).
10.5*	Amendment No. 3 to U.S. Supply Agreement effective as of January 18, 2008, between the Company and Ortho (filed as Exhibit No. 10.5 to 2007 Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.6*	European Supply Agreement, effective as of October 17, 2003, between the Company and Ortho (filed as Exhibit No. 10.8 to 2003 Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.7*	Amendment No. 1 to European Supply Agreement effective as of January 1, 2005, between the Company and Ortho (filed as Exhibit No. 10.2 to June 2005 10-Q, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.8*	Amendment No. 2 to European Supply Agreement effective as of January 18, 2008, between the Company and Ortho (filed as Exhibit No. 10.8 to 2007 Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.9 [†]	1998 Stock Incentive Plan of the Company, as amended (filed as Exhibit No. 10.6 to 2006 Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.10 [†]	2000 Director Option Plan of the Company, as amended (filed as Exhibit No. 10.7 to 2006 Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.11 [†]	Employment Agreement dated January 22, 2002, between the Company and Jonathan W. Ayers (filed as Exhibit No. 10.13 to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, File No. 0-19271, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.12 [†]	Executive Employment Agreement dated January 1, 2007, between the Company and Jonathan W. Ayers (filed as Exhibit No. 10.1 to January 5, 2007 Form 8-K, File No. 0-19271 ("January 5, 2007 Form 8-K"), and incorporated herein by reference).
10.13 [†]	Letter Agreement dated August 12, 2003, between the Company and William C. Wallen (filed as Exhibit No. 10.14 to 2003 Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit No.	Description
10.14 [†]	Executive Employment Agreement dated January 1, 2007, between the Company and William C. Wallen (filed as Exhibit No. 10.2 to January 5, 2007 Form 8-K, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.15 [†]	Executive Employment Agreement dated January 1, 2007, between the Company and Merilee Raines (filed as Exhibit No. 10.3 to January 5, 2007 Form 8-K, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.16 [†]	Executive Employment Agreement dated January 1, 2007, between the Company and Conan R. Deady (filed as Exhibit No. 10.4 to January 5, 2007 Form 8-K, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.17 [†]	Form of Executive Employment Agreement dated January 1, 2007, between the Company and each of William E. Brown III, PhD, Thomas J. Dupree, William B. Goodspeed, Ali Naqui, PhD, James F. Polewaczyk, Johnny D. Powers, PhD, and Michael J. Williams, PhD (filed as Exhibit No. 10.5 to January 5, 2007 Form 8-K, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.18	Amendment, Release and Settlement Agreement dated as of September 12, 2002, among the Company, IDEXX Europe B.V., and Ortho (filed as Exhibit No. 10.1 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2002, File No. 0-19271, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.19 [†]	Restated Director Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended (filed as Exhibit No. 10.19 to 2007 Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.20 [†]	2003 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (filed as Exhibit No. 10.20 to 2007 Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.21 [†]	Form of Stock Option Agreement, as amended pursuant to the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit No. 10.3 to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, File No. 0-19271, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.22 [†]	1997 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended (filed as Exhibit No. 10.22 to 2007 Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.23 [†]	Restated Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended (filed as Exhibit No. 10.23 to 2007 Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.24 [†]	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement (filed as Exhibit 10.22 to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended 2005, File No. 0-19271 (“2005 Form 10-K”), and incorporated herein by reference).
10.25 [†]	2008 Incentive Compensation Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 13, 2008, File No. 0-19271, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.26	Purchase and Sale Agreement dated as of January 17, 2006, between the Company and CW Westbrook Limited Partnership (filed as Exhibit 10.23 to 2005 Form 10-K, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.27	Purchase and Sale Agreement among Osmetech plc, Osmetech Inc., Osmetech Technology Inc. and Osmetech GmbH and IDEXX Sciences, Inc. and IDEXX Laboratories, Inc. dated as of December 15, 2006 (filed as Exhibit No. 2.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 21, 2006, File No. 0-19271, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.28	Credit Agreement among the Company, as borrower, certain material subsidiaries of the Company, as guarantors, JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, Toronto Branch, as Toronto agent (filed as Exhibit No. 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 31, 2007, File No. 0-19271, and incorporated herein by reference).
10.29	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement among the Company, IDEXX Distribution, Inc., IDEXX Operations, Inc., IDEXX Reference Laboratories, Inc., OPTI Medical Systems, Inc. and IDEXX Laboratories Canada Corporation, as borrowers, the lenders party thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, Toronto Branch, as Toronto agent, Bank of America, N.A., as syndication agent, Wachovia Bank, N.A., as documentation agent, LaSalle Bank National Association, as co-agent and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., as sole bookrunner and lead arranger (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 5, 2007, File No. 0-19271, and incorporated herein by reference).

Exhibit No.	Description
10.30	Modification to Credit Agreement, dated as of February 22, 2008, among the Company, IDEXX Distribution, Inc., IDEXX Operations, Inc., IDEXX Reference Laboratories, Inc., OPTI Medical Systems, Inc. and IDEXX Laboratories Canada Corporation, the lenders party thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, Toronto Branch, as Toronto agent (filed as Exhibit No. 10.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 25, 2008, File No. 0-19271 ("February 25, 2008 Form 8-K"), and incorporated herein by reference).
10.31	Amendment No. 1 to Credit Agreement, dated as of February 22, 2008, among the Company, IDEXX Distribution, Inc. IDEXX Operations, Inc., IDEXX Reference Laboratories, Inc., OPTI Medical Systems, Inc. and IDEXX Laboratories Canada Corporation, the lenders party thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, Toronto Branch, as Toronto agent, (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to February 25, 2008 Form 8-K, and incorporated herein by reference).
21	Subsidiaries of the Company (filed herewith).
23	Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (filed herewith).
31.1	Certification by Chief Executive Officer (filed herewith).
31.2	Certification by Corporate Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (filed herewith).
32.1	Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
32.2	Certification by Corporate Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).

* Confidential treatment requested as to certain portions.

† Management contract or compensatory arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit pursuant to Item 15(a)(3) of Form 10-K.